

# OSHA BEST PRACTICES

for

## **HOSPITAL-BASED FIRST RECEIVERS OF VICTIMS**

from Mass Casualty Incidents  
Involving the Release of Hazardous  
Substances



July 2004  
FINAL DRAFT

*This page intentionally blank.*

## Table of Contents

<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>BEST PRACTICES FROM OSHA</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>A.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
A.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
A.2 DEFINING “FIRST RECEIVERS” .....	1
A.3 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .....	2
A.4 DOCUMENT CONTENT AND ORGANIZATION.....	2
<b>B.0 PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT</b> .....	<b>4</b>
B.1 USING OSHA’S BEST PRACTICES.....	5
B.1.1 Using OSHA’s Rationale for PPE Selection and Hazard Assessment .....	5
B.1.2 Augmenting the PPE Selection to Address Specific Hazards Identified by the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) and Community .....	5
B.2 RATIONALE FOR OSHA’S PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT BEST PRACTICES.....	6
B.2.1 Respiratory Protection .....	6
<i>B.2.1.1 Limited Quantity of Contaminant on Victims</i> .....	6
<i>B.2.1.2 Hospital Experience with Contaminated Victims</i> .....	8
<i>B.2.1.3 Exposure Modeling</i> .....	11
B.2.2 Gloves and Boots.....	13
B.2.3 Protective Garments .....	15
B.3 CONCLUSIONS REGARDING PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT .....	17
B.3.1 First Receiver Hospital Decontamination Zones.....	20
<b>C.0 TRAINING FIRST RECEIVERS</b> .....	<b>25</b>
C.1 OPERATIONS LEVEL TRAINING.....	25
C.2 AWARENESS LEVEL TRAINING .....	29
C.3 BRIEFING FOR SKILLED SUPPORT PERSONNEL WHOSE PARTICIPATION WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY ANTICIPATED .....	30

C.4	TRAINING SIMILAR TO THAT OUTLINED IN THE HAZARD COMMUNICATION STANDARD.....	30
C.5	SUMMARY OF TRAINING FOR FIRST RECEIVERS.....	31
<b>APPENDIX A: BACKGROUND, LITERATURE REVIEW, AND SITE VISIT EXAMPLES.... A-1</b>		
<b>1.0</b>	<b>PREPAREDNESS .....</b>	<b>A-2</b>
1.1	CUSTOMIZING HOSPITAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANS .....	A-2
1.1.1	Using Information from a Hazard Vulnerability Analysis .....	A-3
1.1.2	Identifying the Hospital’s Role in the Community .....	A-4
1.1.3	Updating Emergency Management Plans.....	A-6
1.1.4	Coordinating Emergency Plans with Other Organizations.....	A-7
1.2	PREPARING STAFF AND MANAGEMENT .....	A-8
1.2.1	Applicable Standards.....	A-8
1.2.2	Maintaining Decontamination Teams .....	A-9
1.2.3	Orienting and Training Personnel .....	A-11
1.2.3.1	<i>Competencies for First Responder Operations Level Training.....</i>	<i>A-11</i>
1.2.3.2	<i>Competencies for First Responder Awareness Level Training .....</i>	<i>A-13</i>
1.2.3.3	<i>Instruction for Employees Whose Participation in the Hospital Decontamination Zone Was Not Previously Anticipated.....</i>	<i>A-14</i>
1.2.3.4	<i>Training Similar to That Outlined in the Hazard Communication Standard.....</i>	<i>A-14</i>
1.2.4	Monitoring Performance During Drills .....	A-15
1.2.5	Managing Internal Communications .....	A-15
1.2.6	Principles of Risk Communication.....	A-16
1.2.7	Information Dissemination During an Incident.....	A-16
1.2.8	Monitoring Employee Health .....	A-17
1.2.8.1	<i>Prior to an Incident .....</i>	<i>A-17</i>
	Medical Clearance for Respirator Use.....	A-17
1.2.8.2	<i>During a Response .....</i>	<i>A-18</i>
	Thermal Stress .....	A-20
1.2.8.3	<i>Following an Incident.....</i>	<i>A-20</i>

1.2.8.4	<i>Managing Employee Stress</i> .....	A-21
<b>2.0</b>	<b>RESPONSE</b> .....	<b>A-21</b>
2.1	FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT .....	A-21
2.1.1	Evaluating Existing Resources .....	A-21
2.1.2	Isolation and Lockdown .....	A-22
2.1.3	Decontamination.....	A-22
2.1.3.1	<i>Equipment</i> .....	A-22
2.1.3.2	<i>Procedures</i> .....	A-26
	Shower Flush Time and Practices .....	A-29
	Soap .....	A-29
2.1.3.3	<i>Security</i> .....	A-30
2.1.3.4	<i>Personal Protective Equipment</i> .....	A-30
2.1.3.5	<i>Detection Equipment</i> .....	A-33
	Ionizing Radiation Meters .....	A-33
	Chemical and Biological Agent Detection Equipment.....	A-34
2.2	TRIAGE CONSIDERATIONS .....	A-35
2.3	EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION.....	A-36
2.3.1	Obtaining Timely Information .....	A-36
2.3.2	Coordinating Activities .....	A-36
<b>3.0</b>	<b>RECOVERY</b> .....	<b>A-37</b>
3.1	HOSPITAL DECONTAMINATION .....	A-37
3.1.1	Solid Waste Management.....	A-37
3.1.2	Wastewater Management .....	A-37
3.1.3	Decontaminating Surfaces and Equipment.....	A-39
<b>4.0</b>	<b>MAINTAINING FUTURE READINESS</b> .....	<b>A-40</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS</b> .....		<b>B-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: REFERENCES</b> .....		<b>C-1</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: ADDITIONAL RESOURCES (WEB LINKS)</b> .....		<b>D-1</b>

**APPENDIX E: ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF VARIOUS RESPIRATOR FACEPIECE STYLES..... E-1**

**APPENDIX F: HAZARD VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS EXAMPLES..... F-1**

**APPENDIX G: INTRODUCTION TO HEICS .....G-1**

**APPENDIX H: EXAMPLES OF MEDICAL MONITORING FOR FIRST RECEIVERS, INCLUDING INFORMATION ON HEAT STRESS .....H-1**

**APPENDIX I: VITAL SIGNS AND PPE DONNING CHECKLISTS ..... I-1**

**APPENDIX J: EXAMPLE OF PATIENT DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURE.....J-1**

**APPENDIX K: PPE DONNING AND DOFFING SEQUENCE .....K-1**

**APPENDIX L: EXAMPLE OF TECHNICAL DECONTAMINATION PROCESS FOR HOSPITAL PERSONNEL ..... L-1**

**APPENDIX M: EXAMPLE OF INTEGRATED PROCEDURES FOR FIRST RECEIVERS... M-1**

### **List of Figures and Tables**

**FIGURE 1. RESULTS OF SIMULATION TESTS ON SEVERAL CHEMICAL SUITS..... 16**

**TABLE 1. HOSPITAL DECONTAMINATION ZONE ..... 22**

**TABLE 2. HOSPITAL *POST*-DECONTAMINATION ZONE ..... 23**

**TABLE 3. MINIMUM PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE)..... 24**

**TABLE 4. TRAINING FOR FIRST RECEIVERS..... 32**

## Acknowledgments

OSHA's Directorate of Science, Technology and Medicine wishes to acknowledge the efforts of Craig D. Thorne, MD, MPH, Assistant Director, Occupational Health Program, University of Maryland, who served as the medical consultant on this project and Whitney Long, MPH, CIH, of Eastern Research Group, Inc., who provided technical support.

The following organizations offered substantial additional assistance, and/or reference resources: Department of Veterans Administration (VA), California Emergency Medical Services Authority (EMSA), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), INOVA Health System, Northern Virginia Hospital Alliance, Kaiser Permanente, U.S. Coast Guard National Strike Force, and the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM). OSHA's Directorate of Enforcement Programs (DEP), and the Directorate of Standards and Guidance (DSG), as well as the Office of the Solicitor, OSH Division (SOL) also made notable contributions.

Seven hospitals provided extensive information, hospital tours, equipment demonstrations, interviews, photographs, and reference material for this project:

Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System, Little Rock, Arkansas  
Enloe Medical Center, Chico, California  
National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland  
New York University Medical Center, New York City, New York  
Samaritan Regional Health System, Ashland, Ohio  
Sutter Amador Hospital, Jackson, California  
Veterans Administration Medical Center, Washington, DC

These hospitals were identified by hospital organizations as having given notable consideration to the possibility of receiving contaminated victims from a mass casualty incident involving hazardous substance release. Hospitals interviewed were selected to represent a range of circumstances, loosely based on location (U.S. region) and the hospital's relative probability (risk) of receiving contaminated victims of a mass casualty incident. This risk was estimated using a scale adapted from the Hospital Corporation of America (HCA, undated):

- *Key Treatment Centers* – Hospitals in large urban areas. (Hospitals A, B, C, and G)\*
- *Potential Risk Hospitals* – Hospitals within 50 miles of a large urban area and high-visibility potential targets where a mass casualty incident could occur (e.g., major airport or sports stadium, large chemical manufacturing facility, nuclear power plant, major shopping mall, nationally recognized monument). (Hospitals D and E)\*
- *Minimum Risk Hospitals* – Hospitals with populations less than 500,000 within a 50-mile radius and without a high-visibility potential target within that distance. (Hospital F)\*

Note: This risk scale was used only to help identify a diverse group of hospitals for interviews. Other scales might have been used and OSHA does not promote this or any other scheme.

\*To maintain a minimum level of confidentiality, hospitals were assigned letters according to risk category, which do not reflect the alphabetical order in which they are listed above.

**The following agencies and organizations reviewed and provided comments regarding OSHA's Best Practices:**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)  
American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP)  
American Hospital Association/American Society for Healthcare Engineering (ASHE)  
U.S. Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED)  
California Emergency Medical Services Authority (CA-EMSA)  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)  
Emergency Nurses Association (ENA)  
EnMagine (hazmatforhealthcare.org)  
George Washington University - Institute for Crisis, Disaster and Risk Management  
Health Resources & Services Administration (HRSA), National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program  
Hennepin County (MN) Medical Center, Emergency Medicine  
Inova Health System, Emergency Management and Disaster Medicine; and Employee and Occupational Health Departments  
International Chemical Workers Union Council of the United Food and Commercial Workers Union (ICWUC)  
International Safety Equipment Association (ISEA)  
Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO)  
Kaiser Permanente  
National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA)  
Navy Environmental Health Center, Industrial Hygiene, Shore Establishment Support Department  
Navy Medicine Office of Homeland Security, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery  
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), Worker Education and Training Program (WETP), National Clearinghouse for Worker Safety and Health Training  
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)  
NYU Medical Center, Environmental Services Department  
Rhode Island Department of Health  
Samaritan Regional Health System  
University of Maryland School of Medicine, National Study Center for Trauma & EMS  
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System  
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Occupational Safety and Health  
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Administration Medical Center (Washington, DC)  
U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM)

The mention of any healthcare provider, product, or process in this publication does not constitute or imply endorsement by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.



## Executive Summary

Healthcare workers risk occupational exposures to chemical, biological, or radiological materials when a hospital receives contaminated patients, particularly during mass casualty incidents. These hospital employees, who may be termed first receivers, work at a site remote from the location where the hazardous substance release occurred. This means that their exposures are limited to the substances transported to the hospital on victims' skin, hair, clothing, or personal effects (Horton et al., 2003).<sup>1</sup> The location and limited source of contaminant distinguishes first receivers from other first responders (e.g., firefighters, law enforcement, and ambulance service personnel), who typically respond to the incident site (i.e., the Release Zone).

In order to protect their employees, hospitals benefit from information to assist them in emergency planning for incidents involving hazardous substances (BNA, 2003; Barbera and Macintyre, 2003). Emergency first responders, at the site of the release, are covered under OSHA's Standard on Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER), or the parallel OSHA-approved State Plan standards, and depending on their roles, some hospital employees also are covered by the standard.<sup>2,3</sup> However, OSHA recognizes that first receivers have somewhat different training and personal protective equipment (PPE) needs than workers in the hazardous substance Release Zone, a point clarified through letters of interpretation (OSHA, 2002a).

In this document, OSHA provides practical information to help hospitals address employee protection and training as part of emergency planning for mass casualty incidents involving hazardous substances. OSHA considers sound planning the first line of defense in all types of emergencies (including emergencies involving chemical, biological, or radiological substances). By tailoring emergency plans to reflect the reasonably predictable "worst-case" scenario under which first receivers

---

<sup>1</sup>*Hazardous substance* is defined as any substance to which exposure may result in adverse effects on the health or safety of employees. This includes substances defined under Section 101(14) of CERCLA; biological or disease-causing agents that may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, disease, or other health problems; any substance listed by the U.S. Department of Transportation as hazardous material under 49 CFR 172.101 and appendices; and substances classified as *hazardous waste*.

<sup>2</sup> [29 CFR 1910.120](#).

<sup>3</sup> First responders, including firefighters, law enforcement, and emergency medical personnel, and many first receivers at public hospitals, are usually employees of local, municipal, or state governments. Although Federal OSHA's standards and enforcement authority do not extend to such state and local governments, these employers and employees are covered by the 26 states that operate OSHA-approved State Plans and, in states without State Plans, by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with regard to HAZWOPER ([29 CFR 1910.120](#)). State Plan states set and enforce standards, such as the HAZWOPER and Respiratory Protection standards, which are identical to or "at least as effective as" Federal OSHA standards, and therefore may have more stringent or supplemental requirements. EPA's HAZWOPER parallel standard was adopted to cover emergency responders who would not be covered by the OSHA standard, including volunteers who work for a governmental agency engaged in emergency response, such as firefighters. For consistency, OSHA interprets the HAZWOPER Standard for the EPA. Federal OSHA administers the safety and health program for the private sector in the remaining states and territories, and also retains authority with regard to safety and health conditions for federal employees throughout the nation (OSHA, 1991c).

might work, the hospital can rely on these plans to guide decisions regarding personnel training and PPE (OSHA, 2003, 2002b, 1999). The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) requires an all-hazard approach to allow organizations to be flexible enough to respond to emergencies of all types, whether natural or manmade (unintentional or intentional). Note: Footnotes at relevant points in the text indicate current JCAHO Standards for Emergency Management, which are further described in Section EC 1.4 of JCAHO's Comprehensive Accreditation Manual (JCAHO, 2004).

Worst-case scenarios should take into account challenges associated with communication, resources, and victims. During mass casualty emergencies, hospitals can anticipate little or no warning before victims begin arriving.<sup>4</sup> Additionally, first receivers should anticipate that information regarding the hazardous agent(s) would not be available immediately. Hospitals also must plan for a large number of self-referred victims (as much as 80 percent of the total number of victims) and assume victims will not have been decontaminated prior to arriving at the hospital (Auf der Heide, 2003; Barbera and Macintyre, 2003; Okumura et al., 1996).

The appropriate employee training and PPE selection processes are defined in applicable OSHA standards.<sup>5</sup> The employee's role and the hazards that an employee might encounter dictate the level of training provided to individual first receivers. PPE selection should be based on a hazard assessment that carefully considers both of these factors, along with the steps taken to minimize the extent of the employee's contact with hazardous substances.

Despite many hospitals' strong interest in powered air-purifying respirators (PAPRs) as a practical form of respiratory protection for first receivers in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, many knowledgeable sources avoid making specific PPE recommendations, but rather point out the advantages and disadvantages of the various options, or recommend *appropriate* PPE (JCAHO, 2001; Lehmann, 2002; Penn, 2002). Others offer stronger opinions. CA EMSA (2003a) promotes the use of a multi-tiered approach to PPE. Burgess (1999) indicates that OSHA requires Level B protection or self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) for unknown hazards, but points out there are substantial difficulties for healthcare workers who attempt to care for patients while wearing this type of equipment and also addresses the hazards of wearing SCBAs (e.g., slips, trips, falls, and overexertion, particularly for infrequent users of this equipment). These sources demonstrate appropriate caution in the face of

---

<sup>4</sup> *Mass casualty* may be defined as "a combination of patient numbers and patient care requirements that challenges or exceeds a community's ability to provide adequate patient care using day-to-day operations" (Barbera and Macintyre, 2003).

<sup>5</sup> Applicable OSHA standards include: [29 CFR 1910.120](#) – HAZWOPER; [29 CFR 1910.132](#) – Personal Protective Equipment – General Requirements; [29 CFR 1910.132](#) – Eye and Face Protection; [29 CFR 1910.134](#) – Respiratory Protection.

unknown contaminants of unknown concentration. However, OSHA believes that the substantial body of recent information on first receivers' actual experiences and probable exposure levels now allows more definitive guidance.

In this best practices document, OSHA indicates PPE that hospitals could use to effectively protect first receivers assisting victims contaminated with *unknown substances*, provided the hospital meets certain prerequisite conditions designed to minimize the quantity of substance to which first receivers might be exposed. This PPE guidance for first receivers specifies that employees who might come in contact with unknown hazardous substances should wear a powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR) with an assigned protection factor of 1,000, a chemical-resistant protective garment, head covering if it is not already included in the respirator, a double layer of protective gloves, and rubber boots (see [Table 3](#), Section B.3). As part of the hazard assessment process, each hospital also should consider the specific hazards first receivers might reasonably be expected to encounter, as identified in the hospital's *hazard vulnerability analysis* (HVA).<sup>6</sup> The hospital should then augment OSHA's PPE selection, as necessary, to include protection against those specific identified hazards.

These guidelines are appropriate when the hazardous substance is unknown and the concentration is strictly limited by 1) the quantity of material associated with the victims *and* 2) the conditions, policies, equipment, and procedures in place that will reduce employee exposure. [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) of the best practices document list those specific prerequisites that OSHA believes are appropriate to adequately limit first receiver exposures and permit the use of the PPE presented in [Table 3](#). Such conditions include a current HVA and emergency management plan (EMP), as well as procedures to ensure that contaminated materials are removed from the area and contained so they do not present a continuing source of exposure.

The first receiver PPE listed in [Table 3](#) is not the only option for first receivers. Employees at hospitals that do not meet the criteria shown in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) should use more protective equipment (e.g., HAZWOPER Level B), as exposures might not be adequately controlled by other means. A higher level of protection also may be necessary for any hospital that anticipates providing specialized services

---

<sup>6</sup> JCAHO Standards for Emergency Management require hospitals to: 1) develop a comprehensive emergency management plan (EMP) describing the hospital's response to emergencies that would affect the need for the hospital's services or the hospital's ability to provide these services; 2) evaluate the EMP annually including the objectives, scope, functionality, and effectiveness; 3) conduct an HVA, to identify potential emergencies that could affect the need for the hospital's services, or its ability to provide these services; and 4) identify the hospital's role in the community and coordinate plans.

(such as Hazardous Materials Response Team at the incident site). Additionally, if a hospital is specifically responding to a known hazard, the hospital should adjust the PPE selection accordingly.

This document provides hospitals and other health care providers information to assist in the provision of training and PPE for first receivers. Section A introduces the subject, while Section B provides a detailed discussion of OSHA’s rationale for the designated first receiver PPE (presented in [Table 3](#) at the end of Section B.3). Hospitals that meet the prerequisites listed in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) may use Section B as the basis for their hazard assessment.<sup>7</sup> Section C of OSHA’s best practices document contains a discussion of training indicated for first receivers and concludes with [Table 4](#), which matches training levels to employee roles and work areas.

Appendix A of this guideline provides background information on how various aspects of a hospital’s preparation, response, and recovery impact employee protection during hazardous substance emergencies. Appendices B, C, and D list additional information sources, while Appendices E through M offer examples of procedures and equipment used in some hospitals. Emergency managers might find these resources helpful in developing or updating existing EMPs.

This document is based on presently available information, as well as current occupational safety and health provisions and standards. Employers should modify their procedures as appropriate, when additional, relevant information becomes available or when modifications to Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH Act) or JCAHO standards necessitate revision.\* The OSH Act requires employers to comply with hazard-specific safety and health standards. In addition, pursuant to Section 5(a)(1), the General Duty Clause of the OSH Act, employers must provide their employees with a workplace free from recognized hazards, likely to cause death or serious physical harm. This document incorporates existing applicable regulatory provisions, as well as non-mandatory work practices and methods that may be implemented to further supplement employee protection against exposure to hazardous substances. OSHA has attempted to clearly distinguish between mandatory and recommended work practices/methods within this document. Where regulations establish performance criteria for compliance, this document attempts to provide specific guidance that employers may use to adequately protect employees and comply with these regulatory provisions. However, an alternative approach may be justified given specific workplace circumstances. This document does not, cannot, and is not intended to enlarge or diminish an employer’s obligation under the OSH Act.

\* JCAHO standards require healthcare organizations to plan for radioactive and chemical decontamination but do not state that the hospital must have their own facilities for such decontamination. However, the current belief is that it is advisable for a hospital to have its own decontamination facility.

<sup>7</sup> As noted previously, hospitals should then complete the hazard assessment using hospital-specific information and augment OSHA’s minimum PPE selection, as necessary, to cover the specific hazards identified in the hospital’s HVA.

## Best Practices From OSHA

### A.0 INTRODUCTION

### A.1 BACKGROUND

Healthcare workers risk occupational exposure to chemical, biological, or radiological materials when hospitals receive patients contaminated with these substances during mass casualty incidents (Horton et al., 2003).<sup>8</sup> Such incidents could be associated with manmade (intentional or unintentional) or natural disasters and can involve a wide range of hazardous substances—from chemical weapons agents to toxic industrial chemicals.<sup>9</sup>

### A.2 DEFINING “FIRST RECEIVERS”

Healthcare workers at a hospital receiving contaminated victims for treatment may be termed *first receivers* (Koenig, 2003). This group is a subset of *first responders* (e.g., firefighters, law enforcement, HAZMAT teams, and ambulance service personnel). However, most first responders typically act at the site of an incident (i.e., the location at which the primary release occurred). In contrast, inherent to the definition of *first receivers*, is an assumption that the hospital is not itself the primary incident site, but rather is remote from the location where the hazardous substance release occurred. Thus, the possible exposure of first receivers is limited to the quantity of substance arriving at the hospital as a contaminant on victims and their clothing or personal effects (Horton et al., 2003).

First receivers typically include personnel in the following roles: clinicians and other hospital staff who have a role in receiving and treating contaminated victims (e.g., triage, decontamination, medical treatment, and security) and those whose roles support these functions (e.g., set up and patient tracking).<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> For the purposes of this guidance, OSHA uses the definition of *mass casualty* provided by Barbera and Macintyre (2003): “A combination of patient numbers and patient care requirements that challenges or exceeds a community’s ability to provide adequate patient care using day-to-day operations.”

<sup>9</sup> Ibid.

<sup>10</sup> The term *clinician* refers to physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians’ assistants, and others.

### A.3 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

In order to protect their employees, hospitals benefit from information to assist them in emergency planning for incidents involving hazardous substances (BNA, 2003; Barbera and Macintyre, 2003). Emergency first responders at the scene of the incident, including fire, law enforcement, and emergency medical personnel, are covered by the requirements of OSHA's Standard on Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER), or under parallel State standards in States with OSHA-approved State Plans.<sup>11,12</sup> However, the extent of the hazard to the hospital-based first receivers (a subgroup of first responders) can differ from that at the release site. A series of OSHA letters of interpretation clarifies when and how the HAZWOPER Standard applies to first receivers. This document provides information useful to employers attempting to provide adequate protection for hospital-based first receivers during mass casualty incidents involving hazardous substances.

Specifically, this guidance covers protection for first receivers during releases of chemicals, radiological particles, and biological agents (overt releases) that produce victims who may need decontamination prior to administration of medical care. Although intended for mass casualty incidents as they affect emergency department personnel at fixed hospitals, the basic principles and concepts of this guidance also apply to mobile casualty care facilities and temporary shelters, such as would be necessary in the event of a catastrophic incident involving tens or hundreds of thousands of victims.

The scope does *not* include situations where the hospital (or temporary facility) is the site of the release. Nor does it include infectious outbreaks for which victim decontamination is *not* necessary.

### A.4 DOCUMENT CONTENT AND ORGANIZATION

This document 1) provides information to assist hospitals in selecting personal protective equipment (PPE) based on current interpretations of OSHA standards, published literature, current hospital practices, stakeholder input, and the practical limitations of current respiratory protective devices

---

<sup>11</sup> HAZWOPER [29 CFR 1910.120](#).

<sup>12</sup> First responders, including firefighters, law enforcement and emergency medical personnel, and many first receivers at public hospitals, are usually employees of local, municipal or state governments. Although Federal OSHA's standards and enforcement authority do not extend to such state and local governments, these employers and employees are covered by the 26 states that operate OSHA-approved State Plans and, in states without State Plans, by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) with regard to HAZWOPER ([29 CFR 1910.120](#)). State Plan states set and enforce standards, such as the HAZWOPER and Respiratory Protection standards, which are identical to or "at least as effective as" Federal OSHA standards, and therefore may have more stringent or supplemental requirements. EPA's parallel HAZWOPER Standard was adopted to cover emergency responders who would not be covered by the OSHA standard, including volunteers who work for a governmental agency engaged in emergency response, such as firefighters. For consistency, OSHA interprets the HAZWOPER Standard for the EPA. Federal OSHA administers the safety and health program for the private sector in the remaining states and territories, and also retains authority with regard to safety and health conditions for federal employees throughout the nation.

and 2) consolidates OSHA standards and interpretations on training needs of first receivers. These best practices build on health and safety programs that hospitals should already have in place under existing OSHA regulations (such as those listed in Section 1.1.3 in Appendix A).

Section A introduces the document, while Section B addresses details concerning PPE selection. Specifically, Section B.1 describes how hospitals can use these best practices, and Section B.2 reviews the available information and indicates the rationale for OSHA's conclusions on first receiver respiratory protection, glove selection, and protective clothing. [Tables 1, 2, and 3](#), at the end of Section B.3, present OSHA's best practices to achieve PPE sufficient to protect first receivers. Information on training first receivers appears in Section C, which concludes with a summary of first receiver training ([Table 4](#)).

The appendices provide examples, which might be useful to hospitals developing or upgrading *emergency management plans* (EMPs). Appendix A offers suggestions for incorporating procedures and policies for first receivers into the hospital EMP. Other appendices provide examples of procedures used in various hospitals. OSHA offers these examples for informational purposes only and does not recommend one option over the many effective alternatives that exist.

This guidance should be used in conjunction with other available emergency preparedness information sources, such as those listed in Appendices C and D, and other references that may become available in the future. Footnotes indicating current Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) Standards for Emergency Management (which are further described in Section EC 1.4 of JCAHO's Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Hospitals) appear at key points in the document. Hospitals should note that technology and procedures change as equipment and information evolve. In publishing this guideline, it is OSHA's intent to provide useful information that will assist hospitals and other healthcare providers in taking appropriate steps to protect first receivers and other affected workers from exposure to chemical, biological, and radiological substances.



This document is based on presently available information, as well as current occupational safety and health provisions and standards. Employers should modify their procedures as appropriate, when additional, relevant information becomes available or when modifications to Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH Act) or JCAHO standards necessitate revision.\* The OSH Act requires employers to comply with hazard-specific safety and health standards. In addition, pursuant to Section 5(a)(1), the General Duty Clause of the OSH Act, employers must provide their employees with a workplace free from recognized hazards, likely to cause death or serious physical harm. This document incorporates existing applicable regulatory provisions, as well as non-mandatory work practices and methods that may be implemented to further supplement employee protection against exposure to hazardous substances. OSHA has attempted to clearly distinguish between mandatory and recommended work practices/methods within this document. Where regulations establish performance criteria for compliance, this document attempts to provide specific guidance that employers may use to adequately protect employees and comply with these regulatory provisions. However, an alternative approach may be justified given specific workplace circumstances. This document does not, cannot, and is not intended to enlarge or diminish an employer's obligation under the OSH Act.

\* JCAHO standards require healthcare organizations to plan for radioactive and chemical decontamination but do not state that the hospital must have their own facilities for such decontamination. However, the current belief is that it is advisable for a hospital to have its own decontamination facility.

## B.0 PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

PPE selection for first receivers has been a topic of debate (Hick et al., 2003a; Barbera and Macintyre, 2003; CA EMSA, 2003b; ECRI, 2002). At the root of the debate is the need for hospitals to provide adequate protection for the reasonably anticipated worst-case employee exposure scenario, despite having limited information regarding the nature of the substance with which victims may be contaminated (OSHA, 2002b). This lack of information challenges hospitals' abilities to conduct the hazard assessments on which PPE selection must be based.<sup>13</sup>

Despite many hospitals' strong interest in PAPRs as a practical form of respiratory protection for first receivers in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, many knowledgeable sources avoid making specific PPE recommendations, but rather point out the advantages and disadvantages of the various options, or recommend *appropriate* PPE (JCAHO, 2001; Lehmann, 2002; Penn, 2002).<sup>14</sup> Others offer stronger

<sup>13</sup> Hazard assessments for PPE are required under OSHA's Personal Protective Equipment Standard – General Requirements, [29 CFR 1910.132\(d\)](#), or the equivalent State Plan standards.

<sup>14</sup> The *Hospital Decontamination Zone* includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to, places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the emergency department (ED) door. In other documents this zone is sometimes called the "Warm Zone."



opinions. CA EMSA (2003a) promotes the use of a multi-tiered approach to PPE. Burgess (1999) indicate that OSHA requires Level B protection or self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) for unknown hazards, but points out there are substantial difficulties for healthcare workers who attempt to care for patients while wearing this type of equipment and also addresses the hazards of wearing SCBAs (e.g., slips, trips, falls, and overexertion, particularly for infrequent users of this equipment). These sources demonstrate appropriate caution in the face of unknown contaminants of unknown concentration. However, OSHA believes that the substantial body of recent information on first receivers' actual experiences and probable exposure levels now allows more definitive guidance.

To assist hospitals, this section provides information that employers can use to provide a level of PPE that reasonably can be expected to protect first receivers from a wide range of hazards. OSHA's PPE selection guidance applies when the hazardous substance is unknown and the possible exposure is strictly limited by 1) the quantity of material associated with living victims *and* 2) other specific conditions, policies, equipment, and procedures in place that will reduce employee exposure. These best practices are preceded by instructions for using the document and by a discussion of the information considered in developing OSHA's PPE selection.

## **B.1 USING OSHA'S BEST PRACTICES**

### **B.1.1 Using OSHA's Rationale for PPE Selection and Hazard Assessment**

In [Tables 1, 2, and 3](#) of this document, OSHA presents information that employers can use to provide adequate PPE for first receivers and outlines prerequisite conditions necessary to limit first receiver exposure to unknown hazardous substances. The prerequisite conditions in the first two tables are designed to minimize the exposure of first receivers and form part of the basis for OSHA's rationale for the PPE selection listed in [Table 3](#). By implementing those prerequisites, hospitals can reduce the exposures of their own first receivers. Hospitals may then use the discussion in Section B (Personal Protective Equipment) as the basis for their own hazard assessment for first receiver PPE.

### **B.1.2 Augmenting the PPE Selection to Address Specific Hazards Identified by the Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) and the Community**

The best practices presented in this document indicates the **minimum** PPE that OSHA anticipates generally will be needed to protect first receivers faced with a wide range of unknown hazards (providing the prerequisite conditions in [Tables 1 and 2](#) are met). However, as with any generalized protection, OSHA's PPE for first receivers offers more protection against some hazards than others. When a hospital

determines that first receivers could reasonably anticipate encountering a specific known hazard, the hospital also should determine whether this generalized protection must be supplemented to more fully address that specific hazard.

Specifically, to finish the hazard assessment and PPE selection process, each hospital should consult its own complete and updated HVA (required by JCAHO), as well as additional information available from the community (e.g., the Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPC)). JCAHO requires, and OSHA agrees, that hospitals also consider their anticipated roles and coordinate activities with other emergency response agencies and hospitals within the community. When these sources point to a *specific* substance or situation from which the hospital should protect its first receivers, the hospital should confirm that PPE selection provides effective protection against that hazard. In some cases, this step will identify ways the hospital can augment OSHA's minimum PPE recommendations for unknown hazards in order to help ensure protection against specific known hazards (e.g., by tailoring glove selection to address the hazard, or by stocking additional supplies, such as a specific respirator cartridge known to protect the user from that specific hazard).

Hospitals can adopt a more *specialized* level of protection (such as air-supplied respirators) if the hospital's role, position in the community, or HVA indicates a higher level of protection might be necessary (e.g., the hospital will field a HAZMAT team or provide other services *at the release site*, or if the hospital is adjacent to a hazardous chemical storage facility that could subject first receivers to an environment immediately dangerous to life and health (IDLH)).

## **B.2 RATIONALE FOR OSHA'S PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT BEST PRACTICES**

The following discussion reviews existing OSHA regulations, letters of interpretation, and published literature relevant to the selection of PPE for healthcare workers receiving contaminated victims. OSHA's best practices on first receiver PPE appears at the end of this section, in [Tables 1, 2, and 3](#).

### **B.2.1 Respiratory Protection**

#### ***B.2.1.1 Limited Quantity of Contaminant on Victims***

A key factor supporting OSHA's PPE best practices is the *relatively* limited amount of toxic substance to which first receivers might be exposed. Many recent sources note that the quantity of contaminant on victims is restricted. For example, OSHA has made a clear distinction between the site where a hazardous substance was released and hospital-based decontamination facilities (OSHA, 1992a,

2002a). This distinction is important because it helps define the maximum amount of contaminant to which healthcare workers might be exposed (i.e., the quantity of material on living victims when they arrive at the hospital). Horton et al. (2003) stated that, during victim decontamination procedures, the hazard to healthcare workers is strictly from secondary exposure and “depends largely on the toxicity of the substance on the victims’ hair, skin, and clothing; the concentration of the substance; and the duration of contact [first receivers have] with the victim.”

The quantity of contaminant that healthcare workers might encounter can be dramatically less than the amount to which the victim was exposed or that was originally deposited on the victim. Gas or vapor releases can expose victims to toxic concentrations, but tend to evaporate and dissipate quickly. Fedele et al. (2003) determined that 100 grams (approximately 4 ounces) of most moderately to highly volatile substances that might be sprayed on a victim during a mass casualty incident would evaporate within 5 minutes from the time the exposure occurred. Unless the substance release occurs immediately adjacent to a hospital, it is not anticipated that victims will be able to reach the hospital within that period of time, or the more conservative 10-minute period Fedele et al. (2003) used in the exposure model presented later in this section.<sup>15</sup> Horton et al. (2003) agree, stating that substances released as gas or vapor “are not likely to pose a secondary contamination risk” to first receivers. It is important to note, however, that limited exposure might be possible. In an isolated incident reviewed by these authors, unprotected healthcare workers experienced skin and respiratory irritation from highly toxic volatile substances (chlorine gas) thought to have permeated victims’ clothing.<sup>16</sup> While an environment that is immediately dangerous is possible, it is extremely unlikely that a living victim could create an IDLH environment at a receiving hospital; particularly if contaminated clothing is quickly removed and isolated, and the victim is treated and decontaminated in an area with adequate ventilation.

Removal of victims clothing, or, better yet, decontamination of victims before they arrive at the hospital have a marked effect on the quantity of contaminant that first receivers encounter. Pre-hospital decontamination can eliminate the risk of secondary contamination (Horton et al., 2003). Removing contaminated clothing can reduce the quantity of contaminant associated with victims by 75 to 90 percent

---

<sup>15</sup> Although the amount of time it might take a victim to reach the hospital (by ambulance or other means) is highly variable, Fedele et al. (2003) initially conducted modeling based on an average lag time of 10 minutes between the moment the victim was exposed to the hazardous substance and the time the victim arrived at the hospital.

<sup>16</sup> This incident is described in Horton et al. (2003) as part of an evaluation of hazardous materials incident data captured by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) Hazardous Substance Emergency Events Surveillance (HSEES) system.

(Macintyre et al., 2000).<sup>17</sup> To control unnecessary exposure, Hospital A promotes the use of prescribed procedures for first responders assisting victims to remove clothing. The clothing is cut away using blunt-nose shears to eliminate stretching, flapping, wringing, or excessive handling of fabric that might contribute to worker exposure (or additional victim exposure).

Showering with quality liquid bath soap and tepid water is widely considered an effective (and preferred) method for removing the remaining hazardous substance from victims' skin and hair (Goozner et al, 2002; Macintyre et al., 2000).<sup>18</sup> The U.S. Army promotes this method for chemicals (both chemical weapons and toxic industrial chemicals), radiological particles, and biological agents (USACHPPM, 2003a).<sup>19</sup> In several cases involving secondary exposure incidents reviewed by Horton et al. (2003), contaminated victims who caused injury to healthcare workers were subsequently decontaminated. No further injury to healthcare workers was mentioned. See Appendix A, Section 2.1.3.2 for additional discussion of decontamination procedures.

As a final step in minimizing first receiver exposure to hazardous substances, the healthcare workers should also shower following contact with contaminated victims and cleanse equipment as part of decontamination procedures. Hospital A uses a strict protocol for personnel to decontaminate themselves while removing gloves, protective suits, boots, and hooded powered air-purifying respirators (PAPRs). Hospital C includes decontamination of the shower system and associated equipment as part of those procedures.

### ***B.2.1.2 Hospital Experience with Contaminated Victims***

Several studies have reviewed public data and reports regarding victims of hazardous materials emergencies and associated secondary contamination of healthcare workers. First receivers rarely reported adverse health effects. Those workers who experienced symptoms were unprotected and tended to have close, extended contact with the contaminated victims. Horton et al. (2003) evaluated data from the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) Hazardous Substance Emergency

---

<sup>17</sup> The percentage of contaminant reduction depends on the type of clothing the victim was wearing when exposed. The estimates may be somewhat lower (down to 50 percent) for victims wearing short pants or skirts and higher (up to 94 percent) for victims exposed to biological warfare agents while wearing protective military uniforms (CHPPM, 2003a).

<sup>18</sup> Quality liquid soap has good surfactant properties and is not harsh on skin (e.g., major brands of hand dishwashing soap, such as Joy, Ivory, Dawn, and others, as well as shampoos). This is the method used by all seven hospitals interviewed for this project and is reportedly effective for all but the most tenacious substances. For example, the chemical weapon agent VX is difficult to wash from skin.

<sup>19</sup> A related practice of spraying a 0.5 percent solution of hypochlorite (equivalent to a 10 percent solution of Chlorox® household bleach) may have value for deactivating biological agents, other than mycotoxins, and some chemical weapons agents (mustard gas, organophosphates) if left in contact for a period of time (15 to 20 minutes). The solution might be used to decontaminate facilities, but is no longer considered an optimal or necessary treatment for human skin (Macintyre et al., 2000). Sources agree that there is no substantive difference in decontamination methods for biological and chemical agents.

Events Surveillance (HSEES) system. Through 2001, the database had captured information on over 44,000 hazardous materials events involving substances other than petroleum products.<sup>20</sup> Although overall, healthcare workers were the 11<sup>th</sup> most common group injured in hazardous materials incidents, Horton determined that events affecting emergency department (ED) personnel appear to occur infrequently, representing only 0.2 percent of the 2,562 HSEES events in which victims were transported to a hospital.<sup>21</sup> Horton et al. (2003) also note that among the ED personnel injured, none wore any form of protection at the time of the injury. Respiratory tract and eye irritation were the primary symptoms and no employees required hospitalization.

A separate survey of ED evacuations at hospitals in the state of Washington also found a low incidence of secondary contamination of ED staff. Over a 5-year period, 101 hospitals reported only two evacuation incidents that also involved secondary contamination of staff, while ED evacuations due to hazardous substance incidents (usually caused by releases within the hospital) occurred 11 times.<sup>22</sup> The victims were not decontaminated prior to arrival at the hospital in either of the cases involving secondary contamination to staff (Burgess, 1999).

Walter et al. (2003) also reviewed municipal records to characterize hazardous materials responses. These authors evaluated all fire department hazardous materials reports, along with the associated emergency medical services encounter forms and hospital records for a mid-size metropolitan area (population 400,000). More than 70 percent of the hazardous materials incidents involved flammable materials (e.g., methane gas, diesel fuel, gasoline, and hydraulic oils), all of relatively low toxicity. Approximately 7 percent of the incidents involved highly toxic materials, all of which fell into the categories of mercury, pesticides, and cyanides. An additional 5 percent of the events were associated with toxic gases (primarily carbon monoxide, with a few cases of anhydrous ammonia or chlorine exposure). Corrosive materials accounted for another 10 percent of the incidents and primarily involved mineral acids and basic materials such as lime and sodium hydroxide.<sup>23</sup> Walter found that those patients transported to the hospital were usually treated for inhalation exposure to airborne toxicants, for which

---

<sup>20</sup> Using information from the 16 states that participated between 1995 and 2001, these authors determined that of the 44,045 hazardous materials events reported, 2,562 events (5.8 percent) involved victims who were transported to a hospital. Injuries to ED employees at the hospital were reported for six of these 2,562 events (0.2 percent).

<sup>21</sup> ED personnel accounted for about half of all healthcare workers injured. Other healthcare workers in the group included medical examiners in an autopsy room, coroner's assistants, a hospital worker at a highway rest area, and hospital employees injured when substances such as xylene and formalin were released in the hospital ("injuries were not the result of secondary contamination").

<sup>22</sup> This study only evaluated information on incidents that caused ED evacuation and did not consider other incidents that might have involved contaminated patients. The actual number of ED evacuation incidents may have been slightly higher due to under-reporting.

<sup>23</sup> Hazardous substances in miscellaneous categories accounted for the remainder of the reported incidents.

few required hospitalization. These findings may explain the results of Horton et al. (2003) and Burgess (1999) who, as previously noted, identified few injuries among healthcare workers who treated victims of hazardous materials incidents. Victims exposed to gases or vapors are not anticipated to be contaminated with substantial quantities of these materials upon arrival at the hospital.

Hick et al. (2003a) reviewed the published literature and some individual case reports to assess the risk of contaminated patients to healthcare workers in the U.S. and abroad. These cases included incidents in which healthcare workers were exposed to secondary contamination, generally for periods of less than one hour.<sup>24</sup> Hick et al. (2003a) concluded that "...a contaminated patient presenting at the ED poses a definite health risk to providers. However, *even without personal protective equipment*, the risks of significant injury appear to be low, as reflected in this review and analysis of published cases." These authors found that the more serious injuries to healthcare workers are frequently associated with organophosphate compounds (e.g., sarin and certain pesticides), which are "extremely toxic, prone to off-gassing, and might have prolonged clinical effects...." The affected healthcare workers identified by the authors rarely used PPE.

Okumura et al. (1996) reported on the 1995 Tokyo subway sarin attack, in which one hospital received 640 victims (80 percent self-referred), 107 of whom were moderately injured and five were considered severely injured. Hick et al. (2003a) also reviewed the literature describing this incident and noted that more than 100 healthcare providers in Tokyo experienced symptoms (e.g., blurred vision) while treating victims. Of these, the most affected were several physicians who spent up to 40 minutes attempting to resuscitate the initial victims of the incident. The victims had *not* been decontaminated. These and other worker exposures were attributed to the failure of healthcare providers to use PPE and the practice of placing still-clothed, contaminated victims in a poorly ventilated waiting area.

It is interesting to note that although sarin (a notorious chemical warfare agent) affected many of the healthcare providers, all exposed providers at one of the primary receiving hospitals were reportedly able to continue their duties (Okumura et al., 1996). In the Tokyo terrorism incident, although victims' clothing continued to be a source of contamination, *unprotected* first receivers experienced only limited exposures.<sup>25</sup> It is reasonable to anticipate that healthcare worker exposures might have been dramatically

---

<sup>24</sup> Secondary exposures in the studies reviewed by Hick et al. (2003a) involved substances such as organophosphates (including sarin), hydrofluoric acid, pepper spray, chlorine gas, mixed solvents from methamphetamine laboratories, ethyldichlorosilane, and aluminum phosphide.

<sup>25</sup> At a second Tokyo hospital, however, five of the most seriously exposed healthcare workers did require injection of antidote; although, they were able to continue to provide medical care (Nozaki et al., 1995).

reduced by a combination of removing victims contaminated clothing, improving ventilation in patient waiting areas, and using PPE.

### ***B.2.1.3 Exposure Modeling***

Two studies conducted modeling of various phases of the victim disrobing and decontamination process in order to characterize first receiver exposure levels and evaluate the need for respiratory protection. These studies point out the need for a carefully developed and implemented EMP that includes hazard-reducing work practices, appropriate respiratory protection, and full body protection. In the first study, Schultz et al. (1995) collected air samples in the breathing zone of two healthcare workers during decontamination activities.<sup>26</sup> The test took place in an unventilated room, where the workers removed the simulated non-ambulatory patients' clothing and cleaned the skin using dry brushing to remove particles.<sup>27</sup>

The test periods included 5 minutes with the victim resting on a decontamination cart (to simulate a delay in clothing removal and decontamination), 2.5 minutes during which the healthcare workers cut away victims' clothing and placed it in a sealed container, and approximately 3 minutes of simulated skin cleaning. This latter activity generated visible dust during particulate trials.<sup>28</sup> The solvents evaporated completely during the 10-minute test periods and victim cleaning was not required for these agents. Healthcare worker exposure levels for dust ranged from 1.98 to 4.28 milligrams per cubic meter of air ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ ), while results for p-xylene ranged from 18 to 148 parts per million (ppm) and acetone concentrations were 185 to 459 ppm. The authors concluded that exposure levels were statistically lower than the applicable short-term exposure limits for these moderately toxic industrial chemicals; however, due to the uncertainties of hazardous materials management, "use of respiratory protective equipment should be continued."

In contrast to Schultz et al. (1995), which evaluated an industrial chemical of moderate toxicity, Fedele et al. (2003) used a probability model to predict the level of respiratory protection that decontamination hospital staff would require to limit their exposure to several highly toxic industrial chemicals (chlorine, phosgene, and cyanide) and chemical weapons agents (nerve and blister agents). The model takes into account the substance's relative toxicity, vapor pressure, and dispersion characteristics,

---

<sup>26</sup> Investigators used an adult size plastic mannequin dressed in lightweight cotton clothing and contaminated with either an industrial solvent (800 milliliters [ml] acetone or p-xylene in multiple tests) or respirable size metal oxide particulates. The 800 ml represented the greatest amount of solvent the victim could bring to the room—that was the amount that completely saturated the clothes when placed in a container.

<sup>27</sup> The room measured 16 by 20 feet with a 10-foot ceiling and air temperature was reportedly 65° F.

<sup>28</sup> Macintyre et al. (2000) suggest vacuuming as an alternative to dry brushing victims contaminated with water-reactive dust.

as well as the probable amount and distribution of contaminant on the victim, and the amount of time the substance would require to evaporate from the victim. The model also considered the number of victims, the length of time between the victims' exposure and arrival at the hospital, atmospheric conditions, and how soon after arrival the victims' contaminated clothing can be removed. Using Monte Carlo analysis and parameters set to consider *extreme* worst-case scenarios, the authors concluded that if contaminated clothing remains an ongoing source of contamination over a period of 6 hours of *constant* exposure, less than 2 percent of healthcare workers would be exposed to levels of sarin that would exceed the protection offered by a respirator providing at least 1,000-fold protection.<sup>29</sup> This percentage dropped when inputs associated with more likely scenarios were used (e.g., increased evaporation transfer rate or increased lag time before the victim reached the hospital). Furthermore, related analysis showed that *if contaminated clothing is removed immediately when the victim arrives* at the hospital, "the level of sarin exposure to a healthcare worker would be negligible" and adequate protection would be provided by air purifying respirators with an assigned protection factor (APF) of 1,000.<sup>30</sup>

If correctly selected, fitted, used, and maintained, respiratory protective equipment reduces significantly the effective exposure level that an employee experiences. An employee wearing a respirator that offers a protection factor of 1,000 will breathe air that contains no more than 1/1,000 (or 0.1 percent) of the contaminant level outside the respirator. OSHA recently proposed an APF of 1,000 for certain designs of hood/helmet respirators.<sup>31,32</sup> Full facepiece and hood/helmet supplied air respirators (excluding loose-fitting facepieces) are also assigned an APF of 1,000 in the proposed rule (Federal Register, 2003 (68 FR 34035)).<sup>33</sup>

The combination of high efficiency (HE) particulate filters plus organic vapor (OV) cartridges currently available for PAPRs will protect against many of the airborne hazards that first receivers might

---

<sup>29</sup> In this particular study, the healthcare worker was considered protected if the dose the worker would receive during 6 hours of continuous decontamination activity was less than the value of 2.1 mg-min/m<sup>3</sup> for sarin. This concentration is the equivalent of the 60-minute National Research Council Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEG-2) for sarin of 0.035 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (multiplied by 60 minutes). Although the 6-hour exposure period in the model was longer than the 60 minutes usually associated with this AEG-2, the value is likely to protect employees from serious injury; the 8-hour AEG-3 level for sarin is 0.051 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Exposures below AEG-2 or AEG-3 levels may result in some transient effects and impairment, but not in permanent health effects (CHPPM, 2003b).

<sup>30</sup> Sarin was selected for this model because it has a moderate vapor pressure (similar to water) and thus would not necessarily evaporate before the victim could reach the hospital. Additionally, the substance does off-gas to an extent that can cause injury to healthcare workers. Finally, among chemical substances with vapor pressures in this range, sarin was selected as the most toxic. For purposes of comparison, NIOSH (2003) publishes vapor pressure levels for numerous industrial chemicals.

<sup>31</sup> This provision is part of a *proposed* rule; the APF provisions that OSHA eventually develops may differ substantially from those in the proposed rule.

<sup>32</sup> Respirator manufacturers must be able to show test results indicating the respirator meets specified criteria.

<sup>33</sup> For comparison, a non-powered full facepiece air-purifying respirator has an APF of 50, while SCBA is assigned a protection factor of 10,000.



encounter (e.g., toxic dusts, biological agents, radioactive particulates, organophosphates and other pesticides, and solvents). Acid gas cartridges add an additional level of protection from gases such as chlorine, which generally will dissipate before victims arrive at the hospital, but which have been implicated in at least one case of healthcare worker injury.<sup>34</sup> It is not anticipated that first receivers would benefit from cartridges that remove carbon monoxide from air. Despite the number of carbon monoxide victims treated at hospitals, there are no reported cases of healthcare workers being injured through secondary contamination from victims of carbon monoxide poisoning (Horton et al., 2003; Hick et al., 2003a; Walter et al., 2003).

As an applied example, Hospital A used some of these modeling techniques to complement a detailed HVA, a comprehensive staff training program, and a detailed EMP that makes safety and exposure reduction strong priorities.<sup>35</sup> This modeling allowed Hospital A to determine that there was not a need for respiratory protection greater than a hooded powered air purifying respirator [PAPR], fitted with high efficiency dust, organic vapor, and acid gas cartridges.” The hospital determined that employees need to be protected from skin contact with the contaminant. Thus, individuals involved in decontaminating victims at this hospital wear PAPRs, splash-resistant suits, a double layer of gloves, and rubber boots. Openings to the suits are closed with tape to create a barrier.

### **B.2.2 Gloves and Boots**

No single glove or boot material will protect against every substance. Most glove manufacturers offer detailed guides to glove materials and their chemical resistance. Butyl rubber gloves generally provide better protection than nitrile gloves for chemical warfare agents and most toxic industrial chemicals that are more likely to be involved in a terrorist incident, although the converse applies to some industrial chemicals. Foil-based gloves are highly resistant to a wide variety of hazardous substances and could also be considered part of the protective ensemble. Hospitals should select materials that:

- Cover the broadest spectrum of circumstances (i.e., protect against a wide range of substances).
- Cover those specific substances that the hospital has determined first receivers might reasonably be anticipated to encounter.

---

<sup>34</sup> The contaminant might have been trapped in victims’ clothing (Horton et al., 2003). It is reasonable to anticipate that exposures would have been eliminated if the victim’s clothing had been removed in a well-ventilated space (or outdoors) immediately upon arrival at the hospital.

<sup>35</sup> See the acknowledgments at the beginning of this document for a brief statement regarding the hospitals interviewed for this guidance.

In general, the same material selected for gloves will also be appropriate for boots. Because boot walls tend to be thicker than gloves, boots of any material are likely to be more protective than gloves of the same material.

A combination of gloves, for example, butyl gloves worn over inner nitrile gloves, are often the best option for use by hospital workers during emergencies and mass casualties involving hazardous substances. Again, the hospital should select the combination that best meets its specific needs.

Glove thickness is measured in mils, with a higher number of mils indicating a thicker glove. Using common examples, exam gloves are often approximately 4 mil, while general-purpose household (kitchen) gloves are 12–16 mil, and heavy industrial gloves might be 20 to 30 mil.

Depending on the dexterity needed by the hospital worker, the glove selection can be modified to allow for the use of a glove combination that is thinner than that usually recommended for the best protection. As an example, the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) recommends that hospital personnel working with victims potentially contaminated with chemical warfare agents or toxic industrial chemicals wear a combination of chemical protective gloves, such as butyl rubber gloves over inner nitrile gloves (USACHPPM, 2003a).<sup>36</sup> Because thicker gloves offer greater protection, USACHPPM recommends a butyl glove with a minimum thickness of 14 mil (over a 4 or 5 mil nitrile glove). However, with increased thickness comes greater loss of manual dexterity. When advanced medical procedures must be performed before decontamination, thicker gloves might be too awkward, and, therefore, it might be necessary to use a butyl rubber glove of 7 mil over the nitrile glove, or a 14 mil butyl rubber glove alone (USACHPPM, 2003a). If sterility is required and decontamination is not possible before procedures, a double layer of disposable 4 to 5 mil nitrile gloves might be the best option (USACHPPM, 2003a). Among the sterile gloves readily available, those made of nitrile offer the best resistance to the widest range of substances (but not all). Note that thinner gloves

---

<sup>36</sup> SBCCOM tested eleven glove designs (including butyl, neoprene, and nitrile) for breakthrough times when exposed to concentrated Mustard (blister agent) or Sarin (nerve agent). Breakthrough times were dependent on material and thickness. A 30 mil Best Butyl glove had a breakthrough time of 810 minutes for Mustard and greater than 1440 minutes for Sarin. MAPA Neoprene gloves (mil not stated) had a breakthrough time of 298 minutes for Mustard and greater than 1440 minutes for Sarin. Ansell Edmont TNT Nitrile gloves [4 mil] had a breakthrough time of 20 minutes for Mustard and 106 minutes for Sarin. Ansell Edmont Sol-Vex (Nitrile) [15 mil] had a breakthrough time of 109 minutes for Mustard and greater than 1440 minutes for Sarin. Test data revealed that the chemical protective glove designs can protect wearers from liquid chemical warfare agents (SBCCOM, 2001a).

SBCCOM also tested four glove designs (including butyl and nitrile) for breakthrough times when exposed to Mustard (blister agent) or Sarin (nerve agent). Breakthrough times were dependent on material and thickness. N-Dex Disposable Nitrile gloves (4 mil) had a breakthrough time of 53 minutes for Mustard and 51 minutes for Sarin. North Butyl gloves (20 mil) had a breakthrough time of greater than 1440 minutes for both Mustard and Sarin. Test data revealed that the chemical protective glove designs can protect wearers from liquid chemical warfare agents (SBCCOM, 2001b).

deteriorate (tear and rip) more rapidly than thicker gloves. When thinner gloves must be used, they should be changed frequently.

Hendler et al. (2000), as cited in USACHPPM (2003a), conducted a study to determine the effect of full PPE (including 12-mil “tactile” gloves and a full facepiece mask) on intubation performance. Clinicians wearing this equipment could perform endotracheal intubation effectively (i.e., the tube was inserted in sufficient time), but the procedure did take longer than it would have without PPE. Intubation delays would cause subsequent decontamination procedures and medical treatment to be delayed by a corresponding amount of time. Not all sources recommend double gloves; for example, the U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command’s (SBCCOM) Domestic Preparedness Program (DPP) recommends butyl rubber gloves for personnel performing decontamination operations and casualty care (SBCCOM, 2000a).

### **B.2.3 Protective Garments**

The optimal garment material for first receivers will protect against a wide range of chemicals in liquid, solid, or vapor form (phase). Because first receivers might become contaminated with liquid or solid (dust) contaminants through physical contact with a contaminated victim, the ideal fabric will repel chemicals during incidental contact (protection from gases is less important because, as shown earlier, gases generally will dissipate before a victim arrives at the hospital). Additionally, the optimal garment will restrict the passage of vapors, both through the suit fabric and through openings in the suit. Finally, optimal clothing is also sufficiently flexible, durable, and lightweight for long-term wear (up to several hours) during physically active work.

Manufacturers produce a variety of suit fabrics and designs and several commercially available broad-spectrum protective fabrics might be appropriate, depending on the situations and hazards that the hospital anticipates first receivers reasonably might be expected to encounter. While OSHA does not test, endorse, or recommend specific products, examples of such products include: Tyvek® F, Tychem® CPF3, CPF4, Tychem® BR, Tychem® LV, Tychem® SL, Zytron® 100, Zytron® 200, Zytron® 300, Zytron® 400, Zytron® 500, and Zytron® 600, ProVent® 10,000, and DuraVent® 2.8. Before selecting materials, contact the manufacturer for specific application guidance.

Fabric and suit manufacturers can provide laboratory-testing information regarding specific materials. For example, Tyvek® F has been tested extensively by military organizations and accredited

testing laboratories.<sup>37</sup> As another example, the SBCCOM DPP tested vapor-blocking properties of six different protective suits in a simulated, high-vapor environment. In the results tabulated below, the Tyvek® F suite (ProTech model) offered a protection factor of 42 (vapor levels outside the suit were 42 times higher than inside the suit), which was approximately twice the protection than was provided by the next best performing suits. Traditional Tyvek® (protection factor of 4) was twice as protective as a standard police uniform (protection factor of 2). These suits were tested by placing sensors for the test vapor under the suits at 17 specific body locations. Volunteers wore the protective gear while performing the activities normally associated with an actual *first responder* chemical response (but did not involve physical acts, such as patient handling, that would likely be required of first receivers) (SBCCOM, 2003).

**Figure 1. Results of Simulation Tests on Several Chemical Suits**

<u>Suit Configuration</u>	<u># Suits Tested</u>	<u>Protection Factor</u>
Standard [Police] Uniform	2	2
Tyvek® Protective Wear Suit	4	4
Tychem® 9400 Protective Suit	4	17
Kappler® CPF4 Protective Suit	4	18
Tychem® SL Protective Suit	5	24
Tyvek® ProTech F Protective Suit	5	42

*(Source: SBCCOM, 2003)*

The ability of protective garment fabric to withstand physical abrasion and tearing is also important. When assisting non-ambulatory victims, first receivers might subject the protective garments to physical stresses that should be considered in suit selection. The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) in *NFPA Standard No. 1994 on Protective Ensemble for Chemical/Biological Terrorism Incidents* offers criteria for evaluating performance of protective garments, including detailed specifications for bursting, puncture, and tear resistance, as well as garment seam specifications (NFPA, 2001). For first receivers, most hospitals might select suits that offer the strength characteristics of NFPA

<sup>37</sup> Independent accredited testing laboratories conducted permeation tests on Tyvek® F for Dupont. The breakthrough times for the chemical warfare agents, Mustard, Tabun, Sarin, Soman, and VX, exceeded 720 minutes. The breakthrough time for Lewisite was 360 minutes. The breakthrough times for industrial chemicals of special concern, Chlorine, Formaldehyde (Formalin solution), Hydrochloric Acid (37%), and Concentrated Sulfuric Acid was greater than 480 minutes. The breakthrough time for Ammonia was 79 minutes, for Ethylene Oxide 65 minutes, for Fuming Nitric Acid 14 minutes, for Sulfur Dioxide 38 minutes, and for Hydrogen Fluoride permeation was immediate (DuPont, 2003). Additionally, TNO Laboratories in the Netherlands tested and certified Tyvek® F, having passed all the standard North American Treaty Organization (NATO) tests for chemical warfare protection (DuPont, 2002).

Class 2 protective garments (for incidents with non-ambulatory victims) and, as a minimum, the permeability and chemical resistance of NFPA Class 3 protective suits (potential for direct liquid droplet or aerosol contact is possible, but not probable).

### **B.3 CONCLUSIONS REGARDING PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT**

Evidence in the U.S. and abroad show that unprotected healthcare workers can be injured by secondary exposure to hazardous substances when they treat contaminated patients. However, OSHA concludes that hospitals that make a conscientious effort can limit the secondary exposure of healthcare workers to a level at which chemical protective clothing (including gloves, boots, and garments with openings taped closed) and PAPRs will provide adequate protection from a wide range of hazardous substances to which first receivers most likely could be exposed.<sup>38</sup> This conclusion is based on the infrequency with which healthcare workers are affected (despite the numerous hazardous substance incidents), the experiences of hospitals treating contaminated victims, the nature of the injuries healthcare workers sustain when they are affected (during both acts of terrorism and accidental releases), and the exposure models described above. OSHA believes that the 1,000-fold protection factor that has been attained by certain PAPRs in simulated workplace conditions, in combination with protective gloves, boots, and garments with openings taped closed, will be adequate to protect first receivers who are decontaminating victims. Furthermore, OSHA believes the decontamination process itself, along with adequate employee training, will prevent injury to ED staff working in the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone.<sup>39</sup> Government experts, researchers, and hospitals alike offer broad support for the use of PAPRs and chemical protective clothing (including gloves, boots, and suits with the openings taped closed) for first receivers performing decontamination activities (Hick et al., 2003a; Fedele et al., 2003, Macintyre et al., 2000; MMWR, 2001).<sup>40</sup>

Despite many hospitals' strong interest in PAPRs as a practical form of respiratory protection for first receivers in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, many knowledgeable sources avoid making specific PPE recommendations, but rather point out the advantages and disadvantages of the various options, or recommend *appropriate* PPE (JCAHO, 2001; Lehmann, 2002; Penn, 2002). Others offer stronger

---

<sup>38</sup> In a 2003 Federal Register entry, OSHA proposed an APF of 1,000 for some models of PAPR (68 FR 34035, June 6, 2003).

<sup>39</sup> The *Hospital Post-decontamination Zone* is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone includes the ED (unless contaminated). In other documents this zone is sometimes called the "Cold Zone."

<sup>40</sup> These sources often refer to this type of protection as "Level C," referring to HAZWOPER Level C PPE. However, as provided in Appendix B of the HAZWOPER Standard, Level C includes a broader range of respiratory protection than these sources usually intend. For this reason, OSHA is avoiding describing basic first receiver PPE using the HAZWOPER terminology.

opinions. CA EMSA (2003a) promotes the use of a multi-tiered approach to PPE. Burgess (1999) indicate that OSHA requires Level B protection or self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) for unknown hazards, but points out there are substantial difficulties for healthcare workers who attempt to care for patients while wearing this type of equipment and also addresses the hazards of wearing SCBAs (e.g., slips, trips, falls, and overexertion, particularly for infrequent users of this equipment). These sources demonstrate appropriate caution in the face of unknown contaminants of unknown concentration. However, OSHA believes that the substantial body of recent information on first receivers' actual experiences and probable exposure levels now allows more definitive guidance.

Although applicable to a wide range of hospitals (small, large, urban, rural, public, and private), OSHA's generally applicable guidance for minimum first receiver PPE ([Table 3](#)) is conditional. To limit first receiver exposures, hospitals must meet the specified prerequisite conditions of eligibility set forth in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#). Only when the hospital has met these prerequisite conditions and assessed the reasonably anticipated hazards to first receivers should the hospital rely upon the PPE guidance set forth in [Table 3](#).

OSHA believes hospitals are becoming increasingly prepared for mass casualty incidents involving unidentified hazardous substances. As a result, OSHA anticipates that many (and eventually most) hospitals will meet the conditions ([Tables 1](#) and [2](#)) that will help them manage secondary exposures such that employees can be effectively protected when using the first responder PPE presented in [Table 3](#). Recent incidents (including the World Trade Center and anthrax attacks) and current JCAHO requirements provide hospitals with strong incentive to take the necessary steps to prepare themselves and their staff to function safely during mass casualty incidents involving hazardous substance releases. Many of these requirements help hospitals better identify the actual conditions that they might face in an emergency, which in turn allows the hospitals to make realistic plans for managing emergencies in a way that minimizes the risk to employees. These requirements, along with the hospital's commitment to maintaining JCAHO accreditation and OSHA compliance, provide incentive for conducting detailed HVAs, identifying the hospital's role in the community, coordinating plans with other organizations, conducting drills to test all phases of preparedness, training personnel, and implementing PPE and respiratory protection programs. The additional exposure-limiting conditions, such as removing and safely containing contaminated clothing and other personal items as soon as victims arrive at the hospital, are primarily procedural and can be addressed through standard operating procedures and clear communication with victims and hospital staff.

OSHA concludes that PAPRs with hood/helmets are a practical choice for first receivers. The loose-fitting hooded PAPRs require no fit testing, can be worn by employees with facial hair and eye glasses, and are generally considered by most workers to be more comfortable than negative pressure APRs (see also Appendix E for a comparison of the relative advantages of various facepiece styles). Hospitals that take the steps outlined in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) can limit the exposures of first receivers to a level against which PAPRs will normally offer suitable protection. Other respirators that provide an APF of 1,000 or higher are also alternatives.

OSHA recommends PAPRs to ensure the appropriate level of protection for situations when the hazardous substance is unknown and unquantified. Negative pressure APRs have a role in protecting first receivers when the hazardous substance has been identified and quantified. First receivers may use such respirators after accurate information confirms that a negative pressure respirator will adequately protect the wearer from the identified inhalation hazard.

Any respiratory protection for first receivers *must* be included in a formal written respiratory protection program, as required by [29 CFR 1910.134](#) (Respiratory Protection), or the parallel State Plan standards. Hospitals can integrate the respirators into their existing respiratory protection program, which must include the following elements:

- Procedures for selecting respirators for use in the workplace.
- Medical evaluations of employees required to use respirators.
- Fit testing procedures for tight-fitting respirators.
- Procedures for proper use of respirators in routine and reasonably anticipated scenarios.
- Procedures and schedules for cleaning, disinfecting, storing, inspecting, repairing, discarding, and otherwise maintaining respirators.
- Procedures for establishing and implementing respirator chemical cartridge change schedules.
- Procedures to ensure adequate air quality, quantity, and flow of breathing air for atmosphere-supplying respirators.
- Training of employees in the respiratory hazards to which they are potentially exposed during routine and emergency situations.

### B.3.1 First Receiver Hospital Decontamination Zones

OSHA has found it appropriate to define two functional zones during hospital-based decontamination activities. These zones, which guide the application of OSHA’s recommendations, are:

- Hospital Decontamination Zone
- Hospital *Post*-decontamination Zone

The *Hospital Decontamination Zone* includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to, places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the ED door. In other documents this zone is sometimes called the “Warm Zone.”

The *Hospital Post-decontamination Zone* is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone includes the ED (unless contaminated). In other documents this zone is sometimes called the “Cold Zone.”

The following pages contain three tables. The first two, [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), list steps that hospitals should take and conditions that must exist before relying upon the recommendations in [Table 3](#). These steps and conditions help limit employee exposures and are necessary to ensure that the PPE for both zones listed in [Table 3](#) will adequately protect employees. In other words, OSHA has determined that the minimum first receiver PPE outlined in [Table 3](#) should protect healthcare workers as they care for contaminated victims of mass casualty incidents within the two zones; however, hospitals need to meet certain exposure-limiting conditions (outlined in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#)) to ensure that employees are adequately protected from all reasonably foreseeable hazards. Many hospitals can, or will soon be able to, meet these conditions, many of which parallel existing JCAHO and OSHA, or OSHA-approved State Plan requirements. These PPE best practices are applicable to all hospitals that might receive victims contaminated with unknown substances; however, hospitals should complete the hazard assessment process and tailor the PPE selection to address also specific hazards they might reasonably be anticipated to encounter. Additionally, some hospitals may determine that a more protective level of PPE is



appropriate for their particular situations. These options include using more protective PPE (to perform specialized activities or when conditions in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) cannot be met), or conducting an independent hazard assessment to support an alternative PPE selection.

**TABLE 1.**  
**Hospital Decontamination Zone**  
Prerequisite Conditions of Eligibility  
for Hospitals to Use the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Selection  
Presented in [Table 3](#)<sup>A,B</sup>

1. Thorough and complete hazard vulnerability analysis (HVA) and emergency management plan (EMP), which consider community input, have been conducted/developed, and have been updated within the past year.
2. The EMP includes plans to assist the numbers of victims that the community anticipates might seek treatment at this hospital, keeping in mind that the vast majority of victims self-refer to the nearest hospital.
3. Preparations specified in the EMP have been implemented (e.g., employee training, equipment selection, maintenance, and a respiratory protection program).
4. The EMP includes methods for handling the numbers of ambulatory and non-ambulatory victims anticipated by the community.
5. The hazardous substance was not released in close proximity to the hospital and the lapse time between the victims' exposure and victims' arrival at the hospital exceeds 10 minutes, thereby permitting substantial levels of gases and vapors from volatile substances time to dissipate.<sup>C</sup>
6. Victims' contaminated clothing and possessions are removed and contained (e.g., double bagged) promptly upon arrival at the hospital. Hospital EMP includes shelter, tepid water, privacy, and coverings to promote victim compliance with decontamination procedures.
7. EMP procedures are in place to ensure that contaminated medical waste and wastewater do not become a secondary source of employee exposure.

And

8. The decontamination system is designed and used to promote fresh air circulation through the system to limit hazardous substance accumulation. Air exchange from a clean source has been considered in the design of fully enclosed systems (i.e., through consultation with professional engineer or certified industrial hygienist) and air is not re-circulated.

<sup>A</sup> The *Hospital Decontamination Zone* includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to, places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the emergency department (ED) door. In other documents this zone is sometimes called the "Warm Zone."

<sup>B</sup> Hospitals that do not meet these conditions should use more protective PPE or conduct a detailed hazard assessment to support a different selection.

<sup>C</sup> Note: The 10-minute delay can be reasonably assumed except in cases where the release occurs immediately adjacent to the hospital (e.g., at a chemical factory next door to the hospital). The 10-minute period is an assumption drawn from the exposure modeling conducted by Fedele et al. (2003).

**TABLE 2.**  
**Hospital *Post*-decontamination Zone**  
Prerequisite Conditions of Eligibility  
to Use the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Selection  
Presented in [Table 3](#)<sup>D,E</sup>

1. Emergency management plan (EMP) is developed and followed in a way that minimizes the emergency department (ED) personnel's reasonably anticipated contact with contaminated victims (e.g., with drills that test communication between the hospital and emergency responders at the incident site to reduce the likelihood of unanticipated victims).
2. Decontamination system (in the Hospital Decontamination Zone) and hospital security can be activated promptly to minimize the chance that victims will enter the ED and contact unprotected staff prior to decontamination.
3. EMP procedures specify that unannounced victims (once identified as possibly contaminated) disrobe in the appropriate decontamination area (not the ED) and follow hospital decontamination procedures before admission (or re-admission) to the ED.
4. Victims in this area were previously decontaminated by a shower with soap and water, including a minimum of 5 minutes under running water. Shower instructions are clearly presented and enforced. Shower facility encourages victim compliance (e.g., tepid water, reasonable degree of privacy).
5. EMP procedures clearly specify actions ED clerks or staff will take if they suspect a patient is contaminated. For example: 1) do not physically contact the patient, 2) immediately notify supervisor and safety officer of possible hospital contamination, and 3) allow qualified personnel to isolate and decontaminate the victim.

And

6. The EMP requires that if the ED becomes contaminated, that space is no longer eligible to be considered a Hospital *Post*-decontamination Zone. Instead, it should be considered contaminated and all employees working in this area should use PPE as described for the Hospital Decontamination Zone (see [Table 3](#)).

<sup>D</sup> The *Hospital Post-decontamination Zone* is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital *Post*-decontamination Zone includes the ED (unless contaminated). In other documents this zone is sometimes called the "Cold Zone."

<sup>E</sup> Hospitals that do not meet these conditions should use more protective PPE or conduct a detailed hazard assessment to support a different selection.

**TABLE 3.**  
**Minimum Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)**  
for Hospital-based First Receivers of Victims from Mass Casualty Incidents  
Involving the Release of Hazardous Substances

<b>THIS TABLE APPLIES WHEN:</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The release site is remote from the hospital.<sup>F</sup></li> <li>▪ Prerequisite conditions of hospital eligibility are already met (Tables 1 and 2).</li> <li>▪ The identity of the hazardous substance is unknown.<sup>G</sup></li> </ul>	
<p><i>Note:</i> This table is part of, and intended to be used with, the document entitled <i>OSHA Best Practices for Hospital-based First Receivers of Victims from Mass Casualty Incidents Involving the Release of Hazardous Substances</i>.</p>	
<b>ZONE</b>	<b>MINIMUM PPE</b>
<p><b><i>Hospital Decontamination Zone<sup>H</sup></i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All employees in this zone</li> </ul> <p>(Includes, but not limited to, any of the following employees: decontamination team members, clinicians, set-up crew, cleanup crew, security staff, and patient tracking clerks.)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Powered air-purifying protectors (PAPR) that provides a protection factor of 1,000.<sup>I</sup> The respirator must be NIOSH-approved.<sup>J</sup></li> <li>▪ Combination 99.97% high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA)/organic vapor/acid gas respirator cartridges (also NIOSH-approved).</li> <li>▪ Double layer protective gloves.<sup>K</sup></li> <li>▪ Chemical resistant suit.</li> <li>▪ Head covering and eye/face protection (if not part of the respirator).</li> <li>▪ Rubber boots.</li> <li>▪ Suit openings sealed with tape.</li> </ul>
<p><b><i>Hospital Post-decontamination Zone<sup>L</sup></i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All employees in this zone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Normal work clothes and PPE, as necessary, for infection control purposes (e.g., gloves, gown, appropriate respirator).</li> </ul>

<sup>F</sup> When the hospital is not the release site, the quantity of contaminant is limited to the amount associated with the victims.

<sup>G</sup> If a hospital is specifically responding to a known hazard, the hospital should adjust the PPE selection accordingly.

<sup>H</sup> The ***Hospital Decontamination Zone*** includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to, places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the emergency department (ED) door.

<sup>I</sup> OSHA recently proposed an assigned protection factor (APF) of 1,000 for certain designs of hood/helmet-style PAPRs (Federal Register, 2003). An OSHA memorandum, which provides interim guidance pending the conclusion of the APF rulemaking, listed several PAPR hood/helmet respirators that are treated as having an APF of 1,000 for protection against particulates in the pharmaceutical industry (OSHA, 2002c (Memo for RAs)). The American National Standards Institute (ANSI), in Standard Z88.2 on Respiratory Protection, also indicates an APF of 1,000 for certain PAPRs. A hooded-style PAPR provides greater skin protection, has greater user acceptance, and does not require fit testing under [29 CFR 1910.134](#), thus might be preferred over a tight-fitting respirator. However, a tight-fitting full facepiece PAPR might offer more protection in the event of PAPR battery failure.

<sup>J</sup> Hospitals should use NIOSH-approved CBRN (chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear) respirators as they become available. When the HVA reveals a potential WMD threat, until NIOSH completes its CBRN certification process for PAPRs use PAPRs that have been tested by the manufacturer for a CBRN environment.

<sup>K</sup> Material for protective gloves, clothing, boots, and hoods should be selected to provide protection against a wide range of hazards, with priority placed on substances first receivers are most likely to encounter (based on the hazard vulnerability analysis (HVA)). No material will protect against all possible hazards.

<sup>L</sup> The ***Hospital Post-decontamination Zone*** is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone includes the ED (unless contaminated).

## C.0 TRAINING FIRST RECEIVERS

The training indicated for first receivers depends on the individuals' roles and functions, the zones in which they work, and the likelihood that they will encounter contaminated patients.<sup>41</sup> OSHA recognizes that hospital staff who decontaminate victims at the hospital are removed from the site of the emergency (OSHA, 2002a, 2002b, 19992b). However, letters of interpretation reaffirm that HAZWOPER First Responder Operations Level and First Responder Awareness Level training are appropriate for first receivers in certain roles and positions. For other employees, a briefing at the time of the incident will be appropriate. In each case the training should be *effective*, that is, provided in a manner the employee is capable of understanding.<sup>42</sup>

The following sections discuss HAZWOPER training provisions and contemplates levels of training commensurate with the employees designated role within the EMP.

### C.1 OPERATIONS LEVEL TRAINING

OSHA letters of interpretation specify that hospitals should provide HAZWOPER First Responder Operations Level training to first receivers who are expected to decontaminate victims or handle victims before they are thoroughly decontaminated (OSHA, 2003, 2002b, 1999, 1992c, 1991a). This level of training is appropriate for anyone with a designated role in the Hospital Decontamination Zone.

Training requirements for First Responder Operations Level appear under [29 CFR 1910.120 \(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#), which indicates a minimum training duration of 8 hours and outlines topics to be covered (competencies the employee must acquire). Both the required competencies and training time were recently confirmed in an interpretive letter (OSHA, 2003). OSHA, however, allows these topics (but not the minimum training time) to be tailored to better meet the needs of first responders. For example, the training might omit topics that are not directly relevant to the employee's role (e.g., recognition of Department of Transportation placards), but instead should include alternative training on hazard recognition (e.g., signs and symptoms of contamination or exposure), on decontamination procedures

---

<sup>41</sup> [State Plan States](#) enforce standards, including the HAZWOPER Standard and its training requirements, which are "at least as effective as" Federal OSHA's standards, and therefore may have more stringent or supplemental requirements.

<sup>42</sup> JCAHO standards require: 1) identification and assignment of personnel to cover all necessary staff positions under emergency conditions, 2) education as to their specific roles and responsibilities during emergencies, 3) information and skills required to perform assigned duties during emergencies, and 4) testing the response phase of EMPs twice a year, including a mandatory practice drill relevant to the priority emergencies in the organization's HVA.

provided by the hospital, and on the selection and use of PPE (OSHA, 1992c). Training that is relevant to the required competencies counts toward the 8-hour requirement, even if the training is provided as a separate course. For example, training on PPE that will be used during victim decontamination activities may be applied towards the 8-hour minimum Operations Level training requirement, regardless of whether the PPE training is conducted as part of a specific HAZWOPER training course or as part of another training program (OSHA, 1992c).

First Responder Awareness Level training also counts towards the 8-hour requirement for Operations Level training. This point is clarified in a recent letter of interpretation issued by OSHA: "...if you spend two hours training employees in the required competencies for First Responder Awareness Level as described in [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(i\)\(A\)-\(F\)](#), then you would need to spend at least six additional hours training employees in the required competencies for First Responder Operations Level as described in [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)\(A\)-\(F\)](#). Depending on the employees' job duties and prior education and experience, more than eight hours of training may be needed" (OSHA, 2003).

As an alternative to the 8-hour training requirement, the HAZWOPER Standard allows employees to demonstrate competence in specific areas, presented in [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#) and reproduced in Section 1.2.3.1 of Appendix A. OSHA reaffirmed this point in a letter of interpretation, stating "...employees with sufficient experience may objectively demonstrate the required competencies instead of completing eight hours of training" (OSHA, 2003). However, it is important to note that in most hospital settings it might be difficult to ensure that employees have sufficient experience to waive the training requirement. Most hospital employees do not have extensive experience with hazardous materials or PAPRs, and decontamination activities are performed infrequently.

Hospitals should document how training requirements are met. This is particularly important whenever hospitals allow employees to satisfy any portion of the training requirement through other related training or through demonstration of competence. The HAZWOPER Standard requires and an OSHA letter of interpretation confirms that "the employer should certify in writing the comparable training or demonstrated competencies" (OSHA, 2003).

Annual refresher training is specified under [1910.120\(q\)\(8\)\(i\)](#), or the parallel State Plan standards; however the length of the refresher training is not specified. Instead, the standard indicates that employees trained at the First Responder Operations Level "shall receive annual refresher training of sufficient content and duration to maintain their competencies, or shall demonstrate competency in those

areas at least yearly.” Additionally, the hospital must document that refresher training was performed, or alternatively, keep a record of how the employee demonstrated competency.

The initial and annual refresher training to the HAZWOPER First Responder Operations Level should be provided to all hospital personnel who have been designated to provide treatment, triage, decontamination, or other services to contaminated individuals or who may reasonably be expected to come in contact with those individuals arriving at the hospital. Training should include, at a minimum:

- Understanding the hospital emergency operations plan and their roles in the response.
- Site safety, including risks to receiving personnel.
- Appropriate selection and use of PPE.
- Decontamination procedures.

The Operations Level training related to the use of PPE should include topics such as those specified by OSHA’s Personal Protective Equipment Standard ([29 CFR 1910.132](#)). Under that standard, the hospital should provide training to each employee who is required to use PPE. At a minimum, that training should cover the following:

- When PPE is necessary.
- What PPE is necessary.
- How to properly put on, remove, adjust, and wear PPE.
- Limitations of PPE.
- Proper care, maintenance, useful life, and disposal of PPE.

Employees should demonstrate their understanding of the training by showing they can use the PPE properly, prior to using the protective equipment in the workplace. Refresher training is warranted when the employee cannot demonstrate proficiency in the proper care and use of the PPE, when changes in the workplace render the previous training obsolete, or when changes in the type of PPE to be used render the previous training obsolete. OSHA specifies that the hospital should maintain a written record of employee training.

Operations Level training should also include training indicated by OSHA’s Respiratory Protection Standard ([29 CFR 1910.134](#)), or the parallel State Plan standards. Specifically, any employee

who must wear a respirator should be trained in the proper use and limitations of that device prior to its use in the workplace. The training should be comprehensive enough that the employee will be able to demonstrate knowledge of the capabilities and limitations of the respirator (e.g., why respiratory protection is necessary; how improper fit, usage, or maintenance can compromise the respirator, causing a potential overexposure to air contaminants). The employee also should be able to demonstrate competence in wearing the complete PPE ensemble, including respirator, protective garment, gloves, boots, and other safety equipment required for the employee's role. Refresher training is required *at least annually*, or sooner if changes in the workplace or type of respirator render previous training inadequate. Refresher training is also indicated if the employee does not demonstrate proficiency in the proper care and use of the respirator, or any other time when retraining appears necessary to ensure safe respirator use.

At a minimum, training under OSHA's Respiratory Protection Standard should cover the following topic areas:

- The nature of the respiratory hazard, and why a respirator is needed.
- Respirator capabilities, limitations, and consequences, if the respirator is not used correctly.
- How to handle respirator malfunctions and other emergencies.
- How to inspect, put on, remove, use, and check seals on the respirator.
- Maintenance and storage procedures.
- When to change cartridges on APRs.
- How to recognize medical signs and symptoms that may limit or prevent effective use of a respirator.
- General requirements of the respiratory protection program.

Note that first receivers who wear respiratory protection shall be deemed medically qualified to do so. Employees who wear tight-fitting respirators also must be properly fit tested as indicated in [29 CFR 1910.134](#) (Respiratory Protection), or the parallel State Plan standards.



## C.2 AWARENESS LEVEL TRAINING

First Responder Awareness Level training is appropriate for those employees who work in the contaminant-free Hospital Post-decontamination Zone, but might be in a position to identify a contaminated victim who arrived unannounced. This group includes ED clinicians, ED clerks, and ED triage staff who would be responsible for notifying hospital authorities of the arrival, but would not reasonably be anticipated to have contact with the contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. The group also includes decontamination system set-up crew members and patient tracking clerks, if their roles do not put them in contact with contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste (e.g., setting up the decontamination system before victims arrive, or tracking patients from a location outside of the decontamination zone).

An OSHA letter of interpretation indicates that police officers also should be trained to the First Responder Awareness Level, at a minimum (OSHA, 1991b). This minimum level would be appropriate for hospital security guards who work *away from* the Hospital Decontamination Zone, but who may be involved tangentially in a mass casualty event (specifically, those security personnel who would not reasonably be anticipated to come in contact with contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste). Security staff assigned to roles in the Hospital Decontamination Zone would require a higher level of training (e.g., First Responder Operations Level).

Training requirements for First Responder Awareness Level appear under [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(i\)](#), which does not indicate a specific minimum training duration, but outlines topics to be covered (competencies the employee must acquire). As with Operations Level training, the HAZWOPER Standard allows an alternative to the Awareness Level training requirement. Training can be waived if the employee has had sufficient experience to objectively demonstrate competency in specific areas. These areas are listed in [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(i\)](#), or the parallel State Plan standards, and reproduced in Appendix A, Section 1.2.3.2.

Annual refresher training is indicated for employees trained at the Awareness Level. As with Operations Level refresher training, the class content should be adequate to maintain the employees' competence, and the hospital should document the training or the method used to demonstrate the employees' competence.

### **C.3 BRIEFING FOR SKILLED SUPPORT PERSONNEL WHOSE PARTICIPATION WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY ANTICIPATED**

A member of the staff who has not been designated, but is unexpectedly called on to minister to a contaminated victim, or perform other work in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, is considered “skilled support personnel.” Examples include a medical specialist or a trade person, such as an electrician. These individuals should receive expedient orientation to site operations, immediately prior to providing such services (OSHA, 1997). The orientation should include:

- Nature of the hazard (if known).
- Expected duties.
- Appropriate use of PPE.
- Other appropriate safety and health precautions (e.g., decontamination procedures).

As part of the briefing, these personnel also should be medically cleared for respirator use and properly fit tested (if wearing a tight-fitting respirator), as required by [29 CFR 1910.134](#) (Respiratory Protection), or the parallel State Plan standards. See Section 1.2.3.3 in Appendix A for additional information on briefing content.

While a “just in time” briefing during the response is the only *required* training for these personnel, time and resource limitations inherent in a crisis likely will diminish the effectiveness of such training. Thus, hospitals should diligently consider the broad range of skills/capabilities that may be required within the Decontamination Zone during a mass casualty event and attempt to identify, and train, all persons who may be called to work in the Decontamination Zone prior to a mass casualty event.

### **C.4 TRAINING SIMILAR TO THAT OUTLINED IN THE HAZARD COMMUNICATION STANDARD**

Hospitals should consider offering a basic level of training for other employees in the ED, such as housekeeping staff. This group could include those personnel who do not have a role in the decontamination process, reasonably would not be expected to encounter self-referred contaminated patients, and reasonably would not be expected to come in contact with contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. OSHA’s Hazard Communication Standard offers a useful model for appropriate training, which could include general information on the hospital’s emergency procedures and plans for mass casualty incidents involving contaminated victims, steps the employees can take to protect

themselves (usually by leaving the area), and the measures the hospital has implemented to protect employees in the ED. While not *required* under the OSH Act, such training could help to ensure that all staff in the ED understand what precautions and actions would (and would not) be expected of them if an incident occurred.

## C.5 SUMMARY OF TRAINING FOR FIRST RECEIVERS

[Table 4](#) summarizes OSHA's current guidance on training first receivers for mass casualty emergencies. References to related OSHA interpretation letters are included. Employees are categorized according to zone (namely, *Hospital Decontamination Zone* and *Post-decontamination Zone*); whether they have designated roles in the zone; and the likelihood of contact with contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. Hospitals should note that the training levels presented are *minimum* training levels and can be increased or augmented, as appropriate, to better protect employees, other patients, and the facility in general.

**TABLE 4.**  
**Training for First Receivers**

MINIMUM TRAINING	FIRST RECEIVERS COVERED	REFERENCE
<p><b>First Responder OPERATIONS LEVEL<sup>M</sup></b></p> <p><b>Initial training</b></p> <p><b>Annual refresher</b></p> <p>Both initial and refresher training may be satisfied by demonstration of competence.</p>	<p><i>All employees with designated roles in the Hospital Decontamination Zone<sup>N</sup> This group includes, but is not limited to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Decontamination staff, including decontamination victim inspectors; clinicians who will triage and/or stabilize victims prior to decontamination<sup>O</sup>; security staff [e.g., crowd control and controlling access to the emergency department (ED)]; set-up crew; and patient tracking clerks.</li> </ul>	<p>OSHA, 2003, 1992c, 1999</p>
<p><b>Briefing at the time of the incident<sup>P,Q</sup></b></p>	<p><i>Other employees whose role in the Hospital Decontamination Zone was <u>not previously anticipated</u> (i.e., who are called in incidentally).</i></p> <p><i>(e.g., a medical specialist or trade person, such as an electrician)</i></p>	<p>OSHA, 1997</p>
<p><b>First Responder AWARENESS LEVEL</b></p> <p><b>Initial training</b></p> <p><b>Annual refresher</b></p> <p>Both initial and refresher training may be satisfied by demonstration of competence.</p>	<p>a) <i>Security personnel, set-up crew, and patient tracking clerks assigned only to patient receiving areas proximate to the Decontamination Zone where they might encounter, but should <u>not</u> have contact with, contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste.</i></p> <p>b) <i>ED clinicians, clerks, triage staff, and other employees associated with emergency departments, who might encounter self-referred contaminated victims (and their belongings, equipment, or waste) without receiving prior notification that such victims have been contaminated.</i></p>	<p>OSHA, 1991a, 1991b</p>
RECOMMENDED TRAINING	PERSONNEL COVERED	REFERENCE
<p><b>Training similar to that outlined in the Hazard Communication Standard<sup>R</sup></b></p>	<p><i>Other personnel in the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone who reasonably would not be expected to encounter or come in contact with unannounced contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste.<sup>S,T</sup></i></p> <p><i>(e.g., other ED staff, such as housekeepers)</i></p>	<p><a href="#">29 CFR 1910.1200(h)</a></p>

<sup>M</sup> The employer shall certify that personnel trained at the “First Responder Operations Level” have received at least eight hours of specific training (which can include Awareness Level training, PPE training, and training exercise/drills), or have had sufficient experience to objectively demonstrate competency in specific key areas. Refresher training should be provided annually and must be of sufficient content and duration to maintain competencies. Alternatively, the employee may demonstrate competence (i.e., skills) (OSHA HAZWOPER [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#)). Training should include hands-on use of PPE. Participation in training exercises/drills is recommended to ensure competency.

<sup>N</sup> The *Hospital Decontamination Zone* includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to: places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the ED door.

<sup>O</sup> The term *clinician* includes physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians’ assistants, and others.

<sup>P</sup> The briefing must include (at a minimum) instruction on wearing the appropriate PPE, the nature of the hazard, expected duties, and the safety and health precautions the individual should take (OSHA, 1997 (Whittaker); [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(4\)](#)).

<sup>Q</sup> Note that the individual must be medically qualified ([29 CFR 1910.134](#)), fitted ([1910.132](#) and [.134](#)), and trained ([1910.132](#) and [.134](#)) to use the required PPE. These qualifications are difficult to achieve at the time of the incident and, whenever possible, should be accomplished prior to an incident.

<sup>R</sup> While HAZCOM training is not required pursuant to the OSH Act for most of the scenarios contemplated in this document, a prudent employer may consider adopting and appropriately modifying the training provisions in the HAZCOM standard to provide information to personnel who would not be expected to come in contact with unannounced contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste.

<sup>S</sup> The *Hospital Post-decontamination Zone* is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone includes the ED (unless contaminated).

<sup>T</sup> If the ED becomes contaminated, the hospital’s decontamination procedures should be activated by the properly trained and equipped employees (refer to the Hospital Decontamination Zone in this table and Table 3).

## **APPENDICES**

The following appendices provide references and examples, which might be useful to hospitals developing or upgrading emergency management plans (EMPs). OSHA offers these examples for informational purposes only and does not recommend one option over the many effective alternatives that exist.

*This page intentionally blank*

## **APPENDIX A**

# **Background, Literature Review, and Site Visit Examples**

## Appendix A

### Background, Literature Review, and Site Visit Examples

#### 1.0 PREPAREDNESS

The following discussion provides examples of ways hospitals have attempted to enhance employee protection as part of general preparedness for mass casualty emergencies involving contaminated victims. This discussion is designed to further worker health and safety by referencing practices and procedures considered and/or adopted in the healthcare community. However, statements in this appendix cannot create nor diminish obligations under the occupational safety and health (OSH) Act.

In making preparations, hospitals must consider key assumptions regarding communication, resources, and victims. When developing plans, hospitals should anticipate:

- Victims will arrive with little or no warning to the hospital.
- Information regarding the hazardous agent(s) will not be available immediately.
- A large number of victims will be self-referred victims (as much as 80 percent of the total number of victims).
- Victims will not necessarily have been decontaminated prior to arriving at the hospital.
- A high percentage of people arriving at the hospital will have experienced little or no exposure and this eventuality should be considered in decontamination plans.
- Most victims will go to the hospital closest to the site where the emergency occurred.
- Victims will use other entrances in addition to the emergency department (ED).

Sources: Auf Der Heide, 2003; Barbera and Macintyre, 2003; Okumura et al., 1996.

Administrators making preparations for mass casualty incidents should note that hospitals are part of the community's critical infrastructure and continuity of operations must be maintained.

#### 1.1 CUSTOMIZING HOSPITAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANS

The hospital emergency management plan (EMP) outlines how the facility will respond to an emergency. The plan should address the hazards the hospital will encounter, identify the hospital's role in the response, and serve as a road map for incident preparation, response, and recovery.



No organization can prepare fully for every conceivable emergency. To use resources effectively, a hospital requires information that will help emergency planners make informed decisions about the type, probability, severity, and impact of specific hazards to which the hospital might be subject. A hazard vulnerability analysis (HVA) assists a hospital in organizing this information, which is used to customize the hazard assessment for personal protective equipment (PPE) selection (a critical aspect of the EMP). The Joint Committee for Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) requires an HVA as the first step in emergency planning (JCAHO, 2004). Specific information on conducting HVAs may be obtained directly from JCAHO.

The HVA and resulting preparations are only as specific to the individual hospital as the information on which preparation decisions are based. Important modifying factors include the hospital's role in the community, how up-to-date the hospital EMP is, and formal planning agreements between the hospital and other organizations that have roles in emergency response activities. With knowledge of these details, hospitals can customize EMPs and effectively tailor preparedness (including employee protection) to address the circumstances relevant to that hospital.

### **1.1.1 Using Information from a Hazard Vulnerability Analysis**

As noted previously, an HVA helps hospitals organize information and guide decision making. A thorough HVA can serve as the basis for informed decisions regarding the training and equipment employees will require to protect themselves under foreseeable emergency scenarios.

The hospitals interviewed use variations of a few publicly available HVA formats. See Appendix F for examples of two formats (additional examples are available from other sources). The tool is often slightly modified by the individual hospital to include additional information that the hospital finds helpful for making decisions or communicating with management.

A popular HVA, an electronic spreadsheet, prompts the user to enter a numerical rating (e.g., 1 to 3) for various factors associated with each of numerous listed threats (both from a standard list and additional hazards added by the user). JCAHO (2002) offers a matrix of threats that hospitals might consider. The user generates (or the spreadsheet calculates) a hazard vulnerability score based on the inputs. The inputs may be weighted to reflect the importance of certain information to the final score. Hospitals use both the final score and the individual numerical rating inputs to identify and rank priority areas that should receive administrative attention or resources.

Other hospitals use a tabular format HVA and more descriptive text input to guide the user through the analysis. The tables can provide more information, but are also more cumbersome for evaluating a large selection of threats. Because these formats are more likely to have been developed in-house, they tend to be more diverse.

None of the HVA formats have been validated to determine whether the inputs and final assessment accurately reflect hazard vulnerability. Nevertheless, an informal qualitative review conducted by the developer of one HVA spreadsheet tool suggested that independent users, when operating in similar hospital and community environments, do generally arrive at similar conclusions regarding vulnerability and priorities for improvement (Saruwatari, 2003).

The hospitals interviewed for this project agree that the HVA should be updated frequently and reviewed at least annually, as required by JCAHO (2004). By collaborating with Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPCs), hospitals can keep current with information on changes in threats in their localities.<sup>43</sup> Hospital D noted that, if appropriate, resources could be reallocated sooner if emergency managers are able to update the HVA as new information arrives (e.g., emerging threats), rather than waiting for an annual review cycle.<sup>44</sup> These changes can also modify the local hospital's vulnerability to those hazards. As an example, Hospital D had rated "preparation for chlorine-related emergencies" as a top priority. When the local potable water facility changed processes, the threat of a large-scale chlorine emergency was eliminated from the community. Upon revising the HVA, Hospital D was able to redirect resources to address the next most urgent threat without waiting until the next annual review cycle.

Characteristics of the community (e.g., businesses, chemical inventory, population, transportation lines, clandestine drug labs, and possible targets of terrorism) influence the type of hazardous substance-related emergencies that a hospital might reasonably anticipate. This information should be considered in the HVA. These factors range from the number and condition of victims that the hospital might reasonably anticipate the rate at which hazard information could become available during an emergency.

### **1.1.2 Identifying the Hospital's Role in the Community**

The community in which a hospital is located and the hospital's role in that community impact emergency preparations on several levels. Hospital D's emergency manager suggested that the real

---

<sup>43</sup> Visit < [www.epa.gov/swercepp/lepclist.htm](http://www.epa.gov/swercepp/lepclist.htm) > to see listings for LEPCs by location.

<sup>44</sup> See acknowledgments at the beginning of this document for a brief statement about hospitals interviewed for this guidance.

objective of emergency planning is “community preparedness, and a hospital’s preparedness represents only one component.” For the purposes of this discussion, “community” is defined as the local population center that the hospital serves on a day-to-day basis, as well as any additional population centers from which the hospital would reasonably expect to receive victims in the event of a mass casualty emergency involving hazardous substances.

Fully coordinated planning helps hospitals identify their roles in their communities. Roles vary considerably with individual circumstances, but ultimately have a strong impact on the conditions and hazards for which a hospital must plan employee protection. Examples of roles some hospitals fill (or expect to fill) in their communities include:

- Providing decontamination and treatment for any and all victims.
- Promoting wider level of preparedness in the community by providing low-cost hazard communication or hazardous waste operations and emergency response (HAZWOPER) training for local government and business emergency response personnel.
- Providing information and services related to emergency preparedness (e.g., respirator medical clearance).
- Participating actively in multi-disciplined community-based planning and preparedness activities, such as LEPCs (e.g., Hospital D reports devoting a minimum of 12 man-hours per week to its active role in *community* preparedness. This time is in addition to the hours spent managing the hospital’s internal preparations).

The hospitals interviewed for this project also note that, in addition to a better coordinated community emergency response plan, they receive additional financial, informational, and business benefits from active participation in community-focused emergency preparedness and planning. The following list indicates benefits that hospitals can derive from an active role in community emergency preparedness:

- Increased access to community records, which help managers improve the accuracy of the HVA and help the hospital customize its EMP.<sup>45</sup>
- Increased access to grants and other financial resources.

---

<sup>45</sup> Hospitals report that some useful community records include statistics on local hazardous materials incidents, population census and demographic information, local probability rates for natural disasters, Chamber of Commerce data, and information on types and quantities of hazardous substances used by local industry (e.g., EPA Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) Section 311/312, 40 CFR Part 370, Hazardous chemical storage reporting requirements, described in further detail at: [http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/epcra.pdf/\\$File/epcra.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/epcra.pdf/$File/epcra.pdf)).

- Group buying power that allows for volume discounts or government rates (including reduced sales tax) for equipment and supplies.
- More opportunities to contain costs by sharing or trading expertise, training resources, equipment, and services.
- Greater opportunity to tailor community drills so they test the hospital's emergency plans.
- Increased opportunities to network and develop useful alliances with emergency first responders and other emergency planners (particularly useful for resolving complex issues that emerge as groups coordinate their activities under difficult circumstances).
- Greater visibility in the community and increased respect as a valued resource and partner (including among business leaders).

### 1.1.3 Updating Emergency Management Plans

EMPs should be reviewed periodically for the same reasons the HVA is updated — situations change.<sup>46</sup> Common changes that can impact employee protection include the types of foreseeable hazardous situations that might be encountered in an emergency, the anticipated needs of the community, the availability of other emergency response organizations to fill certain roles, the type of equipment available to protect employees, and personnel turnover.

Hospitals should already be in compliance with applicable OSHA health and safety standards, such as those listed below (or parallel OSHA-approved State Plan standards). Additionally, during the periodic EMP evaluation, hospitals should review the regulations to ensure the plan continues to be compliant.

- OSH Act – General Duty Clause (Section (5)(a)(1))
- HAZWOPER – [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)](#)
- Personal Protective Equipment – [29 CFR 1910.132](#)
- Eye and Face Protection – [29 CFR 1910.133](#)
- Respiratory Protection – [29 CFR 1910.134](#)<sup>47</sup>
- Hand Protection – [29 CFR 1910.138](#)

---

<sup>46</sup> JCAHO (2004) requires that both the HVA and the EMP be evaluated annually, with particular attention to “its objectives, scope, functionality and effectiveness.”

<sup>47</sup> For additional information on the OSHA Respiratory Protection Standard, see < <http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/etools/respiratory/index.html> >.

- Hazard Communication – [29 CFR 1910.1200\(h\)](#)
- Bloodborne Pathogens – [29 CFR 1910.1030](#)
- Ethylene Oxide – [29 CFR 1910.1047](#)
- Formaldehyde – [29 CFR 1910.1048](#)

#### **1.1.4 Coordinating Emergency Plans with Other Organizations**

Well-coordinated EMPs ensure that hospitals are aware of the capabilities of first responders and other hospitals, as well as what the local professional and response community expects from them.<sup>48</sup> Coordinated plans encourage open lines of communication and improve the safety of both victims and healthcare workers. The following example demonstrates the value of coordinated EMPs. After problems were identified during a drill, Hospital D determined that healthcare workers needed faster access to information from hazardous materials incident sites. Initially, the fire department felt Hospital D's request for more timely information would be too burdensome during life-threatening emergencies. When the two organizations met, however, they each learned the reasons behind the other's needs. As a result, the first responders recognized that, by coordinating efforts, they could enhance the first receivers' ability to provide rapid and appropriate care to victims. The fire department was able to modify its own EMP to incorporate direct communication between the hospital and a representative of the incident commander at the scene.

The hospitals interviewed for this project mentioned several methods by which they improve EMP coordination and communication:

- Use compatible Incident Command System structures.<sup>49,50</sup>
- Get to know members of the other organizations and the details of their plans. Seek opportunities to improve communication.
- Seek input from other organizations (such as local emergency planning groups) when developing or updating plans.

---

<sup>48</sup> JCAHO (2004) requires, and OSHA (2001) recommends, that organizations coordinate emergency management planning efforts.

<sup>49</sup> As an example, the publicly available Hospital Emergency Incident Command System (HEICS) uses the same structure and vocabulary as the widely used Fire Department Incident Command System. Emergency services leaders report that the respective command systems interface well, without loss of organizational identity (San Mateo County HSA, 1998). A brief introduction to HEICS appears in Appendix G.

<sup>50</sup> For additional information on incident command systems see < <http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/etools/ics/index.html> > and < <http://www.emsa.ca.gov/Dms2/HISTORY.HTM> > (also attached to this guidance document as Appendix G).

- Use compatible forms of communication, such as radios capable of operating on the same frequency. Keep compatibility in mind when purchasing equipment.
- Participate in multi-organizational drills. Execute multi-organizational drills that test the way organizations interact under adverse conditions.
- Analyze drills to identify areas that need improvement and meet directly with the other organizations to develop action plans that provide mutually agreeable, practical solutions to problems. Bear in mind that a solution suggested by one party might not be feasible for another organization to implement.
- Test inter-organizational communication systems at every opportunity (e.g., fire department, law enforcement, emergency medical services, environmental management, and other hospitals).<sup>51</sup>

Barbera and Macintyre (2003) suggest the following organizations with which hospitals should coordinate:

- Public health groups (including special laboratories).
- Local emergency management organizations (e.g., LEPCs).
- Emergency medical services.
- Law enforcement, at all levels.

## 1.2 PREPARING STAFF AND MANAGEMENT

### 1.2.1 Applicable Standards

Organizations, such as OSHA, those states operating OSHA-approved State Plans, JCAHO, the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), and other state or local government agencies, set standards that govern employee preparation, particularly regarding employee training and medical evaluations. OSHA standards, or the parallel State Plan standards, relevant to the training of first receivers include the HAZWOPER, Personal Protective Equipment, Respiratory Protection, and Hazard Communication Standards.<sup>52</sup> Hospitals with decontamination facilities should also comply with the requirement for medical evaluations contained in the HAZWOPER and Respiratory Protection Standards.

---

<sup>51</sup> JCAHO standards require cooperative planning among healthcare organizations that together provide services to a contiguous geographic area (for example, among hospitals serving a town or borough). Such planning is intended to facilitate the timely sharing of information about: 1) essential elements of their command structures and control centers for emergency response; 2) names, roles, and telephone numbers of individuals in their command structures; 3) resources and assets that could potentially be shared or pooled in an emergency response; and 4) names of patients and deceased individuals brought to their organizations to facilitate identification and location of victims of the emergency.

<sup>52</sup> HAZWOPER – [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)](#); Personal protective Equipment – [29 CFR 1910.132](#); Respiratory Protection – [29 CFR 1910.134](#); Hazard Communication – [29 CFR 1910.1200\(h\)](#).

JCAHO (2004) requires “an orientation and education program for all personnel, including licensed independent practitioners, who participate in implementing the emergency management plan.” When plans involve management of chemical hazards, OSHA’s HAZWOPER and hazard communication (HAZCOM) Standards complement the JCAHO requirements by providing specific topics that should be addressed during the training. Other requirements of these standards might also apply (e.g., training duration, demonstration of skills, and retraining), depending on whether the HAZWOPER (Hospital Decontamination Zone) or HAZCOM (Hospital Post-decontamination Zone) standard is in effect.<sup>53</sup> NFPA (2002) suggests competencies for incident commanders and others responding to hazardous materials incidents.

### **1.2.2 Maintaining Decontamination Teams**

A challenge for any hospital is the need to maintain a decontamination team, without compromising the ability of hospital departments to provide medical treatment for patients.

Hospitals interviewed for this project use employees from a range of specialties to maintain minimal staffing levels in patient care areas. In addition to drawing limited staff from the ED, Hospital A suggests including individuals from departments such as mental health, facilities and engineering, and security on decontamination teams.

The hospitals also indicate that it is often possible to identify individuals in unrelated departments who are uniquely qualified to serve on the team due to previous military experience, work history, or volunteer service. Hospital A staffs a particularly large decontamination team (over 100 members) by drawing from employees with relevant skills from past experience in fire departments, emergency medical services, rescue units, HAZMAT or hazardous waste handling, National Guard, and military reserve units. In these cases, the previous experience might be a more important selection criterion than the individual’s day-to-day role in the hospital. Hospital A avoids assigning unwilling staff to their team, citing the advantages of volunteer team members’ enthusiasm and willingness to participate in training and drills.

---

<sup>53</sup> Employer obligations pursuant to the HAZWOPER and HAZCOM standards are determined by the hazards to which it is reasonably possible for employees to be exposed, given the nature and locations of the employees’ work.

Decontamination teams might include individuals who perform the following functions:

- \*Decontamination team leader – responsible for management of the decontamination operation.<sup>54</sup>
- \*Decontamination safety officer – responsible for monitoring the decontamination area for developing hazards and for ensuring team safety.
- \*Pre-decontamination triage – responsible for assessing medical status and prioritizing victims for decontamination.
- Decontamination system set-up.
- Security.
- Decontamination hospital attendants.
- Post-decontamination inspection.
- Cleanup and decontamination crew (surfaces, equipment, human and hazardous wastes).
- Other roles that might be performed in the Hospital Decontamination Zone under some circumstances (e.g., patient tracking, assistants helping team members with PPE).

The size of the decontamination team depends on the minimum number of people required to operate the decontamination system and implement the hospital’s decontamination procedures. Activities involving a few victims and small decontamination systems usually require only a few staff members, each of whom might fill several functional roles. Hick et al. (2003b) suggest that a small hospital might have a 2-person team available at all times, while a metropolitan hospital might need a 5-person team available to work in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, with additional personnel on-call to allow for staff rotation. According to Hick, the 5-person team would include one person handling triage and coordinating pre-decontamination treatment, two people working with non-ambulatory victims, and two team members working with ambulatory victims. During a major emergency in a metropolitan area, hospitals might be required to continue operations “at maximum capacity for at least 2 to 4 hours, with appropriate staff rotations” (Hick et al., 2003b).

Another hospital organization advocates a 12-member (minimum) decontamination team, all wearing PPE. Although it is recognized that smaller hospitals would not be able to staff such a robust team, the rationale may illustrate useful points. Under this model, the Northern Virginia Hospital Alliance calls for a single “team leader,” three team members responsible for conducting ambulatory

---

<sup>54</sup> \*Hick et al. (2003b) recommend job action sheets be developed for these positions and other decontamination team members who serve key roles. Sample job action sheets are available at < [www.hazmatforhealthcare.org](http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org) >.



decontamination (one to assist in the undressing, one to supervise showering, and one to assist in the re-dressing), four team members to participate in the care of non-ambulatory patients, and four security personnel to preserve the perimeter of the Hospital Decontamination Zone.

### **1.2.3 Orienting and Training Personnel**

First receiver training that was discussed previously in Section B is summarized here:

First Responder Operations Level training is required for employees (including security staff) who have a major role in the Hospital Decontamination Zone, as well as the hospital's contamination cleanup crew.<sup>55</sup>

First Responder Awareness Level training is required for ED clerks and ED triage staff who might identify unannounced contaminated victims (then notify the proper authority) and security staff working outside the Hospital Decontamination Zone.

A briefing at the time of the incident is required for employees whose roles in the Hospital Decontamination Zone could not be anticipated before the incident ("skilled support personnel" – e.g., a medical specialist or a trade person, such as an electrician).

Information similar to hazard communication training is recommended for ED staff and other employees who work in the ED (Hospital Post-decontamination Zone), provided contaminated victims would not have access to them.

#### ***1.2.3.1 Competencies for First Responder Operations Level Training***

The HAZWOPER Standard, paragraph [1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#) indicates that employees trained at the First Responder Operations Level shall have received at least eight hours of training or have had sufficient experience to objectively demonstrate competency (e.g., in exercises and drills) in the following areas:

- \*An understanding of what hazardous substances are, and the risks associated with them in an incident.<sup>56</sup>

---

<sup>55</sup> First Responder Operations Level and Awareness Level training requirements appear in OSHA's HAZWOPER Standard, [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)](#), (or parallel State Plan standards).

<sup>56</sup> \* Indicates the item is also a competency for Awareness Level training.

- \*An understanding of the potential outcomes associated with an emergency when hazardous substances are present.
- \*The ability to recognize the presence of hazardous substances in an emergency through signs and symptoms of exposure.
- \*The ability to identify the hazardous substances, if possible.
- \*An understanding of their role in the hospital's emergency response plan, including site security and control, and decontamination procedures (OSHA, 1992c).
- \*The ability to realize the need for additional resources and to make appropriate notifications to the communication center.
- Knowledge of the basic hazard and risk assessment techniques.
- Know how to select and use proper PPE.
- An understanding of basic hazardous materials terms.
- Know how to perform basic control, containment, and/or confinement operations within the capabilities of the resources and PPE available.
- Know how to implement basic decontamination procedures.
- An understanding of the relevant standard operating procedures and termination procedures.

Several examples of HAZWOPER First Responder Operations Level training curricula are available for hospitals preparing employees to conduct decontamination activities (HAZMAT for Healthcare, 2003; CA EMSA, 2003a; VA, 2003; Sutter Health, 2002). However, these curricula are not necessarily designed as 8-hour presentations (some are longer, others are shorter and intended for use when employees are able to demonstrate specific areas of competency).

Hospital A and Hospital G opt to provide more than 8 hours of training to decontamination team employees. Hospital A requires staff who will have a direct role in decontamination activities to undergo 24 hours of initial training and an additional 16 hours of refresher training annually. Employees can satisfy some of the training requirement by attending monthly educational team meetings. Other training is provided using a standard course curriculum developed by the Department of Veterans Affairs.<sup>57</sup>

---

<sup>57</sup> Hospital A feels this level of training is the minimum required to maintain its world-class decontamination team, which drills with out-of-state military units and, due to location, would be called upon to decontaminate victims from a national chemical weapons arsenal, should an accident occur.

Hospital G is in the process of changing from a single yearly 8-hour course curriculum to a program that provides twelve 1-hour sessions. The emergency planner believes that an annual training day is not the best condition for learning and skills retention. Under the new system, Hospital G divides the required training topics into 12 modules, one for each month, including several opportunities to don PPE over the course of a year. The monthly module will be presented several times on each shift. Although the net hours of training per student will be greater annually, the departments might find it less burdensome to release students for the shorter classes. Thus, instructors will teach fewer classes, resulting in a net savings in man-hours.

As mentioned earlier, 8 hours of First Responder Operations Level training might not be necessary for employees who have sufficient experience. These employees are allowed to demonstrate competency as an alternative to 8 hours of training. In most hospital settings, however, it might be difficult to ensure that employees have sufficient experience to waive the training requirement. Most hospital employees do not have extensive experience with hazardous materials and decontamination activities are performed infrequently, thus more than 8 hours of training may be helpful to ensure competence. Employees particularly benefit from the practical experience they gain during training provided as part of exercises and drills. These events also offer employees an opportunity to demonstrate competence in critical areas.

### ***1.2.3.2 Competencies for First Responder Awareness Level Training***

First responders at the awareness level shall have sufficient training or have had sufficient experience to objectively demonstrate competency in the following areas, as required by the HAZWOPER Standard, paragraph [1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(i\)](#), (or the parallel State Plan standards):

- An understanding of what hazardous substances are, and the risks associated with them in an incident.
- An understanding of the potential outcomes associated with an emergency created when hazardous substances are present.
- The ability to recognize the presence of hazardous substances in an emergency.<sup>58</sup>
- The ability to identify the hazardous substances, if possible.
- An understanding of their role in the hospital's emergency response plan, including site security and control, and decontamination procedures (OSHA, 1992c).

---

<sup>58</sup> For first receivers, recognition of signs and symptoms would satisfy this training topic.

- The ability to realize the need for additional resources and to make appropriate notifications to the communication center.

In addition to the HAZWOPER training topics, staff who might identify contaminated victims that arrive unannounced require specific instructions for handling the situation. Once ED clerks or staff suspect a patient is contaminated, they should be well trained in the following procedure:

- 1) Avoid physical contact with the patient.
- 2) Immediately notify supervisor and safety officer of possible hospital contamination.
- 3) Allow other properly trained and equipped staff to isolate and decontaminate the victim according to EMP.

All the hospitals interviewed for this project provide Awareness Level training for staff who have a role during decontamination activities, but are not directly involved in patient decontamination. As with First Responder Operations Level training, there is considerable variability in the extent of training provided at the Awareness Level. The hospitals use curricula that range from 2 to 4 hours and most require an annual refresher course of 1 to 4 hours.

#### ***1.2.3.3 Instruction for Employees Whose Participation in the Hospital Decontamination Zone Was Not Previously Anticipated***

These personnel shall be given an initial briefing at the site prior to their participation in any emergency response. As specified in the HAZWOPER Standard, paragraph [1910.120\(q\)\(4\)](#), the initial briefing shall include instruction in the wearing of appropriate PPE, what chemical hazards are involved, and what duties are to be performed. All other appropriate safety and health precautions (e.g., PPE) provided to personnel in the Hospital Decontamination Zone shall be used to assure the safety and health of these personnel.

#### ***1.2.3.4 Training Similar to That Outlined in the Hazard Communication Standard***

OSHA recommends some form of basic training for employees who work in the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone and who would not be expected to come in contact with unannounced contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This training could take a format similar to hazard communication which might include at least the following:

- Methods and observations that may be used to detect the presence of a hazardous substance in the work area (e.g., an odor or announcement by staff trained to identify possible contamination).
- General information on victims as a possible source of hazardous substances.
- The measures employees can take to protect themselves during an incident, including specific procedures the hospital has implemented to protect employees from exposure to hazardous substances (e.g., emergency procedures for leaving the area).

In developing a training program of this type, hospitals should consider which specific topics would best help this group of employees respond appropriately during an incident.

#### **1.2.4 Monitoring Performance During Drills**

All hospitals interviewed for this project conduct several types of drills. The hospitals note that the greatest value occurs when their EMPs are tested rigorously as part of the drill, when realistic scenarios are involved (including interaction with outside organizations), and when the hospital follows the drill with a detailed evaluation and post-drill action plan for improvement.

It is essential to the success of the EMP that drills are conducted and that they reflect the actual conditions, resources, and personnel that would be available during a real incident.

In addition to self-assessments, some hospitals find it helpful to receive a performance evaluation from an outside organization. Hospital C participated in a community-wide drill that was observed by a contractor hired specifically for that purpose. The hospital used the contractor's observations and comments to help prioritize the emergency management team's activities. Alternatively, organizations that share post-drill analysis can critique each other.<sup>59</sup> Any of these methods of assessment can lead to corrective actions and improved response, particularly if the process is formalized with hospital administrators.

#### **1.2.5 Managing Internal Communications**

The hospitals interviewed for this project report that they use a combination of methods for communicating with employees during an incident. As new information becomes available hospitals use any combination of the following methods to pass information to those who need it:

---

<sup>59</sup> Other sources of critical observers might include peers from other hospitals, regulators, and members of fire department hazardous materials response teams.

- Overhead public broadcasting systems<sup>60</sup>
- Telephones
- Cell phones
- Pagers
- Fax
- Runners with verbal or written messages
- Two-way radios
- E-mail and Intranet services

Overhead broadcasting systems, Intranet, and two-way radios are independent of external systems (such as telephone service) that might be impacted by a widespread emergency. A good EMP should consider the need for backup communications in the event of a power failure.

### **1.2.6 Principles of Risk Communication**

Special care might be required in training healthcare workers regarding chemical, biological, or radiological hazards, particularly when the threat could be related to terrorism. Lundgren and McMakin (1998) recommend conducting an audience analysis to assess factors that will impact how information might best be presented. Non-clinical workers want basic information on the hazards, presented by a credible source with a clear message, and preferably in “detailed, role-specific training sessions that are ultimately tested by drills” (Thorne et al., 2003). To demonstrate training effectiveness, trainers should evaluate knowledge and skills by using objective measures such as pre- and post-training evaluations, as well as by observing performance.<sup>61</sup>

### **1.2.7 Information Dissemination During an Incident**

Hospitals need to work with local emergency service organizations to provide clear, accurate information during large-scale emergencies. To avoid disseminating conflicting information, hospitals that use incident command systems, such as HEICS, provide for an individual who will coordinate with

---

<sup>60</sup> Overhead public broadcasting systems are used to report information directly or announce codes. One code indicates that designated staff should report to a meeting point to obtain information.

<sup>61</sup> JCAHO standards require an orientation and education program for all personnel who participate in implementing the EMP. This education addresses: 1) specific roles and responsibilities during emergencies, 2) methods used to recognize specific types of emergencies, and 3) information and skills required to perform assigned duties during emergencies.

other response groups and communicate with the media and other outside organizations. A representative of the public affairs department often assumes this role.

## **1.2.8 Monitoring Employee Health**

### ***1.2.8.1 Prior to an Incident***

Hospitals A through G all indicate that they typically conduct a thorough baseline evaluation of an employee's health at the time the person is hired. Based on hospital policy, the employee's job category, or the hazards associated with tasks the employee performs, additional periodic health monitoring might also be provided.<sup>62</sup> Most of the hospitals interviewed for this project indicate that they have no special additional requirements for members of the decontamination team, unless the individual might wear a respirator. In that case, the employee receives a baseline evaluation and any follow-up evaluations needed to obtain the necessary medical clearance, as discussed below.

One of the hospitals interviewed follows a somewhat more rigorous medical monitoring program. Under this program, each member assigned to the decontamination team receives a periodic physical exam (often every 1 or 2 years), which includes a basic health screening. Evaluations for medical clearance to wear a respirator are incorporated into these exams.

The HAZWOPER Standard indicates that employees be provided periodic medical evaluations (annual or bi-annual) if they exhibit signs or symptoms of exposure, or if it is anticipated that the employee would be exposed to hazardous substances, in excess of the established permissible exposure limit (PEL), for 30 days per year or more.<sup>63</sup> Drills and practice sessions that do not involve hazardous substances would not count toward the 30 days.

The hospitals interviewed for this project also mentioned that prophylactic vaccinations and antidotes should be stockpiled for employees in case the need arises.

### **Medical Clearance for Respirator Use**

The OSHA Respiratory Protection Standard, in [29 CFR 1910.134\(e\)](#), or the parallel State Plan standards, require employers to obtain, in writing, a medical opinion regarding an employee's ability to

---

<sup>62</sup> All health monitoring results should be provided to the employee in a timely manner and in accordance with [29 CFR 1910.1020](#) (OSHA's Standard on Access to Employee Exposure and Medical Records).

wear a respirator. The regulatory requirement applies regardless of whether other medical evaluations are needed under the HAZWOPER Standard. It also applies to all types of respirators (including hooded powered air-purifying respirators [PAPRs]), with the exception of filtering facepiece respirators (“dust masks”) used by employees on a voluntary basis (i.e., when the employer has determined that a health risk does not exist, but the employee nevertheless wishes to wear a respirator). An additional medical evaluation is required by paragraph [1910.134\(e\)\(7\)](#) under certain circumstances. For example, an employee’s ability to safely wear a respirator must be reevaluated when an increase in the employee’s physical activities or the weight of the protective clothing would place an added burden on the employee.

### **1.2.8.2 *During a Response***

The combination of first receivers’ activities and PPE often create a greater physical workload for employees than they experience during their normal daily jobs. Thermal stress (heat and cold stress) also impacts the period for which first receivers can perform their duties. Some hospitals monitor employee vital signs as one method of tracking employee response to these stressors. For example, Hospital A evaluates each employee’s vital signs before that individual dons PPE. Prior to a team member donning a protective suit and hooded PAPR respirator, a technician records the individual’s weight, vital signs, and recent medical history. This information is obtained as other team members assist the individual into the protective gear. If vital signs exceed predetermined limits set by the hospital organization, the individual is prohibited from wearing PPE that day and the team member’s activities are restricted accordingly. Decontamination safety officers at Hospital A report that during every drill conducted, they have rejected at least one participant (out of a dozen or more) due to elevated vital signs. When PPE is removed, vital signs and weight are recorded again. The employee’s time in PPE is also recorded and tracked. Decontamination team members at Hospital A are generally permitted to wear a protective suit and hooded PAPR for 30 minutes at any one time, although this period can be adjusted up or down depending on workload, weather, and the condition of the first receiver. Appendices H and I provide examples of medical monitoring procedures and a separate vital signs checklist.

Hospital A uses two-way headset radios to communicate with and monitor the health status of individuals who are wearing hooded PAPRs and protective suits in hot weather. This hospital found that a behind-the-head “temple transducer” style headset is more practical under PAPR hoods than “over-the-head” models, which tend to dislodge and are difficult to reposition without removing the hood.

---

<sup>63</sup> Under most circumstances, first receivers would not be expected to perform decontamination duties in the presence of hazardous chemicals 30 days per year.





**“Temple-transducer” style two-way radio headset stays in place under PAPER hoods.**



**Detail of “temple-transducer” style radio headset.**

### Thermal Stress

Both heat and cold stress can decrease first responders' ability to work safely for extended periods. Hospital A believes that in its hot, humid southern climate, heat stress presents the greatest threat to employee health. To combat this hazard, the hospital uses a combination of administrative controls and cooling devices. As noted above, team members whose vital signs are outside prescribed starting parameters are not allowed to don respiratory protection.<sup>64</sup> To further reduce the risk of heat stress, the team makes extensive use of icepack vests.<sup>65</sup> Freezers for icepacks are located in the Safety Office, fire department, and elsewhere for easy access. Although Hospital A recognizes that use of icepacks to combat heat stress is somewhat controversial, this hospital reports no problems among the many team members who have drilled over the years. Additionally, team members report that they find the icepack vests to be a comfortable asset in hot weather.

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) offers guidance for managing heat stress in employees wearing heavy protective clothing (ACGIH, 2001). This conservative approach uses a combination of common-sense administrative controls (e.g., good hydration) and physiological measures of heat strain (remove worker if body core temperature exceeds 100.4 degrees Fahrenheit or heart rate exceeds 180 beats per minute (bpm) minus the employee's age, or is greater than 110 bpm one minute after peak exertion). Appendix I provides examples of vital sign monitoring schemes used by other groups.

#### **1.2.8.3      *Following an Incident***

Incidents involving hazardous substances are typically one-time incidents and medical monitoring is not required unless an employee develops signs or symptoms related to an exposure. Following such an occurrence, the hospital's occupational health provider should follow the hospital's regular policy regarding a chemically exposed worker. If an employee becomes ill or develops signs or symptoms specifically suggesting exposure to a particular contaminant, Hospital A would follow a policy designed for first responders that complies with the requirements outlined in OSHA's HAZWOPER Standard [29 CFR 1910.120\(f\)](#).

---

<sup>64</sup> Vital signs may not exceed the following limits: diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 millimeters of mercury (mmHg), heart rate greater than 100 beats per minute, respiration greater than 24 breaths per minute, or oral temperature higher than 99.5 degrees Fahrenheit.

<sup>65</sup> Although some employees note that they feel cooler wearing icepack vests, there is some controversy regarding whether this type of equipment offers much real benefit.

Hospital D has direct experience with employees who developed symptoms while treating a contaminated patient. The victim drank a quantity of organophosphate pesticide. During subsequent episodes of vomiting, the victim contaminated clothing, an ambulance, and the ED. As a result, six symptomatic staff members required hospitalization after exposure to the concentrated pesticide and vapor. The hospital followed its usual procedures for managing an employee with an occupational injury, including entering the illnesses in the OSHA Log of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses (OSHA 300 Form, previously OSHA 200 Form) and ensuring workers' compensation medical leave for one affected individual.

#### **1.2.8.4            *Managing Employee Stress***

Understandably, disasters can be a notable source of stress for anyone involved (Young et al., 2002). Hospital A points out that employee stress is a concern for decontamination teams and the hospital includes a mental health provider on each team. While assisting with decontamination activities, this employee also observes team members for symptoms of excessive stress. Additionally, Hospital A ensures decontamination team members have ready access to post-event counseling if they request such services.


## **2.0                RESPONSE**

### **2.1                FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT**

#### **2.1.1             Evaluating Existing Resources**

Hospitals are challenged to identify spaces that will support decontamination activities (including equipment storage) and ensure operations can continue in the event one area of the hospital becomes contaminated. Hospitals planning additions or remodeling projects have a unique opportunity to design spaces appropriately. Other hospitals should use creative planning to identify existing architectural features that they can use to their advantage. Several examples follow:

- Hospital F has two existing physical characteristics that have proven advantageous: 1) in the event that one side of the ED became contaminated by an unannounced victim, doors between two sections of the ED can be closed and normal ED activities can continue on the uncontaminated side; and 2) a pair of unused, canopy-covered ramps leading to one entrance of the ER (left from a period of construction when the ER was used as the main entrance to the hospital) is being converted into a permanent decontamination shower for ambulatory patients. When considering use of divided spaces, hospitals should determine whether the ventilation systems are also separate and whether they recirculate air. If a space becomes contaminated, it might be necessary to shut down the area's ventilation system to prevent circulation of contaminated air to other spaces.



**Visual aids help communicate to hospital managers the advantages and possible location of proposed permanent decontamination systems.**

- While looking for an appropriate location for its large portable decontamination system, Hospital A (in a hot humid climate) considered the advantages of a particularly large shade tree for preventing heat stress. The tree shades the entire shower system and all support features (extensive mechanical systems, triage and victim inspection stations, and victim waiting areas).

### 2.1.2 Isolation and Lockdown

The hospitals interviewed use a variety of methods to limit unauthorized access to the ED during emergencies until the victims have been decontaminated. The methods range from a guard with a key at the door to sophisticated keycard systems controlled at a central command center. The more complex systems tend to be associated with urban or recently modernized hospitals and are intended for use in any type of disturbance. Hospitals intend to use these methods if situations suggest that an unruly crowd will force its way into the hospital.

### 2.1.3 Decontamination

#### 2.1.3.1 Equipment

Hospital A, which trains other hospitals to offer decontamination services, notes that it is critical to match the decontamination equipment purchased to the needs of the hospital and the community it serves. Hospital advisors recommend that any hospital with an emergency room should be prepared to decontaminate victims. However, facilities such as long-term care facilities and specialty clinics do not necessarily need decontamination capability. A hospital with a minimal risk of receiving multiple contaminated victims should consider acquiring a small system that can be handled by a few employees.<sup>66</sup>

<sup>66</sup> For example, a temporary shower facility with a wastewater collection device.

According to Hospital A, “every hospital should have a well-coordinated plan for arranging [timely] decontamination of any patients who may show up at the door, without putting staff at undue risk.” The plan should include medical triage and treatment capability with proper precautions.



**Although permanent decontamination systems have many advantages, portable facilities can be transported to other locations, if necessary.**

Hospitals may select from an array of decontamination shower options. Portability is an advantage if the system might be required at different locations. A wide variety of portable, temporary decontamination systems are commercially available. Durable portable systems are designed to be cleaned and reused (if the type of contaminant is not highly toxic or persistent), while other systems are intended to be disposable and, thereby, simplify the post-incident recovery phase. The systems need to be stored in an easily accessible location. There is considerable variety

in the sizes of portable decontamination systems available and the number of people required to set up the system. All require some set-up time.

Permanent decontamination facilities are generally recommended over temporary equipment because these facilities can be activated quickly (some permanent models may be activated by simply unlocking the doors and turning on the water) and offer reliable long-term service. They also function well in harsh climates. Although permanent facilities require a dedicated space and more maintenance than disposable systems, Hospital A finds that permanent decontamination showers can generally be installed for the same (or lower) cost than a portable system with comparable features.

Enclosed decontamination facilities should provide for fresh air circulation. When constructing a fully enclosed system, hospitals should consult a ventilation engineer or Certified Industrial Hygienist early in the system design phase.



**A blower can increase fresh air circulation the decontamination system. In cold weather, the unit shown also heats air to a comfortable temperature.**





**A roller system platform helps move non-ambulatory victims on backboards through the decontamination facility.**



**Hospitals can obtain military surplus equipment to supplement the decontamination facility supplies. (Shown here: a field stretcher for transferring non-ambulatory victims from arriving vehicles to the decontamination facility.)**

Because of the time it takes first receivers to put on PPE and to set up decontamination facilities, hospitals may want to consider arranging for alternate rapid forms of decontamination until more sophisticated decontamination facilities are up and running. For example, some hospitals use high capacity, low-pressure hoses or showerheads, connected to high capacity, temperature-controlled water sources. These hoses and showers allow rapid preliminary drenching of multiple victims.

Hospitals A through G report that they considered the following factors when evaluating their decontamination system options:

- Previous experience with a particular system during drills or demonstration events. Characteristics that first receivers prefer include systems that are easily and rapidly set up by a minimal number of personnel and require little storage space.
- Compatibility with other equipment in the community (e.g., already owned by the fire department or other local organization). This feature allows systems to be joined to create a larger shower area. Additionally, more individuals in the community will be familiar with the system setup.
- Cost.
- Requirements prescribed by funding sources.
- Availability of space for a permanent shower.
- Community needs (anticipated frequency of use and required capacity).
- Need to operate the system in harsh weather.

Macintyre et al. (2000) suggest that the decontamination system should be operational within 2 to 3 minutes of notification of an incident. While this period can be reasonable for some permanent decontamination facilities, realistically few temporary/portable systems can be activated that quickly. According to Hospital A, activation periods of 10 to 15 minutes are more typical for temporary decontamination facilities, even with highly trained and experienced staff. The hospitals interviewed for this site visit indicated that an inexperienced staff might require two to four times longer for set-up activities. Regular practice sessions and drills improve set-up teams' efficiency.

Regardless of the type of decontamination system selected, hospitals should avoid locating the decontamination facility *inside* the ED.

**Additional information on evaluating and selecting decontamination equipment may be found at the Department of Veterans Affairs website < <http://www1.va.gov/vasafety/page.cfm?pg=291> > and the National Institute of Justice website < <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij/pubs-sum/189724.htm> >.**

### 2.1.3.2 *Procedures*

Decontamination procedures can have a large impact on first receiver exposure to hazardous substances. All the hospitals interviewed agree that the basic steps include:

- 1) Activate the EMP.
- 2) Learn as much as possible (as soon as possible) about the number of victims, the contaminant, and associated symptoms. Previous arrangements with first responder organizations can improve the timeliness and quantity of information received.
- 3) Activate the decontamination system and assemble the decontamination team and site security staff.
- 4) Perform any medical monitoring (e.g., vital signs), if specified by the EMP.
- 5) Put on PPE.
- 6) Triage victims to determine which individuals require decontamination and provide critical medical treatment to stabilize them before decontamination (e.g., atropine).
- 7) Assist victims (ambulatory and non-ambulatory) in removing contaminated clothing and securing personal property as soon as possible (within minutes of arrival).
- 8) Place clothing and other contaminated items in a double layer of plastic bags or other sealed container so they are not a continuing source of exposure.
- 9) Wash victims using mild liquid soap and water (preferably tepid water to improve victim compliance). This step should include copious rinsing. [See discussion below.]
- 10) Inspect victims to evaluate the effectiveness of decontamination and guide decontaminated victims to the medical treatment area (Hospital Post-decontamination Zone). Return inadequately decontaminated victims to the shower area and repeat cleansing.
- 11) Decontaminate equipment and the decontamination system (if not disposable).
- 12) Staff remove PPE and decontaminate themselves.

See Appendix J for an additional example of victim decontamination procedures.



All of the steps above can influence the extent of healthcare workers' exposure to the contaminant. However, certain steps should be highlighted for their direct impact on the concentrations of contaminant first receivers will encounter. For example, disrobing might remove as much as 75 to 90 percent of the contaminant arriving on a victim (Macintyre et al., 2000; USACHPPM, 2003a).<sup>67</sup> By isolating (double-bagging) the contaminated clothing, staff prevent these materials from off-gassing into the work area. To minimize first receiver exposure levels, these steps should be implemented immediately as victims arrive.



**Put victim's personal items in a labeled plastic zip bag.**



**Use blunt scissors to cut away clothing. Avoid stretching or wringing cloth.**

First receivers are likely to experience the greatest exposures while assisting non-ambulatory victims. Staff should take steps to identify possible sources of contamination and limit their exposure to those sources. For example, Hospital A uses specific procedures for removing victims clothing to minimize first receiver and victim exposures. Assistants use blunt-nose scissors to cut away clothing, rather than pulling it off. Tugging on clothing can produce a wringing action that might distribute contaminant on the victim, healthcare workers, and the surrounding area. Once removed, the clothing is immediately placed into a sealed container.

<sup>67</sup> The percentage of contaminant reduction depends on the type of clothing the victim was wearing when exposed. The estimates may be somewhat lower (down to 50 percent) for victims wearing short pants or skirts and higher (up to 94 percent) for victims exposed to biological warfare agents while wearing protective military uniforms (CHPPM, 2003a).

Unless a hospital uses detection equipment with demonstrated accuracy and reliability, victim washing procedures and visual inspection offer the only practical way healthcare workers can conclude that victims are definitively decontaminated. Staff in the ED might become exposed if contaminated victims are permitted to enter the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone. All the hospitals interviewed for this project indicated that they currently require victims to soap and shampoo completely and spend 5 to 6 minutes under a flow of running water. Some hospitals time the individual victims' total wash periods, while others observe the victims to ensure they wash thoroughly. It may be advantageous to start the victim cleansing process with a full minute under a drenching shower to rinse away as much contaminant as possible, followed by subsequent soaping and rinsing steps, repeated as necessary (USACHPPM, 2003a). Hospital G has a progressive shower, in which each victim spends one minute at each of several wash stations.

Most of the hospitals interviewed also provide victims with written or pictorial instructions. In addition, tepid water, security of personal effects, single-gender facilities, shelter, and replacement clothing influence how quickly and completely victims comply with requirements to undress, shower appropriately, and wait for medical treatment until they are completely decontaminated. In cold climates, heated spaces and blankets might be necessary. Victim inspection provides a final check to ensure contaminant is not carried into the ED.

Victims from some incidents may arrive at the hospital after having been decontaminated at the incident site (Release Zone) or elsewhere. Before admitting a victim to the ED, first receivers should evaluate each individual to ensure the patient was adequately cleansed.

The methods staff use to decontaminate themselves and doff PPE also impact their own exposure. ATSDR (2000) and Appendices K and L offer examples of procedures used by some hospitals. While there is little definitive published information available regarding optimal shower procedures (for victims or staff), the following sections summarize information provided by organizations with some expertise in this area. These procedures apply to a wide variety of contaminants and are appropriate for unknown contaminants that could arise from a release of toxic chemicals, biological agents, or radiological particulates. Hospitals that are cleansing victims to remove known contaminants can tailor procedures as appropriate. For example, a longer rinse might be beneficial for corrosive substances or contamination in the eyes. Other publications contain specific recommendations for decontaminating victims exposed to individual hazards, such as ionizing radiation (CDC, 2003; Department of Homeland Security, 2003).

### Shower Flush Time and Practices

Numerous agencies and organizations recommend a shower time of approximately five minutes for contaminated victims brought to a hospital. Despite the fact that there is no empirical data, operational procedures deem this time as adequate.

- The U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) recommends one-minute rinsing from head to toe with tepid water (slightly warm, not hot) after removal of contaminated clothing, followed by a more thorough decontamination of washing with a mild soap (e.g., dishwashing detergent), tepid water, and soft sponges. Avoid stiff brushes and vigorous scrubbing, which can damage the skin and increase the chance the contaminant would be absorbed by the victim. USACHPPM recommends these procedures for most classes of contaminants, except certain reactive metal dusts (USACHPPM, 2003a).
- The U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command's (SBCCOM) Mass Casualty Decontamination Research Team (MCDRT) states that actual showering time will be an incident-specific decision but might be as long as 2 to 3 minutes per individual under ideal circumstances (SBCCOM, 2000b).
- The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) recommends that patients contaminated with an unidentified chemical should flush exposed or irritated skin and hair with plain water for 3 to 5 minutes. For oily or otherwise adherent chemicals, mild soap on the skin and hair followed by a thorough rinse with water is also recommended (ATSDR, 2003).
- A technical expert for the Veterans Administration (VA) Emergency Mass-Casualty Decontamination Program stated that research regarding how long it takes to decontaminate an individual is scarce. This VA organization recommends a 5-minute shower time, based on operational experience. However, in some cases the total decontamination period could last longer than five minutes, depending on the agent, its viscosity, the quantity on the victim, and the amount of clothing removed (Beatty, 2003).

### Soap

Numerous agencies and programs recommend the use of water and a mild soap (such as dishwashing detergent) to decontaminate victims during emergencies and mass casualties involving hazardous substances. Their recommendations are summarized here.

- SBCCOM's MCDRT recommends the rapid use of water, with or without soap, for decontamination. Using soap can marginally improve the results by ionic degradation of the chemical agent. Soap helps dissolve oily substances like mustard or blister agent. Liquid soaps are quicker to use than solids. However, the decontamination process should never be delayed to add soap (SBCCOM, 2000b).
- A multi-service effort of the U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force recommends that a contaminated individual use generous amounts of soap and water and scrub

downward from head to toe. However, the decontamination process should not be delayed due to a lack of soap (U.S. Army, 2001).

- A technical expert with the VA Emergency Mass-Casualty Decontamination Program stated that the VA uses mild liquid dishwashing soap to avoid irritating the skin while still allowing, with enough water and friction, removal of the contaminant. He stated that the Department of Defense (DOD) has also suggested using mild soap for chemical warfare agents (Beatty, 2003).
- USACHPPM suggests using mild dishwashing soap for removing a wide range of possible contaminants, including industrial chemicals, chemical warfare agents, biological agents, and radiological particles (USACHPPM, 2003a).

### **2.1.3.3 Security**

Site security helps maintain order and control traffic around the decontamination facility and the hospital entrances. Security officers might need to control a contaminated individual to prevent other staff from becoming exposed and to protect equipment. Security officers also ensure contaminated victims do not bypass the decontamination hospital or enter the ED without passing inspection. In cases of civil disturbance, properly identified security officers protect the decontamination facility and staff so normal operations can continue.

### **2.1.3.4 Personal Protective Equipment**

Hospitals should select PPE (e.g., respirators, suits, gloves, face and eye protection) based on a hazard assessment that identifies the hazards to which employees might be exposed. Under OSHA's Personal Protective Equipment Standard ([29 CFR 1910.132](#)) or the parallel State Plan standards, all employers, including hospitals, must certify in writing that the hazard assessment has been performed. For first receiver PPE, hospitals may base the hazard assessment on Section B of OSHA's best practices document, then use the PPE listed in [Table 3](#). Hospitals likely to respond to incidents involving a specific hazard should adjust the PPE accordingly.

OSHA's Personal Protective Equipment Standard also requires that employees be provided with equipment that fits appropriately. Some hospitals assign a set of protective equipment (except the PAPR respirator) to a specific individual. The equipment is stored in a container marked with the individual's name. Other hospitals maintain general supplies of PPE, storing sets of equipment by size (one set includes a large suit, large gloves, and large boots). In this case, the packages are clearly marked only with the size. Each first receiver tries on equipment to determine what size group fits best, then, during an emergency, the employee can quickly locate an appropriate PPE set. One hospital reported that boot size

serves as the basis for its PPE sets. It is sometimes necessary to include two sizes of each type of glove in the set to ensure proper fit for everyone who wears the PPE set. Suits do not need to fit as closely and excess fabric can be taped or rolled to fit. To prevent protective suits from tearing at the crotch, hospitals should order over-sized suits (larger than the individuals normal size) (SBCCOM, 2003). Loose-fitting PAPR respirator hoods offer a universal fit, thus are not included in individual or size-based PPE sets; however, tight fitting facepieces do require fit testing.

Hospitals should include first receivers' respirators in a respiratory protection program, as specified by OSHA's Respiratory Protection Standard ([29 CFR 1910.134](#)), or the parallel State Plan standards. These respirators can be integrated into the hospitals existing respiratory protection program, which should include the following elements (listed in [1910.134\(c\)\(1\)](#)):

- Procedures for selecting respirators for use in the workplace.
- Medical evaluations of employees required to use respirators.
- Fit testing procedures for tight-fitting respirators.
- Procedures for proper use of respirators in routine and reasonably foreseeable emergency situations.
- Procedures and schedules for cleaning, disinfecting, storing, inspecting, repairing, discarding, and otherwise maintaining respirators.
- Procedures to ensure adequate air quality, quantity, and flow of breathing air for atmosphere-supplying respirators.
- Training of employees in the respiratory hazards to which they are potentially exposed during routine and emergency situations.
- Training of employees in the proper use of respirators, including putting on and removing them, any limitations on their use, and their maintenance.
- Establishing and implementing respirator chemical cartridge change schedules.
- Procedures for regularly evaluating the effectiveness of the program.

Most of the hospitals interviewed for this project had previously developed respiratory protection programs covering the use of respirators by other employees. The hospitals were able to expand the program to include the use, cleaning, storage, and maintenance of the PAPRs worn by first receivers.

Certain materials absorb or are damaged by some chemical agents. As they become available, hospitals should select respirators that have been specifically tested for performance in the presence of chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear hazards (CBRN). The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is responsible for developing certification standards for approving various styles of CBRN respirators. When the HVA reveals a potential WMD threat, until NIOSH completes its CBRNE certification process for PAPRs use PAPRs that have been tested by the manufacturer for a CBRNE environment.

**NIOSH maintains a list of respirator makes and models certified for use against specific hazards or types of environments. Hospitals can search the list by contaminant type (e.g., organic vapors), facepiece style (e.g., hood) and other criteria. CBRN-approved respirators will appear on this list as they are certified. To access the list, see < [www.cdc.gov/niosh/celintro.html](http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/celintro.html) >.**

Protective equipment deteriorates with use and time. To minimize the amount of costly equipment expended during frequent drills, the interviewed hospitals typically maintain sets of PPE that are designated for drills. These “reusable” items are marked accordingly and repackaged after each training session. In differently marked containers, the hospitals store identical PPE (still in the original or comparable packaging) that would be used during a real incident.

Protective equipment storage can present challenges. The hospitals that were interviewed typically use one of two methods: cabinets or plastic storage boxes on shelves. Hospital A uses large stainless steel rolling cabinets that can be pushed to the ED entrance for easy equipment access when the decontamination facility is activated. Other hospitals use clear (easy to see contents) or colored (for coding) plastic storage containers to hold PPE sets and other supplies. To ensure equipment is convenient during an emergency, the hospitals store equipment on shelves or



**Staff can quickly move wheeled equipment carts from storage to the staging area.**



**A storage room near the ED door offers convenient access for first receivers' supplies.**

cabinets near the ED door or in an adjacent room. One small hospital keeps equipment in locking cabinets along one wall of the small ED entry vestibule.

Long-term maintenance of battery-powered respirators, such as PAPRs, creates a special challenge. The batteries should be kept fully charged and should be maintained according to the manufacturer's directions. The respirator manufacturer's specific recommendations for charging, testing, and expected battery service life should be considered in any effort to maintain future readiness. Lithium-based batteries might offer more reliable long-term service. However, hospitals should discuss the relative merits and maintenance of the available batteries with the respirator manufacturer.

#### **2.1.3.5      *Detection Equipment***

Hospitals face a significant challenge in identifying contaminated individuals when they arrive unannounced, as well as after decontamination procedures. All the hospitals interviewed depend on triage personnel or clerical staff to identify self-referred patients who have been in contact with hazardous substances. The first indication for the need to activate the hospital's EMP and decontamination team might come from staff who identify these individuals through an initial interview, by visual observation, by the presence of indicative odors, and through signs that a substance appears to be affecting health. After a victim has been through the decontamination system, hospitals rely on visual inspection and the extent to which the victim followed prescribed showering procedures. A few hospitals have access to commercially available detection equipment that can help with the identification. Although published selection criteria are available (see NIJ, 2000), the interviewed hospitals agree that the available *practical* detection equipment only evaluates specific hazards (e.g., ionizing radiation and traditional chemical weapon nerve and blister agents).<sup>68</sup>

#### **Ionizing Radiation Meters**

Experts suggest that alpha or beta emitting particles may be the more likely contaminants in mass casualty events involving the release of radiological particles (CDC, 2003). Relatively reliable and easy to use instruments are available for measuring ionizing radiation. Hospitals that offer patients nuclear medicine services generally have access to specific types of radiation meters used in that department. For example, the Radiation Safety Office for Hospital F indicated that such meters would be available for post-decontamination evaluation of victims, staff, and hospitals, as deemed appropriate. It is important

---

<sup>68</sup> Traditional chemical weapon nerve agents are commonly in the organophosphate chemical class.



that meters used by first receivers be selected based on the types of radiological particles with which victims could be contaminated. To ensure more immediate access to appropriate equipment, Hospital B has obtained micro-roentgen per hour ( $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$ ) survey meters for the dedicated use of the decontamination team. In the event of a radiological emergency, the team will use the meters as they evaluate the effectiveness of victim decontamination.

To evaluate the effectiveness of decontamination procedures and also to help identify possible embedded fragments of radioactive materials, Hospital G obtained a pair of radiological monitoring devices (Ludlum Model 3 Survey Meters, with Model 44-7 End Window G-M Detectors and headphones). This choice was based on reports of good experiences with the instrument, price, and versatility (the equipment can be used for estimating exposure rate as well as detecting contamination). The hospital also acquired Radiagem-4 Personal Portable Radiometers, which are small hand-held gamma source meters that will potentially serve the dual purpose of screening victims for contamination and simultaneously recording the accumulated exposure of the employee using the equipment. These user-friendly detectors integrate the reading and will alarm after reaching a preset threshold.<sup>69</sup>

Ionizing radiation detection equipment could also be useful for identifying contaminated individuals that might enter the ED unannounced. Hospital G is in the process of obtaining and testing radiation detection meters (Syrena Gamma Source Finder) that will be located at patient entrances to the hospital. These portable devices—about the size of an attaché case—will be tested at an entrance to determine whether they are useful for detecting radioactivity. The hospital hopes to use this type of equipment to avoid the spread of contamination by identifying contaminated individuals as they enter the hospital.<sup>70</sup> The hospital also plans to evaluate equipment that could be used to screen victims by moving them past an instrument (e.g., at the entrance and exit of a shower system) eliminating the need for an employee in this position.

### **Chemical and Biological Agent Detection Equipment**

User-friendly equipment of adequate sensitivity is also becoming available for specific agents typically used as chemical weapons (Environmental Technologies, undated). For example, two of the interviewed hospitals (Hospitals B and C) obtained the same make and model (“APD 2000” from Environmental Technologies) of hand-held detection meters designed to detect parts per billion levels of

---

<sup>69</sup> Hospital G also obtained a more costly portable spectrum analyzer that can also be used to measure ionizing radiation exposure rates. This instrument, which requires a skilled operator, might also be used to identify radioactive isotopes.

<sup>70</sup> In general, gamma source detectors are more useful for detecting a source of radiation, rather than for detecting contamination on an individual.



specific chemical “nerve and blister agents” used as chemical weapons (e.g., organophosphates, mace, and pepper spray).<sup>71</sup> However, a third hospital (Hospital A) felt that this type of equipment might be more useful for evaluating an incident site than for declaring victims to be thoroughly clean after decontamination efforts.

Equivalent equipment for detecting industrial chemicals and biological agents remains problematic. Although the interviewed hospitals indicated that they are interested in obtaining comparable detection equipment that would identify and measure low levels of industrial chemicals or biological agents, none of the hospitals feel the instruments *currently* available are practical for this purpose. Experts do agree, however, that some of the current broad-spectrum detection devices are capable of detecting classes of agents (although not the individual agent) with reasonable sensitivity and accuracy. Hospitals should determine the availability and utility of these instruments for the specific categories of substances identified in the hospital’s HVA.

Hospital A indicated that optimal detection instruments would be 1) sensitive at low concentrations to a wide range of substances, 2) have a rapid response time (preferably a few seconds), 3) be easy to operate, 4) be rugged and portable enough to function outdoors under emergency conditions, 5) require only occasional routine maintenance, and 6) be reasonably priced. Macintyre et al. (2000) point out that the currently available detectors and monitors “would only complicate and lengthen the decontamination process,” without providing substantial value.

## 2.2 TRIAGE CONSIDERATIONS

Hospital A notes that pre-decontamination triage serves three purposes:

- Distinguish contaminated individuals from other patients arriving at the hospital (e.g., by identifying symptoms and victim’s proximity to a known chemical release).
- Identify victims who require immediate stabilization before they enter the decontamination system (e.g., shock and respiratory arrest).
- Identify injuries or critical pre-hospital treatment materials that will require special handling inside the decontamination system (e.g., a tourniquet that must be replaced with an uncontaminated compression device).

A plan for pre-decontamination triage should be included in the EMP.

---

<sup>71</sup> The U.S. Armed Forces have also developed colorimetric contact paper to screen skin and equipment surfaces for these agents. However, the papers do not indicate potential for airborne exposures.

Post-decontamination triage for medical treatment might best occur in the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone, after victims are inspected and found to be free of contamination. Some hospitals combine decontamination and initial medical treatment (such as antidotes), which means either the healthcare worker attempts medical triage while wearing PPE (preferred) or the worker is at risk of exposure from victims that have not been adequately decontaminated.

## **2.3 EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION**

### **2.3.1 Obtaining Timely Information**

Experience has shown that hospitals cannot count on receiving immediate and complete information regarding an incident. However, hospitals can take steps to maximize their opportunities to receive useful and timely information. Hospitals D and F have found that the quality and timeliness of the received information improved as a result of strong working relationships with community organizations, coordinated EMPs, and drills conducted with other groups that respond to emergency situations.

### **2.3.2 Coordinating Activities**

Coordinated response activities allow individual organizations to respond appropriately, when needed. Hospitals that work with the community to identify their roles can encourage coordinated responses. Hospital A (which maintains its own HAZMAT team in addition to a decontamination team) is located in a large urban area near other hospitals and fire departments, also with HAZMAT teams. As in many cities, the community's emergency management organization activates the appropriate HAZMAT team for each incident. This practice reduces the chance that response will be duplicated needlessly, thus protecting community resources that might otherwise be wasted. In the event that a large-scale emergency produced contaminated victims, Hospital A's two facilities (across a river from each other) would coordinate with the community to determine which one of its two decontamination locations to activate (based on number and location of victims)—or whether both systems would be required.

Hospitals should also activate *two-way* communication with the incident site. The more information a hospital can obtain regarding the hazard, the better first receivers will be able to protect themselves and treat the victims. Additionally, while treating victims, hospital staff might obtain valuable information regarding the nature of the contaminant, the route of entry, and symptoms of exposure. By passing these details back to the Release Zone, hospitals provide first responders at the site with

information that could help those workers recognize possible signs of exposure, initiate life-stabilizing medical treatment, or adjust their PPE to provide better protection.

### **3.0 RECOVERY**

#### **3.1 HOSPITAL DECONTAMINATION**

##### **3.1.1 Solid Waste Management**

All hospitals consulted indicate that solid waste generated during victim decontamination activities will be treated as hazardous waste following the hospitals' existing hazardous waste management procedures. These hospitals plan to work with contract hazardous waste management companies to test and dispose of waste that is considered hazardous (except for any items required by law enforcement as evidence). Anticipating that the need might arise, several of the hospitals have made advance arrangements with private companies that specialize in hazardous waste removal.

For emergencies involving only a few contaminated victims, hospitals typically plan to use plastic bags to collect individual's contaminated clothing for disposal. The bags will be sealed and double-bagged or put in hazardous waste containers, then stored in existing secure hazardous waste storage areas until disposal. Hospitals that anticipate that they might receive a large number of contaminated victims maintain a supply of hazardous waste barrels (with airtight lids) into which decontamination team members will place contaminated materials. Hospital representatives stress that sealing the bags or closing the containers is important to eliminate contaminated materials as possible continuing sources of victim or healthcare worker exposure.

In response to some incidents, federal authorities might request that certain types of waste be retained as evidence. In that case, the agency will provide instructions on handling the waste.

##### **3.1.2 Wastewater Management**

During an emergency, first receivers should take all necessary steps to save lives, protect the public, and protect themselves.<sup>72</sup> Once imminent threats to human health and life are addressed, first

---

<sup>72</sup> According to an EPA *Alert* (EPA, 2000), first responders' liability under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) for environmental damages occurring during hazardous materials incidents is limited (when public health or welfare are in danger) by the "Good Samaritan" provision contained in Section 107(d)(1) of CERCLA. This provision applies to emergencies involving hazardous materials release, including acts of terrorism. However, first responders also may be subject to liability based on applicable state statutes and regulations. Thus, first responders may consider consulting with local legal counsel to ascertain the scope of their potential liability. To access a copy of the EPA alert, see <[http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/Ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/onepage.pdf/\\$File/onepage.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/Ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/onepage.pdf/$File/onepage.pdf)>.

receivers should make all reasonable efforts to contain contamination and avoid or mitigate environmental consequences (U.S. EPA, 2000).

Wastewater from decontamination showers can contain low-level concentrations of the substance(s) with which victims are contaminated. Given the opportunity to plan for decontamination activities (by designing and installing or purchasing decontamination facilities, developing procedures, and preparing staff), hospitals should consider the management of decontamination shower water as part of the plan. To determine appropriate wastewater management practices, hospitals should consult with LEPCs, whose members “can work together creatively using available resources to minimize the environmental impact of [hazardous materials] incidents” (U.S. EPA, 2000).

The hospitals interviewed follow several strategies for handling decontamination shower wastewater. Management methods range from complete water retention in a storage unit to uncontrolled release (e.g., into parking lot or storm drain). The choice is usually based on the circumstances under which the individual decontamination system will be used. For example, these hospitals have generally arranged for some level of treatment or containment of wastewater generated by their primary decontamination systems (i.e., the systems that would be used most frequently). However, the same hospitals do not typically apply specific controls to wastewater from additional, open-air showerheads intended for use only as backup shower capacity (in the event that an unexpectedly large number of victims overwhelms the hospital’s primary decontamination system).

Hospital B has a memorandum of understanding with the municipal wastewater treatment facility, which allows the hospital to drain water from its large, permanent, indoor decontamination system to the sanitary sewer. The agreement specifies that the hospital notify the treatment facility immediately when the shower is used to treat contaminated victims. Hospital B includes this notification in the EMP protocol for activating the shower.

Hospital A and Hospital C incorporate large (1,000 or more gallons) underground storage tanks into the design of their permanent, enclosed decontamination systems. When necessary, the water can be held until tested. After consultation with local environmental authorities, the hospital can either treat the wastewater, pump it out, or drain the water to the sanitary sewer or storm drain.

Among the hospitals interviewed, those that have obtained portable decontamination systems typically use a wastewater-containment device built into the base of the system enclosure. These containment devices usually include a low supportive wall (a few inches high) around the perimeter of the

shower and a plastic lining to catch and hold wastewater. The hospitals often pump water from the shower base containment into a separate bladder to limit the volume in the shower base and to increase water storage capacity.<sup>73</sup> As with underground tanks, wastewater stored in bladders is held for subsequent testing and treatment or release, as deemed appropriate by authorities based on sample results.

An important consideration for positioning wastewater storage receptacles is the eventual need to drain or transport them (once filled, these containers are heavy and can only be shifted using special equipment). Hospital D noted that its hazardous waste management contractor provided useful advice about locating the wastewater receptacle where it could be easily accessed by the contractor's equipment, or could be drained with little assistance if water treatment was not required. This advice influenced the ultimate decontamination area design.

### **3.1.3 Decontaminating Surfaces and Equipment**

The hospital EMP should include procedures for cleaning equipment and surfaces during and after an incident. Cleaning should be performed by properly protected and trained employees. Items that cannot be decontaminated safely should be processed for appropriate disposal. It is unlikely that portable gear could be adequately decontaminated after an incident involving a persistent or highly toxic agent.

The hospitals interviewed assign specifically trained individuals to be responsible for decontaminating and cleaning surfaces and equipment. These individuals are usually members of the decontamination team, but at least one of the hospitals uses specially trained housekeeping or facilities management staff (hospital employees) to fill this role. It is important to note, however, that hospitals are increasingly concerned about delegating this role to housekeeping staff. According to the hospitals interviewed, the current industry trend is toward using contract services rather than hospital employees for general housekeeping activities. Hospital E acknowledged that for contracted employees, protection and liability issues can be complicated when contractual arrangements do not specifically address these matters. Additionally, rapid personnel turnover among contract housekeepers often hinders the hospital's ability to ensure workers receive specialized training.

---

<sup>73</sup> To transfer water, hospitals use a portable electric pump (approximately 2.5 gallons per minute, or a rate similar to the standard combined water flow rate of the most consistently used showerheads; approved for submersion and on a ground-fault interrupt circuit). The pump sits in the shower base containment and pumps the accumulating wastewater into a portable rubber bladder or barrel. Wastewater storage barrels and bladders used by these hospitals range in size from 50 to 2,500 gallons capacity, and are selected based on the size of the decontamination system, anticipated average total water flow rate, and the number of victims the hospital is prepared to treat. While larger portable decontamination systems with multiple showerheads can generate wastewater more quickly than smaller systems, the large systems also tend to have larger floor-level water-containment enclosures.

Hospital D is considering a different contractual option. The hospital anticipates that large, time-consuming decontamination and associated recovery-phase cleaning tasks will be performed under contract by the hospital's hazardous waste service provider. This arrangement will include cleaning and/or disposal of the portable decontamination facility and equipment, and any areas of the ED that might become contaminated.

Employees of the *contract* hazardous waste service provider should be trained as indicated under [29 CFR 1910.120\(b\) through \(o\)](#). If *hospital employees* perform the cleaning, appropriate training would either be that specified under [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(11\)](#), or [120 \(b\) through \(o\)](#), depending on the situation (*this matter is currently under consideration by OSHA*).

#### 4.0 MAINTAINING FUTURE READINESS

To sustain a functional level of emergency preparedness, hospitals need to maintain equipment, supplies, and employee training. They should devote time and attention to evaluating and updating the HVA and EMP and coordinating these activities with the community. These efforts all require resources that will not be available without support at all levels of management. Hospitals D and F note that emergency planners can take active steps to help hospital management recognize the need for continuing effort. These steps might include providing management with after-action reports following drills and updated information regarding the community's expectations of the hospital.

*Administrators need to be aware that all aspects of the EMP should be maintained equally. Continued employee training alone will not provide adequate protection if protective equipment (including respirator cartridges) is not replaced after use or when its shelf life expires.* Some equipment, such as PAPR batteries, requires routine maintenance (e.g., charging and battery-life evaluation) for the life of the equipment. In addition, a well-maintained decontamination facility will not function well if employees do not have the experience of active, recent drills.

Hospitals in more mature stages of emergency management planning might require less concentrated efforts than during start-up, but continue to dedicate full- or part-time staff to ensure the hospital retains a full level of preparation. Emergency managers use creative methods to obtain additional help when needed. Hospital D uses an energetic emergency manager to direct the activities of employees from other departments who temporarily require light-duty work due to medical restrictions (after an illness or injury). These individuals provide some of the labor needed to update and improve the already-mature HVA and EMP.

## **APPENDIX B**

### **Acronyms and Definitions**

## Appendix B

### Acronyms and Definitions

**Atmosphere supplying respirator (ASR):** A respirator that provides clean air from an uncontaminated source to the facepiece. Examples include supplied-air (airline) respirators, SCBA, and combination supplied-air/SCBA.

**Assigned protection factor (APF):** a rating assigned to a respirator style by OSHA or NIOSH. This rating indicates the level of protection most workers can expect from the properly worn, maintained, and fitted respirator used under actual workplace conditions. An APF of 1,000 indicates that the concentration of contaminant inside the facepiece would be 1,000 times lower than concentration in the surrounding air. A respirator with an APF of 1,000 will provide greater protection than a respirator with an APF of 100. *(Note: The APF should not be confused with a similar measure, the “fit factor,” obtained during quantitative fit testing. Fit factors, which tend to be higher numbers, provide a relative indication of how well a respirator fits an individual, but do not represent the level of protection the respirator would provide in the workplace.)*

**Awareness Level:** See First Responder Awareness Level.

**CBRN:** Chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear [agent or substance].

**Clinicians:** Physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians’ assistants, and others.

**Doff:** To take off or remove (e.g., PPE).

**Don:** To put on, in order to wear (e.g., PPE).

**ED:** Emergency Department.

**EMP:** Emergency Management Plan.

**First Receiver:** Employees at a hospital engaged in decontamination and treatment of victims who have been contaminated by a hazardous substance(s) during an emergency incident. The incident occurs at a site other than the hospital.

**First Responder:** Personnel who have responsibility to initially respond to emergencies. Some examples are firefighters, HAZMAT team members, law enforcement officers, lifeguards, forestry personnel, ambulance attendants, and other public service personnel. In the case of hazardous materials incidents, these personnel typically respond at the site where the incident occurred.

**First Responder Awareness Level:** Individuals who might reasonably be anticipated to witness or discover a hazardous substance release and who have been trained to initiate an emergency response sequence by notifying the proper authorities of the release. They would take no further action beyond notifying the authorities. [OSHA HAZWOPER Standard [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(i\)](#)].



**First Responder Operations Level:** Individuals who respond to releases or potential releases of hazardous substances as part of the initial response to the site for the purpose of protecting nearby persons, property, or the environment from the effects of the release. These individuals shall have received at least 8 hours of training or have sufficient experience to objectively demonstrate competency in specific critical areas. [OSHA HAZWOPER Standard [29 CFR 1910.120\(q\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#)].

**HAZCOM:** OSHA’s Hazard Communication Standard [[29 CFR 1910.1200](#)].

**HAZMAT:** Hazardous Material.

**HAZWOPER:** OSHA’s Standard on Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, [29 CFR 1910.120](#). In particular, paragraph (q) of this standard covers employers whose employees are engaged in emergency response to hazardous substance releases.

**Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA):** The identification of potential emergencies and direct and indirect effects these emergencies may have on the healthcare organization’s operations and the demand for its services.

**Hazardous Substance:** Any substance to which exposure may result in adverse effects on the health or safety of employees. This includes substances defined under Section 101(14) of CERCLA; biological or disease-causing agents that may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, disease, or other health problems; any substance listed by the U.S. Department of Transportation as hazardous material under 49 CFR 172.101 and appendices; and substances classified as *hazardous waste*.

**Hospital Emergency Incident Command System (HEICS):** an example of an optional ICS tailored specifically for use by hospitals and designed to function in conjunction with other common ICSs used by emergency response organizations (e.g., Fire Service Incident Command System).

**Hospital Decontamination Zone:** This zone includes any areas where the type and quantity of hazardous substance is unknown and where contaminated victims, contaminated equipment, or contaminated waste may be present. It is reasonably anticipated that employees in this zone might have exposure to contaminated victims, their belongings, equipment, or waste. This zone includes, but is not limited to, places where initial triage and/or medical stabilization of possibly contaminated victims occur, pre-decontamination waiting (staging) areas for victims, the actual decontamination area, and the post-decontamination victim inspection area. This area will typically end at the emergency department door. In other documents, this zone is sometimes called the “Warm Zone,” “contamination reduction zone,” “yellow zone,” or “limited access zone.”

**Hospital Post-decontamination Zone:** The Hospital Post-decontamination Zone is an area considered uncontaminated. Equipment and personnel are not expected to become contaminated in this area. At a hospital receiving contaminated victims, the Hospital Post-decontamination Zone includes the emergency department (unless contaminated). This zone is sometimes called the “Cold Zone” or “Clean Area.”

**Incident Command System (ICS):** A flexible organizational structure which provides a basic expandable system developed by Fire Services to mitigate an emergency situation of any size.

**Incident Commander (IC):** The individual who holds overall responsibility for incident response and management.

**JCAHO:** Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations.

**LEPC:** Local Emergency Planning Committee.

**Mass Casualty:** “A combination of patient numbers and patient care requirements that challenge or exceed a community’s ability to provide adequate patient care using day-to-day operations” (Barbera and MacIntyre, 2003).

**NFPA:** National Fire Protection Association.

**Operations Level:** See First Responder Operations Level.

**Personal Protective Equipment (PPE):** Examples include protective suits, gloves, foot covering, respiratory protection, hoods, safety glasses, goggles, and face shields.

**Powered Air-Purifying Respirator (PAPR):** A respirator that uses a battery-powered blower to force air through a filter or purifying cartridge before blowing the cleaned air into the respirator facepiece.

**Release Zone:** An area in and immediately surrounding a hazardous substance release. It is assumed to pose an immediate health risk to all persons, including first responders. For the purposes of this document the Release Zone is always REMOTE from the hospital. This zone is also referred to as the “exclusion zone”, the “red zone”, and the “restricted zone” in other documents.

**Self-contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA):** A respirator that provides fresh air to the facepiece from a compressed air tank (usually worn on the worker’s back).

**Supplied-air Respirator (SAR):** A respirator that provides breathing air through an airline hose from an uncontaminated decompressed air source to the facepiece. The facepiece can be a hood, helmet, or tight fitting facepiece.

**Triage:** The process of screening and classifying sick, wounded, or injured persons to determine priority needs in order to ensure the efficient use of medical personnel, equipment, and hospitals.

**WMD:** Weapon of Mass Destruction.

# APPENDIX C

## References

## **Appendix C**

### **References**

- ACGIH. 2001. Heat Stress and Strain. Documentation of Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), Cincinnati, OH.
- ATSDR. 2000. Managing Hazardous Materials Incidents: Hospital Emergency Departments – A planning guide for the management of contaminated patients, Volume II (revised). Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Last accessed April 21, 2003: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/mhmi.html>
- ATSDR. 2003. Managing Hazardous Materials Incidents: Medical Management Guidelines (MMGs) for Unidentified Chemical, Volume III. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Last accessed April 21, 2003: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/mmg.html>
- Auf der Heide E. 2003. Personal communication between Dr. Erik Auf der Heide of Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Center for Disease Control and Prevention and W. Long ERG. August 21.
- Barbera J.A., Anthony G. Macintyre. 2003. Mass Casualty Handbook: Hospital, Emergency Preparedness and Response, First ed: Jane's Information Group, Ltd.
- Beatty J. 2003. Decontamination procedures (personal e-mail correspondence). Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System, Little Rock, AR. October 23.
- BNA. 2003. Hospitals low on protective suits in event of bioterrorist attack, report says. Daily Labor Report Banner, Bureau of National Affairs. August 13.
- Burgess J.L. 1999. Hospital Evacuations Due to Hazardous Materials Incidents. Am J Emerg Med 17:50-52. January.
- CA EMSA. 2003a. Personal communication between Cheryl Starling of the California Emergency Medical Services Authority and W. Long of ERG. July 21.
- CA EMSA. 2003b. Recommendations for Hospitals: Chemical Decontamination, Staff Protection, Chemical Decontamination Equipment and Medication List, Evidence Collection. Hospital and Healthcare System Disaster Interest Group (DIG). California Emergency Medical Services Authority. June.
- CDC. 2003. Interim Guidelines for Hospital Response to Mass Casualties from a Radiological Incident. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. December.

- Department of Homeland Security. 2003. Working document from Department of Homeland Security Working Group on Radiological Dispersal Devices (RDD) Preparedness Response Subgroup. Version: May 1.
- DuPont. 2002. Technical Data Sheet. DuPont Tychem F: Helps protect against chemical warfare agents. E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company.
- DuPont. 2003. Permeation Guide for DuPont Tychem Protective Fabrics. E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company.
- ECRI. 2002. ECRI Advisor – Selecting personal protective equipment for chemical and bioterrorism preparedness: risks and costs. Center for Healthcare Environmental Management, Emergency Care Research Institute (ECRI), Plymouth Meeting, PA. March.
- Environmental Technologies. (undated). Product literature for APD 2000. Environmental Technologies Group, Inc. Baltimore, MD.
- Fedele P., P. Georgopolous, P. Shade, P. Lioy, M. Hodgson, M.A. Brown. 2003. Technical report: In-hospital response to external chemical emergencies: Personal protective equipment, training, site operations planning, and medical programs (Final Draft). Joint publication of U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute, and Veterans Health Administration (VHA), Washington, DC. April 15.
- Federal Register. 2003. Assigned Protection Factors; proposed rule. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). 68 FR 34035. June 6.
- HCA. (undated). Disaster Readiness: Guidelines for Emergency Management Planners. Hospital Corporation of America (HCA). Nashville, TN.
- HAZMAT for Healthcare. 2003. Haz Mat for Healthcare – An Operations Course. EnMagine, Diamond Springs, CA. Available at [www.hazmatforhealthcare.org](http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org). Last accessed September 16.
- Hendler et al. 2000. The Effect of Full Protective Gear on Intubation Performance by Hospital Medical Personnel. *Military Medicine*, Volume 149. [As cited in USACHPPM 2003a]
- Hick J. L., D. Hanfling, J.L. Burstein, J. Markham, A. G. Macintyre, J.A. Barbera. 2003a. Protective equipment for healthcare facility decontamination personnel: regulations, risks, and recommendations. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 42(3):370-380. September.
- Hick J. L., P. Penn, D. Hanfling, M.A. Lapp, D. O’Laughlin, J.L. Burstein. 2003b. Establishing and training health care facility decontamination teams. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 42(3):381-390. September.
- Horton D. K., Z. Berkowitz, W.E. Kaye. 2003. Secondary contamination of ED personnel from hazardous materials events, 1995-2001. *Am J Emerg Med* 21:199-204. May.
- Goozner B., L. Lutwick, E. Bourke. 2002. Chemical Terrorism: a Primer for 2002. *Journal of the Association for Academic Minority Physicians*. 13(1):14-18. January.

- JCAHO. 2002. Guide to Emergency Management Planning in Health Care. Joint Commission Resources, Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, Oakbrook, IL.
- JCAHO. 2004. Revised environment of care standards for the comprehensive accreditation manual for hospitals (CAMH). Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, Oakbrook, IL. Accessed April 21 at <http://www.jcrinc.com/subscribers/perspectives.asp?durki=2515&site=10&return=1122>
- Koenig K. 2003. Strip and shower: the duck and cover for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 42(3): 391-394. September.
- Lehmann J. 2002. Considerations for selecting personal protective equipment for hazardous materials decontamination. *Disaster Manag Response*: 21-25. September.
- Lundgren R.E., A.H. McMakin. 1998. *Risk Communications: A Handbook for Communicating Environmental, Safety, and Health Risks*, Second ed. Columbus, OH: Battelle Press.
- Macintyre A.G., G.W. Christopher, E. Eitzen et al. 2000. Weapons of Mass Destruction Events with Contaminated Casualties: Effective Planning for Health Care Facilities. *JAMA* 283(2):242-249. January 12.
- MMWR. 2001. Nosocomial poisoning associated with emergency department treatment of organophosphate toxicity – Georgia, 2000. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly*, 49(51):1156-8. January 5.
- NFPA. 2001. NFPA 1994 Standard on Protective Ensemble for Chemical/Biological Terrorism Incidents – 2001 Edition. National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), NY.
- NFPA. 2002. NFPA 472 Standard for Professional Competence of Responders to Hazardous Materials Incidents. National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), NY.
- NIJ. 2000. Guide for the Selection of Chemical Agent and Toxic Industrial Material Detection Equipment for Emergency First Responders – Volumes I & II (NIJ Guide 100-00). National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice. June. <http://ncjrs.org/pdffiles1/nij/184449.pdf>
- NIOSH. 2003. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards and Other Databases (online edition). National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Cincinnati, OH. Last accessed April 20, 2004 at: <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npg.html>
- Nozaki H., S.O. Hori, Y. Shinozawa et al. 1995. Secondary exposure of medical staff to sarin vapor in the emergency room. *Intensive Care Med* 21:1032-1035.
- Okumura, Takasu, Ishimatsu et al. 1996. Report on 640 victims of the Tokyo subway sarin attack. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 28(2):129-135. August.

- OSHA. 1991a. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. Edward McNamara, Executive Director, Central Massachusetts Emergency Medical Systems Corporation, Re: Training Requirements for emergency medical service personnel. June 14. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20302](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20302)
- OSHA. 1991b. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. Eugene D. McCoy, Police Department, City of Ft. Lauderdale, FL. Re: Minimum number of hours required for awareness level for police officers. June 17. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20307](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20307)
- OSHA. 1991c. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. William Borwegen, Service Employees International Union, AFL-CIO, Re: HAZWOPER EPA and OSHA jurisdictional issues. December 18. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20500](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20500)
- OSHA. 1992a. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Randy Ross, Re: Medical personnel exposed to patients contaminated with hazardous waste. March 31. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20609](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20609)
- OSHA. 1992b. OSHA Letter of interpretation from C.K. O'Toole to L. Bloomfield. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), U.S. Department of Labor. Various questions on HAZWOPER. October 21. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20890](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20890)
- OSHA. 1992c. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Howard W. Levitin, Re: Training requirements for hospital personnel involved in an emergency response of a hazardous substance. October 27. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=20911](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=20911)
- OSHA. 1993. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. Edward E. Hartin, Vice-President of Operations, HAZMAT Training Information Services, Inc., Re: Post-emergency response and medical surveillance requirements of HAZWOPER. August 5. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=21222](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=21222)
- OSHA. 1997. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. Thomas Whittaker, New England Hospital Engineer's Society, Re: Emergency response training requirements for hospital staff. April 25. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=22393](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=22393)

- OSHA. 1999. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Daniel Burke, Safety Coordinator, St. John's Medical Center, Re: Emergency response training necessary for hospital physicians/nurses that may treat contaminated patients. March 10. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=22710](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=22710)
- OSHA. 2002a. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mr. Francis J. Roth, Supervisor, Loss Protection, Princeton Insurance, Re: Level of respiratory protection required for hospital staff members. September 5. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=24516](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=24516)
- OSHA. 2002b. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Captain Kevin J. Hayden, Acting Commanding Officer, State of New Jersey Emergency Management Section, Re: Personal protective requirements for hospital employees. December 2. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=24523](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=24523)
- OSHA. 2002c. Memorandum for Regional Administrators (RAs) regarding enforcement policy change for respiratory protection for select respirators for use in the pharmaceutical industry. May 30. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=24248](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=24248)
- OSHA. 2003. Letter of Interpretation Addressed to Mike Bolt, Re: HAZWOPER training requirements for hospital staff whole decontaminate chemically contaminated patients. April 22. Accessed April 6, 2004 at [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p\\_id=24605](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=INTERPRETATIONS&p_id=24605)
- Penn P. 2002. Hospital Hazardous Material Emergency Response: The Devil is in the Details. National Disaster Medical Systems Conference. Atlanta, GA. April 14.
- San Mateo County HAS. 1998. HEICS – The hospital emergency incident command system (Volumes I and II). San Mateo County Health Services Agency. June. Accessed August, 2003 at [www.emsa.cahwnet.gov](http://www.emsa.cahwnet.gov).
- Saruwatari. 2003. Personal communication between M. Saruwatari of Kaiser Permanente, and W. Long of ERG. August 18.
- SBCCOM. 2000a. Guidelines for Responding to a Chemical Weapons Incident. Domestic Preparedness Chemical Team, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command. [http://www2.sbcom.army.mil/hld/downloads/cwirp/cwirp\\_guidelines\\_responding\\_cw\\_incident.pdf](http://www2.sbcom.army.mil/hld/downloads/cwirp/cwirp_guidelines_responding_cw_incident.pdf)
- SBCCOM. 2000b. Guidelines for Mass Casualty Decontamination During a Terrorist Chemical Agent Incident. U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command. January. [http://hld.sbcom.army.mil/downloads/cwirp/cwirp\\_guidelines\\_mass\\_casualty\\_decon.pdf](http://hld.sbcom.army.mil/downloads/cwirp/cwirp_guidelines_mass_casualty_decon.pdf)



- SBCCOM. 2001a. Swatch Test Results of Commercial Chemical Protective Gloves to Challenge by Chemical Warfare Agents: Executive Summary. Domestic Preparedness Chemical Team, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command. February.  
[http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/reports/protective\\_gloves\\_summary\\_report.pdf](http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/reports/protective_gloves_summary_report.pdf)
- SBCCOM. 2001b. Swatch Test Results of Phase 2 Commercial Chemical Protective Gloves to Challenge by Chemical Warfare Agents: Executive Summary. Domestic Preparedness Chemical Team, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command. June.  
[http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/reports/protective\\_gloves\\_phase2\\_ca\\_exec\\_sum.pdf](http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/reports/protective_gloves_phase2_ca_exec_sum.pdf)
- SBCCOM. 2003. Guidelines for Use of Personal Protective Equipment by Law Enforcement Personnel During a Terrorist Chemical Agent Incident. U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command. Original June 2001. Revised July 2003.  
[http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/cwirp/ppe\\_law\\_enforcement\\_ca\\_incident.pdf](http://www2.sbccom.army.mil/hld/downloads/cwirp/ppe_law_enforcement_ca_incident.pdf)
- Schultz, M., J. Cisek, and R. Wabeke. 1995. Simulated exposure of hospital emergency personnel to solvent vapors and respirable dust during decontamination of chemically exposed patients. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 26(3):324-329. September.
- Sutter Health. 2002. Training materials: First Responder Operations. Sutter Health, Sacramento, CA. October 1.
- Thorne C. D., Curbow B., Oliver M. et al. 2003. Terrorism preparedness training for nonclinical hospital workers: empowering them to take action. *J Occup Environ Med* 45:333-337. March.
- U.S. EPA. 2000. First responders' environmental liability due to mass decontamination runoff (EPA 550-F-00.009). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. July.  
[http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/Ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/onepage.pdf/\\$File/onepage.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oswer/Ceppoweb.nsf/vwResourcesByFilename/onepage.pdf/$File/onepage.pdf)
- USACHPPM. 2003a. Personal Protective Equipment Guide for Military Medical Treatment Facility Personnel Handling Casualties from Weapons of Mass Destruction and Terrorism Events (Technical Guide 275). U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine. August. <http://chppm-www.apgea.army.mil/documents/TG/TECHGUID/TG275new.pdf>
- USACHPPM. 2003b. Chemical Risk Assessment and Exposure Guidelines (and how AEGLs fit in). U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine, Directorate of Health Risk Management. March.  
[http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/emergencypreparedness/chemical/ppt/csepp-aegl\\_march\\_2003.ppt](http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/emergencypreparedness/chemical/ppt/csepp-aegl_march_2003.ppt).
- U.S. Army, U.S. Marine Corps, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force. 2001. Multiservice Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Aspects of Consequence Management. AFTTP (I) 3-2.37. December.
- VA. 2003. VHA Decontamination Training Program – Train the Trainer Participant Manual. Little Rock Employee Education Resource Center, Employee Education System, Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Little Rock, AR. April.

Walter F. G., Bates G., Criss E. A. et al. 2003. Hazardous materials responses in a mid-sized metropolitan area. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 7:214-218. Apr-Jun.

Young Bruce H., Ford Julian D., Rusek Josef I. et al. *Disaster Mental Health Services: A Guidebook for Clinicians and Administrators*. National Center for PTSD. Last Accessed: 2002. Available from: <http://www.ncptsd.org/treatment/disaster/index.html>.

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Additional Resources (Web Links)**

## Appendix D

### Additional Resources (Web Links)

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

[www.atsdr.cdc.gov](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov)

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Office of Regional Operations

<http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/oro.html>

California Emergency Medical Services Authority

[www.emsa.ca.gov](http://www.emsa.ca.gov)

Healthcare Association of Hawaii

[www.hah-emergency.net](http://www.hah-emergency.net)

Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations

[www.jcaho.org](http://www.jcaho.org)

National Institute of Justice

[www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij)

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) [includes contact information for OSHA-approved State Plans]

[www.osha.gov](http://www.osha.gov)

Homeland Defense

<http://hld.sbcom.army.mil/>

U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command (Effective 9 October 2003, SBCCOM has been re-designated). \*See sites below:

\*Research, Development and Engineering Command (RDECOM)

<http://www.rdecom.army.mil/>

\*Chemical Materials Agency (CMA)

<http://www.cma.army.mil/>

\*PM Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Defense (PM NBC)

<http://www.pmnbc.army.mil/>

\*Soldiers System Center (SSC)

<http://www.natick.army.mil/>

Department of Veterans Affairs

<http://www1.va.gov/vasafety/page.cfm?pg=528>

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)

<http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/homepage.html>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

<http://www.cdc.gov/>

U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM)

<http://chppm-www.apgea.army.mil/>

The InterAgency Board

<http://www.iab.gov/>

Office for Domestic Preparedness

<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/odp/welcome.html>

Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) Locations

[www.epa.gov/swercepp/lepclist.htm](http://www.epa.gov/swercepp/lepclist.htm)

National Disaster Education Coalition

[www.DisasterHelp.gov](http://www.DisasterHelp.gov)

*This page intentionally blank.*

## APPENDIX E

# Advantages and Disadvantages of Various Respirator Facepiece Styles

**Adapted from:** USACHPPM. 2003a. Personal Protective Equipment Guide for Military Medical Treatment Facility Personnel Handling Casualties from Weapons of Mass Destruction and Terrorism Events (Technical Guide 275). August 2003.

## Appendix E

### Advantages and Disadvantages of Various Respirator Facepiece Styles

FACEPIECE STYLE	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
Half facepiece	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Employee may wear any appropriate eyewear that does not interfere with the respirator seal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ If there is a break in the seal between the mask and the face, contaminated air can enter. Fit testing must be performed prior to use and user seal checks must be done by the user every time the respirator is used.</li> <li>▪ Does not provide eye protection.</li> </ul>
Full facepiece	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ When used with a powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR), a tight fitting facepiece might allow a worker to pull filtered air into the facepiece if the battery fails.</li> <li>▪ Provides eye protection.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ If there is a break in the seal between the mask and the face, contaminated air can enter. Fit testing must be performed prior to use and user seal checks must be done by the user every time the respirator is used.</li> <li>▪ Workers who wear glasses may require spectacle kits to be used inside the facepiece.</li> </ul>
Loose fitting helmet/hood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provides eye protection.</li> <li>▪ Provides skin protection for the head and (certain models) neck.</li> <li>▪ Fit testing is not required.</li> <li>▪ Some workers find loose fitting respirators more comfortable than tight fitting models.</li> <li>▪ Can be worn by employees with facial hair and facial scars/deformities.</li> <li>▪ Employees may wear their own glasses under the helmet/hood.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ When used with a PAPR, the hood will provide little or no protection if the battery fails.</li> </ul>

*Adapted from Personal Protective Equipment Guide for Military Medical Treatment Facility Personnel Handling Casualties from Weapons of Mass Destruction and Terrorism Events (Technical Guide 275). U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM), August 2003.*



## **APPENDIX F**

# **Hazard Vulnerability Analysis Examples**

**Sources:**

Kaiser Permanente

American Society for Health Care Engineering (ASHE, 2000)



## Appendix F

### Example 1. Kaiser Permanente Hazard Vulnerability Analysis

This document is a sample Hazard Vulnerability Analysis tool. It is not a substitute for a comprehensive emergency preparedness program. Individuals or organizations using this tool are solely responsible for any hazard assessment and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

#### Instructions

Evaluate potential for event and response among the following categories using the hazard specific scale. Assume each event incident occurs at the worst possible time (e.g. during peak patient loads). Please note specific score criteria on each work sheet to ensure accurate recording.

Issues to consider for **probability** include, but are not limited to:

1. Known risk
2. Historical data
3. Manufacturer/vendor statistics

Issues to consider for **response** include, but are not limited to:

1. Time to marshal an on-scene response
2. Scope of response capability
3. Historical evaluation of response success

Issues to consider for **human impact** include, but are not limited to:

1. Potential for staff death or injury
2. Potential for patient death or injury

Issues to consider for **property impact** include, but are not limited to:

1. Cost to replace
2. Cost to set up temporary replacement
3. Cost to repair
4. Time to recover

Issues to consider for **business impact** include, but are not limited to:

1. Business interruption
2. Employees unable to report to work
3. Customers unable to reach facility
4. Company in violation of contractual agreements
5. Imposition of fines and penalties or legal costs
6. Interruption of critical supplies
7. Interruption of product distribution
8. Reputation and public image
9. Financial impact/burden

Issues to consider for **preparedness** include, but are not limited to:

1. Status of current plans
2. Frequency of drills
3. Training status
4. Insurance
5. Availability of alternate sources for critical supplies/services

Issues to consider for **internal resources** include, but are not limited to:

1. Types of supplies on hand/will they meet need?
2. Volume of supplies on hand/will they meet need?
3. Staff availability
4. Coordination with MOB's
5. Availability of back-up systems
6. Internal resources ability to withstand disasters/survivability

Issues to consider for **external resources** include, but are not limited to:

1. Types of agreements with community agencies/drills?
2. Coordination with local and state agencies
3. Coordination with proximal health care facilities
4. Coordination with treatment specific facilities
5. Community resources

Complete all worksheets including Natural, Technological, Human and Hazmat. The summary section will automatically provide your specific and overall relative threat.

**Appendix F**  
**Example 1. Kaiser Permanente Hazard Vulnerability Analysis**

**HAZARD AND VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL**  
(example of format used with a complete threat list)

**RISK = PROBABILITY \* SEVERITY**

**TECHNOLOGIC EVENTS**

EVENT	PROBABILITY	SEVERITY = (MAGNITUDE - MITIGATION)						RISK
		HUMAN IMPACT	PROPERTY IMPACT	BUSINESS IMPACT	PREPARED-NESS	INTERNAL RESPONSE	EXTERNAL RESPONSE	
		<i>Likelihood this will occur</i>	<i>Possibility of death or injury</i>	<i>Physical losses and damages</i>	<i>Interruption of services</i>	<i>Preplanning</i>	<i>Time, effectiveness, resources</i>	
SCORE	0 = N/A 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High	0 = N/A 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High	0 = N/A 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High	0 = N/A 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High	0 = N/A 1 = High 2 = Moderate 3 = Low or none	0 = N/A 1 = High 2 = Moderate 3 = Low or none	0 = N/A 1 = High 2 = Moderate 3 = Low or none	0 - 100%
Mass Casualty Incident (trauma)								
Terrorism, Biological								
Mass Casualty Incident (medical/infectious)								
Fuel Shortage								
Natural Gas Failure								
Water Failure								
Sewer Failure								
Steam Failure								
Fire Alarm Failure								
Communications Failure								
Medical Vacuum Failure								
HVAC Failure								
Information Systems Failure								
Fire, Internal								
Hazmat Exposure, Internal								
<b>AVERAGE SCORE</b>								

<sup>§</sup>Threat increases with percentage.

**Appendix F**  
**Example 2. New York University Medical Center**  
**Hazard Vulnerability Analysis**  
Version date: August 2003

**Instructions**

Evaluate each potential event with respect to the probability, risk, and the perceived level of preparedness. Add additional events as needed.

Issues to consider for **probability** include, but are not limited to:

1. Known Risk
2. Historical Data
3. Manufacturer/Vendor Statistics
4. Intelligence Information from Law Enforcement

Issues to consider for **risk** include, but are not limited to:

1. Immediate Danger (Threat) to Life or Health (IDLH)
2. Disruption of Services
3. Damage/Failure Potential
4. Loss of Community Trust
5. Financial Impact
6. Legal Issues

Issues to consider for **preparedness** include, but are not limited to:

1. Status of Current Plans
2. Training Status
3. Insurance
4. Availability of Back-up Systems
5. Community Resources

**Computation**

The event score is arrived at by multiplying each of the ratings (Probability \* Risk \* Preparedness). The total values in descending order represent the event in need of organization focus and resources for emergency planning. The organization needs to determine a value below which no action is required.

**Appendix F**  
**EXAMPLE 2. NEW YORK UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER HAZARD VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS**  
(example of format used with a threat list)  
(Version date: August 2003)

EVENT	PROBABILITY				RISK					PREPAREDNESS			TOTAL
	H I G H	M E D	L O W	N O N E	Life Threat	Health/ Safety	High Disruption	Moderate Disruption	Low Disruption	P O O R	F A I R	G O O D	
Score	3	2	1	0	5	4	3	2	1	3	2	1	
Mass Casualty Incident (MCI) (Trauma)													
MCI (Medical)													
MCI (HazMat)													
Small Scale HazMat													
Terrorism Chemical													
Terrorism Biological													
Terrorism Nuclear													

## APPENDIX G

### Introduction to HEICS

**Source:** California Emergency Medical Services Authority, Sacramento

## Appendix G



### ABOUT THE HEICS III PROJECT

In 1992, a generic disaster response plan was released to hospitals based upon the Incident Command System. The *Hospital Emergency Incident Command System*, modeled after the FIRESCOPE management system, was first tested by six hospitals in Orange County, California. A second edition was developed by a state-wide task force and tested again by Orange and Los Angeles County hospitals. In May 1992 the Second Edition of the Hospital Emergency Incident Command System (HEICS) was made available with copies having been sent throughout the United States, Canada, and across the globe.

HEICS features a flexible management (see attached) which allows for a customized hospital response to the crisis at hand. There is an organizational chart with forty-nine positions grouped into one of four sections. This all results in an organized division of tasks and a realistic span of control for each manager. This organizational structure provides a platform for common terminology to enhance communication and improve documentation.

Following the 1993 Northridge Earthquake, HEICS was used successfully by some hospitals damaged in the quake. The plan has also been used in single hospital emergencies and in many disaster exercises. From these repeated uses of the HEICS program, much insight has been gained. It is the goal of San Mateo County Emergency Medical Services that the Hospital Emergency Incident Command System Update Project recreate a HEICS plan which is more useful and relevant to the medical community. And, a plan which is more accessible, as is found here in this website ([www.emsa.ca.gov](http://www.emsa.ca.gov)). You are invited to access and download the Second Edition of the HEICS plan. You are encouraged to take a critical look at this document and return your comments to San Mateo County Emergency Medical Services team who are working on this exciting update.

#### **For More Information...**

about the Hospital Emergency Incident Command System contact the California Emergency Medical Services Authority at (916) 322-4336; or visit the website at [www.emsa.ca.gov](http://www.emsa.ca.gov). Electronic copies of the materials contained in this appendix are available from the EMSA website.



**HOSPITAL EMERGENCY INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM**  
*Third Edition*

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**  
January 1998

Confusion and chaos are commonly experienced by the hospital at the onset of a medical disaster. However, these negative effects can be minimized if management responds quickly with structure and a focused direction of activities. **The Hospital Emergency Incident Command System (HEICS)** is an emergency management system which employs a logical management structure, defined responsibilities, clear reporting channels, and a common nomenclature to help unify hospitals with other emergency responders. There are clear advantages to all hospitals using this particular emergency management system.

Based upon public safety's Incident Command System, HEICS has already proved valuable in helping hospitals serve the community during a crisis and resume normal operations as soon as possible. A survey of California hospitals in the Spring of 1997, reveals a significant number of hospitals have, or will be incorporating HEICS within their emergency plans. HEICS is fast becoming the standard for healthcare disaster response and offers the following features:

- **predictable** chain of management
- **flexible organizational chart** allows **flexible response** to specific emergencies
- **prioritized response checklists**
- **accountability** of position function
- **improved documentation** for improved accountability and cost recovery
- **common language** to promote communication and facilitate outside assistance
- **cost effective emergency planning** within health care organizations

The 1996 Edition of the National Fire Protection Association, *Health Care Facilities Handbook* states in chapter 11-4.3, "The disaster planning committee shall model the disaster plan on the incident command system (ICS)." The American Society for Healthcare Engineering of the American Hospital Association in an August, 1997 *Healthcare Facilities Management Series* states "One of the best examples of emergency preparedness through checklists can be found in The Hospital Emergency Incident Command System..." **In California, public hospitals seeking financial recovery following a declared disaster are required to implement the 1993 mandates of the Standardized Emergency Management System.** The utilization of the HEICS plan is recognized as partial compliance with this act.

**HEICS and all of its support material is offered without charge.** Implementation templates and instructional materials are free and make the cost of converting to the HEICS system minimal. HEICS is financially prudent as it assists the medical facility in staying open following a disaster and promotes the restoration of day-to-day hospital function. It is an efficient method for managing emergencies of disastrous proportions, as well as those of a lesser degree.

## ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

---

- **Positions may or may not be activated.**

Each emergency must be evaluated as to the specific positions which will need activation in order to address challenges of the emergency. The disaster's nature, proximity, and other factors may mandate all or very few of the positions to be staffed.

- **Positions may be filled immediately or later based upon needs and staffing.**

A particular disaster may require that a certain number of positions need to be filled. However, if there are only a few managers available for the next 12 hours, then each manager must take more than one position. If this is not acceptable, then the top priority positions must be identified and carried out in the best manner possible.


- **More than one position may be assigned to an individual.**

Many managers are capable of carrying out more than one function at a time. Situations of a critical nature may require an individual to perform multiple tasks until additional support can be obtained. The use of checklists should facilitate the task of multiple position assignment.

---

Note: HEICS does not formally incorporate a decontamination team; however, "decontamination team leader" might be included under "treatment area supervisor" or other operational subgroup. If the hospital actually has a HAZMAT release response team, this may be a separate operational sub-unit.

**Hospital Emergency Incident Command System  
Organizational Chart**



*This page intentionally blank.*

## APPENDIX H

# Examples of Medical Monitoring for First Receivers, Including Information on Heat Stress

**Sources:** Northern Virginia Emergency Response Coalition. Available at:  
[http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org/download/doc/misc/Patient\\_Decontamination\\_Procedure-complete.doc](http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org/download/doc/misc/Patient_Decontamination_Procedure-complete.doc) (Accessed September 2, 2003)  
NIOSH Publication 86-112 “Working In Hot Environments”

## Appendix H

### Examples of Medical Monitoring for First Receivers, Including Information on Heat Stress

#### Example 1. NVERC Medical Monitoring of Response Staff Northern Virginia Emergency Response Coalition

It is important to determine that personnel who are being asked to wear personal protective equipment (PPE) during a hazmat/weapon of mass destruction (WMD) incident have no preexisting medical conditions that might put them at increased risk for illness or injury. The following medical monitoring procedure is to be used from the outset of an incident to accomplish this objective.

##### I. At the Outset of the Incident

- The medical monitoring equipment (blood pressure [BP] cuffs, stethoscopes, scales, thermometer, medical monitoring sheets) should be brought from the PPE storage area and placed in the PPE dress out area.
- 1–2 staff persons should be assigned responsibility to perform medical monitoring of all response personnel.
- Time permitting (ex. advance incident information and arrival notice has been given by emergency medical services[EMS]) each person intending to dress in PPE is to have the following pre-entry medical monitoring assessment completed and recorded on their Medical Monitoring Incident Response Record:
  - BP
  - Pulse
  - Respirations
  - Weight
  - Temperature
  - Listing of current medications
  - Confirmation of no current, upper respiratory tract infection, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sinusitis, or gastrointestinal illness
- If there is inadequate time to perform pre-entry medical monitoring it will be important that each staff member exercises good judgment and dress out only if they know there is no preexisting condition that should preclude their use of PPE.
- The clinical data obtained from medical monitoring done on each person must fall within the participation criteria listed. Persons whose vital signs exceed the requirements should either be sent to rest for 15–30 minutes and then re-examined or given a responsibility not requiring the use of PPE. **Staff are NOT to dress out until they meet the pre-requisite criteria.**

## **II. During and Incident**

- After the completion of each work rotation requiring PPE use the staff member is to have post-entry medical monitoring done. The elements of this exam are the same as the pre-entry exam. They are to be recorded on the staff members Medical Monitoring Incident Response record. If significant changes in the clinical data are found or subjectively offered information indicate the need for more comprehensive evaluation or medical treatment the staff member is to be sent to the Emergency Department. The Emergency Department should be given a pre-alert ASAP about the staff members pending arrival.
- Staff dressing out in PPE for a second work rotation are to have another pre-entry medical monitoring evaluation before donning PPE if the last exam performed was a) abnormal or b) greater than 2 hours old.

## **III. After the Incident is Over**

- Once the incident is declared over the Medical Monitoring Incident Response Records for all staff are to be reviewed by the charge Emergency Department MD or Occupational Health MD to determine if any further short or long-term clinical evaluation is necessary. If the decision is made that additional evaluation is needed the staff member involved is to be immediately notified by the evaluating MD and arrangements made for the exam ASAP.
- The costs associated with any follow-up evaluation or treatment associated with the incident will be the responsibility of the hospital.
- Each staff member's Medical Monitoring Incident Response record is to be put into their personnel file and kept secure and retrievable for a period not less than 30 years following their retirement or resignation.

## Appendix H

### Example 2. U.S. Coast Guard National Strike Force

#### 1. Medical Monitoring

- a. Medical monitoring shall be conducted on all entry, backup, and decon personnel prior to Exclusion Zone entries. Follow-up medical monitoring shall also be conducted on all entry, backup, and decon personnel at the conclusion of each work shift. For workers wearing impermeable chemical protective clothing, workers shall also be monitored when the temperature in the work area exceeds 70°F (21°C). Medical monitoring shall be conducted during the rest period following each work cycle in accordance with chapter 8 of reference 1. If the work cycle specified in Table 8-10 of Reference 1 is less than 30 minutes, the supervisor may consult the Commanding Officer for authorization to extend the work cycle up to 30 minutes.
  - b. Recommended values from the American Heart Association are to be used as guidance for pre-entry medical monitoring:  
  
Blood Pressure (Max): 140 bpm Systolic/100 Diastolic\*  
Pulse Rate (Max): 100 bpm  
Temperature: 98.0°F (Min), 99.2°F (Max) (or +/- 0.6°F from normal)
  - c. When a medical value is found to be outside the accepted guidance, the supervisor should be informed immediately. Anomalous readings require medical advice prior to continued entries by an individual.
  - d. Use appropriate forms to record field medical monitoring.
  - e. When questions regarding medical monitoring arise, the unit Safety and Occupational Health Coordinator (SOHC) shall be contacted.
- \* Note: At the onset of an incident, fear and anxiety may cause employees' blood pressure to be elevated.

#### 2. Heat Stress (adapted from NIOSH Publication 86-112 "Working In Hot Environments")

**HEAT STROKE.** Heat stroke is the most serious of health problems associated with working in hot environments. It occurs when the body's temperature regulatory system fails and sweating becomes inadequate. The body's only effective means of removing excess heat is compromised with little warning to the victim that a crisis stage has been reached.

A heat stroke victim's skin is hot, usually dry, red or spotted. Body temperature is usually 105° F or higher, and the victim is mentally confused, delirious, perhaps in convulsions, or unconscious. Unless the victim receives quick and appropriate treatment, death can occur.



Any person with signs of symptoms of heat stroke requires immediate hospitalization. However, first aid should be immediately administered. This includes removing the victim to a cool area, thoroughly soaking the clothing with water, and vigorously fanning the body to increase cooling. Further treatment, at a medical facility, should be directed to the continuation of the cooling process and the monitoring of complications which often accompany the heat stroke. Early recognition and treatment of heat stroke is the only means of preventing permanent brain damage or death.

**HEAT EXHAUSTION.** Heat exhaustion includes several clinical disorders having symptoms which may resemble the early symptoms of heat stroke. Heat exhaustion is caused by the loss of large amounts of fluid by sweating, sometimes with excessive loss of salt. A worker suffering from heat exhaustion still sweats but experiences extreme weakness or fatigue, giddiness, nausea, or headache. In more serious cases, the victim may vomit or lose consciousness. The skin is clammy and moist, the complexion is pale or flushed, and the body temperature is normal or only slightly elevated. In most cases, treatment involves having the victim rest in a cool place and drink plenty of liquids. Victims with mild cases of heat exhaustion usually recover spontaneously with this treatment. Those with severe cases may require extended care for several days. There are no known permanent effects.

**HEAT CRAMPS.** Heat cramps are painful spasms of the muscles that occur among those who sweat profusely in heat, drink large quantities of water, but do not adequately replace the body's salt loss. The drinking of large quantities of water tends to dilute the body's fluids, while the body continues to lose salt. Shortly thereafter, the low salt level in the muscles causes painful cramps. The affected muscles may be part of the arms, legs, or abdomen; but tired muscles (those used in performing the work) are usually the ones most susceptible to cramps. Cramps may occur during or after work hours and may be relieved by taking salted liquids by mouth.

**FAINING.** A worker who is not accustomed to hot environments and who stands erect and immobile in the heat may faint. With enlarged blood vessels in the skin and in the lower part of the body due to the body's attempts to control internal temperature, blood may pool there rather than return to the heart to be pumped to the brain. Upon lying down, the worker should soon recover. By moving around, and thereby preventing blood from pooling, the patient can prevent further fainting.

**HEAT RASH.** Heat rash, also known as prickly heat, is likely to occur in hot, humid environments where heat is not easily removed from the surface of the skin by evaporation and the skin remains wet most of the time. The sweat ducts become plugged, and a skin rash soon appears. When the rash is extensive or when it is complicated by infection, prickly heat can be very uncomfortable and may reduce a worker's performance. The worker can prevent this condition by resting in a cool place part of each day and by regularly bathing and drying the skin.

**TRANSIENT HEAT FATIGUE.** Transient heat fatigue refers to the temporary state of discomfort and mental or psychological strain arising from prolonged heat exposure. Workers unaccustomed to the heat are particularly susceptible and can suffer, to varying degrees, a decline in task performance, coordination, alertness, and vigilance. The severity of transient heat fatigue will be lessened by a period of gradual adjustment to the hot environment (heat acclimatization).

## PREPARING FOR WORK IN THE HEAT

Adjustment to heat, under normal circumstances, takes about a week, during which time the body will undergo a series of changes that will make continued exposure to heat more endurable. With each succeeding daily exposure, hazardous physiological responses will gradually decrease, while the sweat rate will increase. When the body becomes acclimated to the heat, the worker will find it possible to perform work with less strain and distress.

Gradual exposure to heat gives the body time to become accustomed to higher environmental temperatures. Heat disorders in general are more likely to occur among workers who have not been given time to adjust to working in the heat or among workers who have been away from hot environments and who have gotten accustomed to lower temperatures. Hot weather conditions of the summer are likely to affect the worker who is not acclimatized to heat. Likewise, workers who return to work after a leisurely vacation or extended illness may be affected by the heat in the work environment. Whenever such circumstances occur, the worker should be gradually reacclimatized to the hot environment.

Heat stress depends, in part, on the amount of heat the worker's body produces while a job is being performed. The amount of heat produced during hard, steady work is much higher than that produced during intermittent or light work. Therefore, one way of reducing the potential for heat stress is to make the job easier or lessen its duration by providing adequate rest. Rather than be exposed to heat for extended periods of time during the course of a job, workers should, wherever possible, be permitted to distribute the workload evenly over the day and incorporate work-rest cycles. Work-rest cycles give the body an opportunity to get rid of excess heat, slow down the production of internal body heat, and provide greater blood flow to the skin.

**REST AREAS.** Providing cool rest areas in hot work environments considerably reduces the stress of working in those environments. There is no conclusive information available on the ideal temperature for a rest area. Rest areas should be as close to the work area as possible, and provide shade. Individual work periods should not be lengthened in favor of prolonged rest periods. Shorter but frequent work-rest cycles are the greatest benefit to the worker.

**DRINKING WATER.** In the course of a day's work in the heat, a worker may produce as much as 2 to 3 gallons of sweat. Because so many heat disorders involve excessive dehydration of the body, it is essential that water intake during the workday be about equal to the amount of sweat produced. Most workers exposed to hot conditions drink less fluids than needed because of an insufficient thirst drive. A worker, therefore, should not depend on thirst to signal when and how much to drink. Instead, the worker should drink 5 to 7 ounces of fluids every 15 to 20 minutes to replenish the necessary fluids in the body. There is no optimum temperature of drinking water, but most people tend not to drink warm or very cold fluids as readily as they will cool ones. Whatever the temperature of the water, it must be palatable and readily available. Individual drinking cups should be provided—never use a common drinking cup.

Heat acclimatized workers lose much less salt in their sweat than do workers who are not adjusted to the heat. The average American diet contains sufficient salt for acclimatized workers even when sweat production is high. If for some reason, salt replacement is required, the best way to compensate for the loss is to add a little extra salt to the food. Salt tablets **SHOULD NOT** be used.

**CAUTION—PERSONS WITH HEART PROBLEMS OR THOSE ON A “LOW SODIUM” DIET WHO WORK IN HOT ENVIRONMENTS SHOULD CONSULT A PHYSICIAN ABOUT WHAT TO DO UNDER THESE CONDITIONS.**

**PROTECTIVE CLOTHING.** Clothing inhibits the transfer of heat between the body and the surrounding environment. Therefore, in hot jobs where the air temperature is lower than skin temperature, wearing clothing reduces the body's ability to lose heat into the air. When air temperature is higher than skin temperature, clothing helps to prevent the transfer of heat from the air to the body. The advantage of wearing additional clothes, however, may be nullified if the clothes interfere with the evaporation of sweat (such as rain slickers or chemical protective clothing).

## Appendix H

### Example 3. NIOSH Publication 86-112 Working In Hot Environments

- A. HEAT STRESS CONSIDERATIONS.** The Site Safety Officer or Site Safety Supervisor for the entire response should make heat stress determinations throughout the day. If it is determined that a heat stress hazard exists, an alert should be passed to all teams to implement mandatory rest periods. The Site Safety Officer/Supervisor should generally be guided by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) guidelines in determining work/rest periods. Fluids should be available at all times and encouraged during mandatory rest periods.
- B. SAFETY CONCERNS.** Certain safety problems are common to hot environments. The frequency of accidents, in general, appears to be higher in hot environments than in more moderate environmental conditions. One reason is that working in a hot environment lowers the mental alertness and physical performance of an individual. Increased body temperature and physical discomfort promote irritability, anger, and other emotional states which sometimes causes workers to overlook safety procedures or to divert attention from hazardous tasks.
- C. HEALTH CONCERNS.** Excessive exposure to a hot work environment can bring about a variety of heat-induced disorders.

#### **C.1. HEAT STROKE**

**C.1.a. SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS.** Heat stroke is the most serious of health problems associated with working in hot environments. It occurs when the body's temperature regulatory system fails and sweating becomes inadequate. The body's only effective means of removing excess heat is compromised with little warning to the victim that a crisis stage has been reached.

- (1) A heat stroke victim's skin is hot, usually dry, red or spotted.
- (2) Body temperature is usually 105° F or higher.
- (3) The victim is mentally confused, delirious, perhaps in convulsions, or unconscious.

**C.1.b. MEDICAL ATTENTION.** Unless the heat stroke victim receives quick and appropriate treatment, **DEATH CAN OCCUR.**

Any person with signs or symptoms of heat stroke requires immediate hospitalization.

**SEND SOMEONE TO GET MEDICAL ASSISTANCE/EMT IMMEDIATELY!!!**

While waiting for medical assistance first aid should be immediately administered.

This includes:

- (1) removing the victim to a cool area,
- (2) thoroughly soaking the clothing with water, and
- (3) vigorously fanning the body to increase cooling.

**C.2. HEAT EXHAUSTION.** Heat exhaustion includes several clinical disorders having symptoms which may resemble the early symptoms of heat stroke. Heat exhaustion is caused by the loss of large amounts of fluid by sweating, sometimes with excessive loss of salt.

**C.2.a. SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS.** A worker suffering from heat exhaustion:

- (1) still sweats, but
- (2) experiences extreme weakness or fatigue, giddiness, nausea, or headache.

In more serious cases:

- (3) the victim may vomit or lose consciousness,
- (4) the skin is clammy and moist,
- (5) the complexion is pale or flushed, and
- (6) the body temperature is normal or only slightly elevated.

**C.2.b. MEDICAL ATTENTION.** General treatment:

- (1) notify the site EMT,
- (2) have the victim rest in a cool place, and
- (3) have the victim drink plenty of liquids.

Victims with mild cases of heat exhaustion usually recover spontaneously with this treatment. Those with severe cases may require extended care for several days. There are no known permanent effects.

### **C.3. HEAT CRAMPS**

**C.3.a. SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS.** Heat cramps are painful spasms of the muscles that occur among those who sweat profusely in heat, drink large quantities of water, but do not adequately replace the body's salt loss.

C.3.b. **MEDICAL ATTENTION.** Cramps may occur during or after work hours and may be relieved by taking salted liquids by mouth.

**C.4. FAINTING.** A worker who is not accustomed to hot environments and who stands erect and immobile in the heat may faint.

C.4.a. **SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS.** With enlarged blood vessels in the skin and in the lower part of the body due to the body's attempts to control internal temperature, blood may pool there rather than return to the heart to be pumped to the brain.

C.4.b. **MEDICAL ATTENTION.** Upon lying down, the worker should soon recover. By moving around, and thereby preventing blood from pooling, the patient can prevent further fainting.

**C.5. HEAT RASH.** Heat rash, also known as prickly heat, is likely to occur in hot, humid environments where heat is not easily removed from the surface of the skin by evaporation and the skin remains wet most of the time.

C.5.a. **SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS.** The sweat ducts become plugged, and a skin rash soon appears. When the rash is extensive or when it is complicated by infection, prickly heat can be very uncomfortable and may reduce a worker's performance.

C.5.b. **MEDICAL ATTENTION.** Workers can prevent this by resting in a cool place part of each day and by regularly bathing and drying the skin.

**C.6. TRANSIENT HEAT FATIGUE.** Transient heat fatigue refers to the temporary state of discomfort and mental or psychological strain arising from prolonged heat exposure. Workers unaccustomed to the heat are particularly susceptible and can suffer, to varying degrees, a decline in task performance, coordination, alertness, and vigilance.

**D. PREPARING FOR WORK IN THE HEAT.** One of the best ways to reduce the heat stress of workers is to minimize heat in the workplace. However, heat is difficult to control while working outdoors and exposed to various weather conditions.

Humans are, to a large extent, capable of adjusting to the heat. This adjustment to heat, under normal circumstances, usually takes about 5 to 7 days, during which time the body will undergo a series of changes that will make continued exposure to heat more endurable.

Workers who return to work after vacation or extended illness may be affected by the heat in the work environment. Whenever such circumstances occur, the worker should be gradually reacclimatized to the hot environment.

**E. MECHANIZATION.** Heat stress depends, in part, on the amount of heat the worker's body produces while a job is being performed. The amount of heat produced during hard, steady work is much higher than that produced during intermittent or light work. Therefore, one way of reducing the potential for heat stress is to make the job easier or lessen its duration by providing adequate rest time. Mechanization of work procedures can often make it possible to isolate workers from the heat source and increase overall productivity by decreasing the time needed for rest.

- F. WORK/REST PERIODS.** Rather than be exposed to heat for extended periods of time during the course of a job, workers should, wherever possible, be permitted to distribute the workload evenly over the day and incorporate work-rest cycles or regular (and enforced) breaks. Work-rest cycles give the body an opportunity to get rid of excess heat, slow down the production of internal body heat, and provide greater blood flow to the skin.

Providing cool rest areas in hot work environments considerably reduces the stress of working in those environments. Rest areas should be as close to the work area as possible, and provide shade. Shorter but frequent work-rest cycles are the greatest benefit to the worker.

- G. DRINKING FLUIDS.** In the course of a day's work in the heat, a worker may produce as much as 2 to 3 gallons of sweat. Because so many heat disorders involve excessive dehydration of the body, it is essential that water intake during the workday be about equal to the amount of sweat produced.

Most workers exposed to hot conditions drink less fluids than needed because of an insufficient thirst drive. A worker, therefore, should not depend on thirst to signal when and how much to drink.

5 to 7 ounces of fluids should be consumed every 15 to 20 minutes to replenish the necessary fluids in the body.

There is no optimum temperature of drinking water, but most people tend not to drink warm or very cold fluids as readily as they will cool ones.

Heat acclimatized workers lose much less salt in their sweat than do workers who are not adjusted to the heat. The average American diet contains sufficient salt for acclimatized workers even when sweat production is high. If for some reason, salt replacement is required, the best way to compensate for the loss is to add a little extra salt to the food.

Salt tablets SHOULD NOT be used.

**CAUTION—PERSONS WITH HEART PROBLEMS OR THOSE ON A “LOW SODIUM” DIET WHO WORK IN HOT ENVIRONMENTS SHOULD CONSULT A PHYSICIAN ABOUT WHAT TO DO UNDER THESE CONDITIONS.**

- H. PROTECTIVE CLOTHING AND HEAT STRESS.** Clothing inhibits the transfer of heat between the body and the surrounding environment. Therefore, in hot jobs where the air temperature is lower than skin temperature, wearing clothing reduces the body's ability to lose heat into the air. When air temperature is higher than skin temperature, clothing helps to prevent the transfer of heat from the air to the body. The advantage of wearing additional clothes, however, may be nullified if the chemical protective clothes interfere with the evaporation of sweat.

*The page intentionally blank.*



## APPENDIX I

# Vital Signs & PPE Donning Checklists

**Sources:**

Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System  
U.S. Coast Guard National Strike Force

## Appendix I

### Example 1. Vital Signs & PPE Checklist

(Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System)

NAME \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

>> **INSPECT condition of ALL PPE prior to use** <<

\*Medical Exclusion

<b>Employee ID#:</b> _____	
	PRE   POST
<b>BLOOD PRESSURE:</b> *Diastolic > 105	
<b>HEART RATE:</b> * > [70% (220 – Age)]	
<b>RESPIRATION:</b> * > 24 / min	
<b>TEMPERATURE:</b> * > 99.5 deg F oral	
<b>WEIGHT:</b>	
<b>SKIN:</b> *Open sore, large rash or sunburn	
<b>HYDRATION:</b>	
<b>MENTAL STATUS:</b> Alert; oriented to time & place; clear speech; normal gait	
<b>MEDICAL HISTORY:</b> *Any meds last 72 hours _____ *Alcohol past 24 hours _____ *New meds Rx / diagnosis last 2 weeks _____ *Symptoms fever, NV, diarrhea, cough in past 72 hours _____ *Pregnant _____	
<b>NOTES:</b>   	
<b>CHECKED BY:</b> _____	

PAPR-COMBINATION CARTRIDGES.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
PAPR FLOW CHECKED.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
REMOVED SHOES, JEWELRY, ETC.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
INNER NITRILE GLOVES.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
INNER SUIT.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
GLOVES & NECK TAPED.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
OUTER SUIT.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
BUTYL HOOD.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
INNER SHROUD TUCKED INSIDE	
GLOVES & NECK TAPED.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
BOOTS.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
SUIT TAPED OVER BOOTS .....	<input type="checkbox"/>
TAPE STRIPS – TO SEAL CARTRIDGES..	<input type="checkbox"/>
 <b>CHECKED BY:</b> _____	
<b>TIME IN SUIT:</b> _____	
<b>TIME OUT:</b> _____	

**Appendix I**  
**Example 2. Vital Signs & PPE Checklist**  
(U.S. Coast Guard National Strike Force)

ON-SITE MEDICAL MONITORING (ENTRY TEAM)

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

CASE: \_\_\_\_\_ CASE NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ EXPOSURE RISK: HIGH / MED / LOW

PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT: \_\_\_\_\_

SUBSTANCE(S) INVOLVED: \_\_\_\_\_

CONCENTRATION/LENGTH OF EXPOSURE: \_\_\_\_\_

MEDICAL TESTING: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

\*\*\*\*\*

PRE-ENTRY MEDICAL MONITORING:

WEIGHT: \_\_\_\_\_ TEMPERATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ METHOD: \_\_\_\_\_

PULSE: \_\_\_\_\_ BP: SYSTOLIC \_\_\_\_\_ / DIASTOLIC \_\_\_\_\_ METHOD: \_\_\_\_\_

MONITORING CONDUCTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

POST-ENTRY MEDICAL MONITORING:

WEIGHT: \_\_\_\_\_ TEMPERATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ METHOD: \_\_\_\_\_

PULSE: \_\_\_\_\_ BP: SYSTOLIC \_\_\_\_\_ / DIASTOLIC \_\_\_\_\_ METHOD: \_\_\_\_\_

MONITORING CONDUCTED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

SUPERVISOR (RO/RS) VERIFICATION:

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

*This page intentionally blank.*

## APPENDIX J

# Example of Patient Decontamination Procedure

**Source:** Northern Virginia Emergency Response Coalition. Available at:  
[http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org/download/doc/misc/Patient\\_Decontamination\\_Procedure-complete.doc](http://www.hazmatforhealthcare.org/download/doc/misc/Patient_Decontamination_Procedure-complete.doc) (Accessed September 2, 2003)

## Appendix J

### Patient Decontamination Procedure

(Northern Virginia Emergency Response Coalition)

#### Ambulatory Patients

1. Direct patient to Decon Sector.
2. Children should be kept with their parents if at all possible; if no parent or older sibling is available then a Decon Team member should provide needed assistance to a child.
3. Patient should be given Personal Decon set as soon as it is available and be given rapid instructions on its use – PLAY THE TAPE recorded set of instructions, if available.
  - The kit stays with you as you proceed through the process.
  - Open up the bag – it has three parts.
  - Take out the plastic bags now.
4. Patient should quickly remove all clothing, putting valuables into the clear plastic bag and clothing into the large bag, then put both bags into the 3<sup>rd</sup> bag and cinch tight w/ tag number in pack. Patient should put numbered tag around their neck and wear it through decon and treatment.
5. The clothing bag should be set aside in a secure area.
6. If staff is available, patient's name and number should be recorded on the Patient Decon Record.
7. Patient should continue forward into the Decon Sector with remaining part of Personal Decon Kit.
8. Patient should quickly rinse themselves from head to toe with water using either the hand held sprayer, garden hose, or shower head.
9. Patient should next wash with soap and wash cloth or brush from the kit in a systematic fashion, cleaning open wounds first and then in a head-to-toe fashion for 5 minutes when the agent is non-persistent and 8 minutes when a persistent or unknown agent is involved. Discourage the patient from rubbing too vigorously while washing. Eye irritation may require the use of a topical anesthetic first before irrigating.
10. The Decon Team should closely observe each victim to ensure they are thorough in washing themselves. Particular attention should be made to ensure they wash the axilla, creases, folds, and hair. Help should be offered as necessary.
11. Once the washing is completed, each patient should thoroughly rinse themselves (this should require about a minute to complete).
12. Decon soap bars, wash cloths, brushes, and sponges should be put into a nearby trashcan and NOT carried into the Cold Zone.

13. After the rinse/wash/rinse cycle is complete the patient should next proceed to the towel off area and complete drying off and leave the towel in the trashcan.
14. Following drying off, the patient should put on the patient gown and proceed to the Triage Officer for rapid assessment and assignment to a Treatment Sector.
15. Additional treatment will be limited only to those interventions deemed life saving by the Decon Officer. Antidote administration should be done *via* the IM route after cleaning the affected area first.
16. Decon Team members should be alert to the possibility that an ambulatory patient may clinically deteriorate and require immediate removal to the Non-Ambulatory Sector *via* backboard, stretcher, or wheelchair.

### **Non-Ambulatory Patients**

1. Patient should be brought to the Decon Sector and tended to by a minimum of 4 decon personnel.
2. Each patient should be put onto a backboard or EMS stretcher w/ the pad removed.
3. All patient clothing should be removed and valuables put into the clear plastic bag and clothing into the large bag, then put both bags into the 3<sup>rd</sup> bag and cinch tight w/ tag number in pack. Clothing should be cut away where necessary.
4. Attention should be paid to minimizing the aerosolization spread of particulate matter by folding clothing inside out as removal is being done and dabbing the skin with sticky tape and or vacuuming.
5. Patient should have their clothing bag tag around their neck and wear it through decon and treatment.
6. The clothing bag should be set aside in a secure area. If staff is available, the patient's name and number should be recorded on the Patient Decon Record.
7. While resting the backboard on saw horses or other device or with the patient on an EMS stretcher, the patient should quickly be rinsed from head to toe with water using either the hand held sprayer, garden hose, or shower head; protection from aspiration of the rinse water should be initiated.
8. Next the patient should be washed with soap and either a brush or wash cloth in a systematic fashion, cleaning airway first followed by open wounds then in a head to toe fashion for 5 minutes when the agent is non persistent and 8 minutes when a persistent or unknown agent is involved. Avoid rubbing too vigorously.
9. The patient should be rolled on their side for washing of the posterior head, neck, back, buttocks and lower extremities by 2–4 personnel; attention to a possible neck injury should be given.

10. Careful attention should be given to washing the voids and creases such as the ears, eyes, axilla, and groin.
11. Topical eye anesthetic may be required for effective eye irrigation to be done.
12. The patient should then be rinsed in a head to toe fashion that minimizes contamination spread for about one minute. Overspray or holding the rinsing device too close so as to irritate the skin should be avoided.
13. Decon Team members should be alert to the probability that the non-ambulatory patient may require ABC's support (airway positioning, suctioning, O<sub>2</sub> administration, spinal stabilization, etc.) and administration of life saving antidote administration by IM injection. If IV therapy is needed the extremity site for the IV should be deconned quickly before the IV is started. If IV therapy is needed the patient should be pulled out of line in the Decon Corridor but remain in the Decon Sector. **Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) or advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) intervention should not be started unless there are no other patients awaiting decontamination.**
14. The patient should be dried off, put into a hospital gown, and transferred to a clean backboard (or clean off and dry the board they are on if additional boards are not available). Patients on an EMS stretcher should be transferred to a clean backboard.
15. Decon soap bars, brushes and sponges should be put into a trashcan and not carried into the Cold Zone. O<sub>2</sub> material should remain in the Decon Sector.
16. The patient should be taken to the Triage Officer for rapid assessment and assignment to area in the Treatment Sector.

## **Patients with Special Needs**

### **Glasses/Contact Lenses**

1. Patients with glasses should keep them if they cannot see without them. They must be washed and rinsed thoroughly during the decon process before being worn. Otherwise, the glasses should be placed in the valuables portion of the clothing bag.
2. Contact lenses should be removed and placed in the valuables portion of the clothing bag.

### **Canes/Walkers**

1. Patients who use walking assist devices may retain them, but the device must be washed with soap and water during the decon process before being allowed into the Treatment Sector.
2. Patients who are unsteady standing and/or walking should be given a walker upon entry into the Decon Corridor. The walker should be used to assist with ambulation until they get to the end of the line when it should be retrieved, deconned, and returned to the front of the Decon Corridor for the next patient who needs it.



### **Percutaneous Lines/Saline Locks**

1. Unless contaminated, percutaneous lines and saline locks should be covered with Tegoderm or Saran wrap before the area is decontaminated.
2. Contaminated percutaneous lines or saline locks should be removed before being decontaminated. After the area is cleaned, a dressing should be applied until in the Treatment Sector where antibiotic ointment and a new bandage should be applied.

### **Hearing Aids**

1. Hearing aids CANNOT be immersed or otherwise be soaked with water. Thus, they should either be removed and placed in the valuables portion of the patient's clothing bag or if they must be used by the patient because there is no hearing without them, they should be carefully wiped off with a slightly saline moistened 4x4 gauze, dried off, put into a clear plastic bag, and handed to the patient. The cleaned hearing aid is NOT to be worn until the patient has completed the decon process (including washing the ears) and is in the Treatment Sector.

### **Dentures**

1. Unless the oral cavity is contaminated dentures should remain in place and no decontamination is necessary.
2. If the oral cavity is contaminated, then the dentures should be removed, placed in a clear plastic bag with the patient's name or clothing identification number placed on it. The dentures should later be decontaminated in accordance with instructions received from the Poison Center and/or a dentist. The patient's mouth should be decontaminated with mouthwash or saline that is gargled and safely spit out into a bio-hazard bag. Note that, depending on the contaminant, it may not be possible to decontaminate plastic items, such as dentures.

### **Law Enforcement Officers with Weapons**

1. In most cases law enforcement personnel who have been injured on the scene will have had their gun(s) removed before arrival and given to a fellow officer. However, if that is not the case, the weapon should be left in the holster and the gun belt removed by a Decon Team member and placed in a clear plastic bag labeled with the patient's name and/or clothing number. The bag should then be passed to the Treatment Sector where it should be given to a fellow officer or hospital Security Officer for safe keeping until it can be given to a representative of the injured officers department. **THE GUN SHOULD BE LEFT IN THE HOLSTER IF AT ALL POSSIBLE.** If the gun must be removed, it should be handled by a Decon Team member familiar with firearms, rendered safe, placed in a clear plastic bag marked with the patient's name and/or clothing identification number, and given to a fellow officer or hospital Security Officer in the Treatment Sector.

2. Decon Team personnel should be aware that oftentimes an officer may have a backup weapon usually found in a holster near the ankle, in their pocket, in a ballistic vest, or near an armpit. The holster with the weapon in place should be removed and secured as described above.
3. An officer's gun belt may also contain items that could prove dangerous if allowed to get in the wrong hands. Thus, the belt should be collected and separately bagged ASAP and passed to a fellow officer or hospital Security Officer in the Treatment Sector.  
**DECONNING OF AN OFFICER'S WEAPON AND/OR GUN BELT WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.**
4. If the officer is wearing a ballistic vest it must be removed prior to undergoing decon. The vest is usually easily removed by loosening the Velcro straps and then pulling the vest apart and off the patient. It should then be placed in a large plastic bag identified with the patient's name and/or clothing number on it and passed to a fellow officer or Hospital Security Officer in the Treatment Sector.

Prepared by: Northern Virginia Emergency Response Coalition

## **APPENDIX K**

# **PPE Donning and Doffing Sequence**

**Source:** Adapted from Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System

## Appendix K

### PPE Donning Sequence

(NOTE: The following sequence outlines the *order* in which one hospital's employees find it efficient to put on their specific first receiver PPE. The list is not intended to provide detailed step-by-step instructions for putting on the PPE.)

1. Test PAPR flow rate to be sure it meets rate specified by the manufacturer.
2. Remove jewelry & clothing.
3. Put on inner nitrile gloves.
4. In COLD WEATHER: Put on inner suit. Tape gloves at wrist & zipper at neck.
5. In WARM WEATHER: Put on scrubs.
6. Put on outer chemical protective suit to waist. Put on boots & outer chemical protective gloves.
7. Connect PAPR to hood with hose; turn airflow on. Put on butyl hood (position the inside shroud between suits). Pull chemical protective suit up and on.
8. Ensure zipper is covered & secured, put tape on top.
9. Belt PAPR to waist.
10. Put outer butyl hood shroud over suit.
11. Stretch arms, pull suit sleeves OVER gloves, tape in place.
12. Pull suit cuff over boot top, tape in place.
13. Place a piece of tape on the hood exterior and label with the employee's name & time that employee is entering Hospital Decontamination Zone.

## Appendix K

### PPE Decontamination & Doffing Sequence

(NOTE: The following sequence outlines the *order* in which one hospital's employees find it effective to decontaminate themselves and their PPE as one procedure, to minimize the chance of contaminating their skin while removing their first receiver PPE. The list is not intended to provide detailed step-by-step instructions.)

1. Wash hands thoroughly. During drills only, have a partner tape both sides of the PAPR filters cartridge (to seal the cartridge for re-use in another drill).
2. Still wearing PPE, wash self, starting at the top of the head and working down to the bottom of the boots. Have a partner wash your back.
3. Untape boots and gloves, but do not remove them.
4. Unlock PAPR and place it on chair/gurney/floor, etc.
5. Remove the outer suit—roll the suit away from you, inside out (with help from a partner). Remove outer gloves along with the outer suit.
6. Remove PAPR hood, place in waste.
7. Step out of boots and suit into final rinse area (keep inner gloves and clothing on). Wash and rinse thoroughly (with partner's help).
8. In COLD WEATHER: Remove (inner) suit, place in waste.
9. Remove nitrile gloves: first pinch one glove and roll it down partially, then place thumb in other glove & remove both gloves simultaneously.
10. Wash again, removing inner clothing, then step out of decontamination shower and into towels/blankets.

*This page intentionally blank.*

## APPENDIX L

### Example of Technical Decontamination Process for Hospital Personnel

**Source:** Managing Hazardous Materials Incidents. Hospital Emergency Departments: A Planning Guide for the Management of Contaminated Patients. Volume II. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (Revised 2000).  
[www.atsdr.cdc.gov](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov)

## **Appendix L**

### **Technical Decontamination Process for Hospital Personnel**

*Personnel should remove protective clothing in the following sequence.*

1. Remove tape (if used), securing gloves and boots to suit.
2. Remove outer gloves, turning them inside out as they are removed.
3. Remove suit, turning it inside out and folding downward. Avoid shaking.
4. Remove boot/shoe cover from one foot and step over the clean line. Remove other boot/shoe cover and put that foot over the clean line.
5. Remove mask. The last person removing his/her mask may want to wash all masks with soapy water before removing his/her suit and gloves. Place the masks in plastic bag and hand the bag over the clean line for placement in second bag held by another staff member. Send bag for decontamination.
6. Remove inner gloves and discard them in a drum inside the dirty area.
7. Secure the dirty area until the level of contamination is established and the area is properly cleaned.
8. Personnel should then move to a shower area, remove undergarments and place them in a plastic bag. Double-bag all clothing and label bags appropriately.
9. Personnel should shower and redress in normal working attire and then report for medical surveillance.



## **APPENDIX M**

### **Example of Integrated Procedures for First Receivers**



Policy #: Disaster Plan, 2002 Rev, Envir of Care Safety Manual

Emergency Management Plan

**INOVA HEALTH SYSTEM**  
**Emergency Management & Disaster Preparedness Plan**

**Subject: ANNEX C- Chemically Contaminated  
Patient Care Protocol**

---

Written: August 5, 2002

Revision:

Emergency Management and Disaster Preparedness Task Force

**PURPOSE:** To establish a policy for providing care to victims of hazardous materials and/or chemical terrorism incidents while ensuring the safety of the emergency department (ED) personnel and hospital environment.

**BACKGROUND:** The potential for hazardous materials exposure requires specific procedures for the protection of the patient, staff, and the environment. It differs from the other emergency situations because of that added risk of contamination to staff and facility. Worker safety and training are key factors in the management of these medical emergencies. Often these patients may arrive at the hospital unannounced. Patients being transported by EMS may not have been fully decontaminated prior to their arrival to the hospital.

**TOXICOLOGICAL  
PRINCIPLES:**

- A. Exposure to hazardous materials may produce a wide range of adverse health effects. The likelihood of an adverse health effect occurring, and the severity of the effects, are dependent upon:
  - a. The toxicity of the agent or pathogen
  - b. Route of exposure
  - c. The nature and extent of exposure to that substance
  
- B. Toxic chemical effects may be localized at the site of exposure, or may result in systemic symptomatology after absorption into the blood stream.

- C. The three main routes of exposure are:
- a. **Inhalation** resulting in the introduction of toxic chemicals, radioisotopes, or pathogens via the respiratory tract. Most of the compounds that are inhaled are gases or vapors of volatile liquids. However, solids and liquids can be inhaled as dusts or aerosols. Inhalation of chemical agents generally result in a rapid absorption into the bloodstream because of the large surface and vascularity of the lungs. The signs and symptoms of pathologic exposure will usually occur 1–10 days after exposure.
  - b. **Skin contact** or absorption via mucous membranes is usually not as rapid as inhalation. Exposure can be through the mucus membranes (including conjunctiva) and open wounds.
  - c. **Ingestion** is a less common route of exposure. It can be the result of unintentional hand to mouth contamination or swallowing of saliva with trapped airborne particles. Or it may also be intentional, such as an oral ingestion for a suicide attempt.
- D. In addition to the route of exposure, the amount of compound absorbed by the body depends upon the:
- a. Duration of exposure
  - b. Concentration of contaminant
  - c. Time of exposure
  - d. Environmental factors
- E. Response to toxic chemicals radiological agents and pathogens may differ among individuals because of the physiological variability present in the population.
- a. Age
  - b. Preexisting medical conditions
  - c. Prior exposure
  - d. Medications
  - e. Concurrent injury
  - f. Pregnancy

**NOTIFICATION:**

- A. **Unannounced Arrival** refers to a patient(s) that presents to the ED Triage Nurse or other healthcare provider. Once the healthcare provider determines a hazardous materials incident has occurred and contamination may be present he/she should:
- a. Direct the patient outside the ED lobby entrance and proceed to the entryway of the decon room. Confine the patient in this location and remain with them.
  - b. Notify the charge nurse.
  - c. Notify security at Triage to secure the area.

- d. Any persons the victim came in contact with, including the initial healthcare provider contact, should also be directed to the decon room until the extent of contact and the need for care can be determined.
- e. If there are multiple patients affected, prepare to implement the mass decontamination procedure utilizing identified areas outside of the hospital facility but contiguous to the ED.

**B. Announced Arrival** is a patient already entered into the EMS system that arrives by ambulance. The major advantage created by pre-hospital notification is the provision of preparatory time and available clinical data and incident information from the field as well as initial intervention by EMS personnel. The arriving patient should be kept with the pre-hospital EMS personnel outside of the ED until the “decontamination team” is prepared to assume patient care.

Consultation with the referring agency as to the decontamination, if any, performed at the incident scene must occur prior to admitting these patients directly into the ED. This should depend upon the nature of the agent, degree of decontamination provided in the field, and suspicion of potential contamination upon visual inspection of the patient. If in doubt, have the patient go through decontamination at the hospital.

## **EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBILITIES**

### **A. Communication Nurse**

- a. Determine caller’s ID and telephone number.
  - Type and nature of incident
  - Number of victims
  - Signs and symptoms being experienced by victim
  - Nature of injuries
  - Prior medical history of victim – meds and allergies
  - Name of chemical involved and what information is readily available on product container if present
  - Name of facility involved and/or type of contaminants found at facility
  - Extent of victim decontamination in field
  - Other medical interventions completed
  - Estimated time of arrival (ETA)
- b. Notify charge nurse.
- c. Notify EMS Public Safety Communications Center (PSCC) of incident and request redirection of other ambulance traffic to ED lobby entrance.
- d. If incident involves multiple patients, request that ED be placed on “reroute” status.

**B. Charge Nurse**

- a. Consult with charge MD and assess the present patient capacity and acuity. If incident involves multiple patients, implement “**Disaster Plan – Annex C.**”
- b. Expedite movement of “admitted” patients to assigned beds.
- c. Notify the ED Patient Care Director and Administrative Director as to the status of incoming contaminated patients. Consider the need for additional staff and resources and initiate response, if warranted.
- d. Initially assign 2 nurses and 2 techs to decontamination the team.
- e. Notify ED registration, triage nurse, and security.
- f. Notify respiratory therapy and determine the number of adult/pediatric ventilators.
- g. Determine number of available adult/pediatric beds.

**C. Administrative Director**

- a. Notify security officers to redirect ED traffic and ambulances.
- b. Notify physical plant staff.
- c. Notify environmental services.
- d. Notify Personal Health for follow up on staff involved.
- e. Notify Media Relations to be available for purpose of public information if needed.
- f. Notify Administrator-on-call that “**Disaster Plan – Annex C**” is being implemented.

**D. Charge MD**

- a. Confer with ED Charge Nurse regarding the immediate need to implement the “**Disaster Plan – Annex C**” and assess the disposition status of existing patients in the ED.
- b. Notify ED Chairman.
- c. Notify Poison Control—obtaining available product info to decontaminate and care for patient(s), when available.
- d. Notify critical care pharmacist with product info for anticipated antidote. Obtain inventory of available antidotes.
- e. Determine appropriate level of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Decon Team.
- f. Assign MD and/or PA to Decon Team.
- g. Direct 1–2 personnel to set up decon area and 1–2 persons to assist decon team with dress out procedures

**E. Security**

- a. Secure entrances and exits to the ED.
- b. Assist with traffic and crowd control around the ED
- c. Will be responsible for maintaining “chain of custody” of personal belongings of patients undergoing decontamination. These items will

NOT be individually catalogued, but rather placed in red biohazard plastic bags that will be tagged, numbered, and recorded.

## **DECONTAMINATION**

### **PURPOSE:**

To remove or neutralize harmful materials that have gathered on personnel and/or equipment and to prevent secondary contamination to healthcare workers and the facility. Decontamination is a systematic process that is determined by the nature and degree of contamination. Effective decontamination consists of making the patient as clean as possible, meaning that the contamination has been reduced to a level that is no longer a threat to the patient or healthcare provider.

### **DECON SETUP:**

In a “traditional” HAZMAT incident involving known exposure to chemical agents involving less than 3 patients simultaneously, consideration can be given to using the existing Emergency Department Decontamination Room, if available.

#### **A. Decon room preparation tech shall be assigned to:**

- a. Remove all non-essential and nondisposable equipment and items from established Hazmat/Decon wash room.
- b. Activate drain switch to contain runoff, if available.
- c. Obtain decon cart with necessary stocked items.
- d. Obtain crash cart and place contiguous to Decon wash room.
- e. Place D or E size O<sub>2</sub> tank with regulator.
- f. Place clean stretcher in Hazmat/Decon wash room with additional O<sub>2</sub> tank, BVM, and non-rebreather mask.
- g. Place stretcher outside of decon room on plastic ground cloth to receive non-ambulatory patient from ambulance.
- h. Place bucket for soiled items used in wash room for technical decon.
- i. Placed lined trash baskets in decon area for Biowaste.
- j. Place Personal Decon Kits (PDK) in decon area.

#### **B. Mass Decontamination Setup**

In the event that the chemical exposure incident involves more than 3 people requiring decontamination simultaneously, or incident information suggests the arrival of large numbers of patients requiring decontamination as a result of a mass exposure, preparation for mass decontamination should commence.

The following materials have been identified as basic prerequisites for Mass Decontamination set up and preparation:

- a. A hose bib splitter for each bib within 100 feet (within range) of the proposed decontamination area.
- b. Two 100-foot hoses for each hose bib within range of the proposed decontamination area.
- c. Four (2 sets) sawhorses to hold litter bound patients within the decontamination area and set of C-clamps.
- d. Two backpack sprayers per facility.
- e. Two baby pools per facility.

- f. Four buckets for each hose bib within range of the proposed decontamination area.
- g. Four scrub brushes for each hose bib within range of the decontamination area.
- h. Duct tape, flashlights, permanent markers, soap, large trashcans, red biohazard bags, and towels.
- i. One blood pressure cuff, stethoscope, and set of trauma scissors per litter decontamination station.
- j. Towels and redress kits.
- k. Two Geiger counters per facility (Reference “**Disaster Plan – Annex R**”).
- l. Two Bull horns per facility.
- m. Polaroid camera with film or digital camera for later use in identification of moribund patients.
- n. A locked, centrally located storage mechanism (cart, closet, etc.) for storage of the aforementioned items.

Included in this Annex is a schematic diagram for use in establishing a Mass Decontamination procedure that details the process flow of patients. This should be adapted to each individual healthcare facility.

## **LEVEL C PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT**

### **Decon team preparation**

1. Obtain appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) as recommended by ED charge physician.
2. A minimum of 4 persons should don PPE.
3. Decon team personnel should undergo pre-entry medical monitoring as soon as possible. Only personnel meeting inclusion criteria, and having met the required training standards will be allowed to dress in PPE.
4. The Inova Health System has elected to use LEVEL C respiratory protection and chemical protective clothing as the highest level of protection available. LEVEL C respiratory protection is comprised of:

Powered Air Purifying Respirator (PAPR): This provides air that is drawn through organic/HEPA filter cartridges affixed to a battery powered unit worn by the decon team personnel on a belt around their waist. It is worn as a hood placed over the head, with the inner sleeve tucked into the chemical protective clothing suit.

Air Purifying Respirator (APR): This provides air that is filtered through organic/HEPA filter cartridges dependent on the negative inspiration created by the work of breathing. This is worn as a full-face mask with the cartridges affixed to the mask.

**Caution:** These respiratory protective equipment contain LATEX products and are not to be worn by LATEX-allergic individuals.

LEVEL C chemical protective clothing is contained in the Tri-con PPE packs that include:

- 2 layers of gloves
- chemical resistant suit (check for appropriate sizing)
- chemical resistant boots

Note: Some Tri-Con PPE packs will also contain an APR mask. Consult with the ED physician in charge with regards to selection of APR or PAPR. Persons needing to use glasses, or those with beards or full moustaches, are NOT to use a face mask device.

A Decon team member should double check to assure all personnel have donned their PPE properly. Special attention should be paid to proper seal of mask/face and proper occlusion at wrists and ankles. Particular attention must be made to ensure all “pull tabs” are removed from respiratory cartridge filters prior to use.

Immediately evaluate the available information and confirm/re-confirm (as more information becomes available) that your key *operational* planning assumptions for Level C PPE are valid:

- Incident location (including area of significant downwind contamination) does not include your facility.
- Agent characteristics: Known or suspected agent doesn't require higher level of PPE (example: high-grade plutonium or other very rare agents).
- Event characteristics: Your facility is not being “overrun” by casualties.

Adjust the planned operations as indicated by the evolving circumstances. Possible adjustments include:

- Upgrading plan: more personnel protected, shorter rotation periods for PPE personnel, longer soap & wash cycles for victims, obtaining assistance from other hospitals or from emergency response resources.
- Evacuating or closing the facility to “shelter in place” if the hospital is in the zone of contamination.
- “Lock-down” of facility if agent, agent concentration, or the number of patients exceeds the safe operation of the plan or compromises the hospital integrity.
- Any need to isolate the decontamination wastewater (notify authorities to remove it per prior arrangement). Otherwise, notify “downstream” water authorities that decontamination wastewater is entering the sewer system.
- Downgrade the plan (lesser protective clothing and/or respiratory protection if agent is identified as non-threatening). This should be a high priority if possible, since safely downgrading the level of PPE will enhance the efficiency of the decontamination process.



- A. **Donning Procedures:** Staff medical monitoring to be completed by assigned Registered Nurse (RN) or MD (use designated medical monitoring form included in this Annex). Use a room with privacy and plenty of sitting space to facilitate donning of PPE.
1. It is preferable that a scrub suit be worn in lieu of regular street clothes. Clothing should be suitable for preserving comfortable body temperature.
  2. Remove all jewelry and leather material and place in plastic bag with your name on it—place in secure location for Security to maintain. Persons needing to wear glasses or with beards or mustaches are NOT to use a face mask device.
  3. Persons with long hair should apply a hairnet or place up in a braid.
  4. Hydrate with 8–16 ounces of fluid.
  5. If time allows have blood pressure (BP), pulse, respiration rate, and temperature taken and recorded on Medical Surveillance form.
  6. Obtain appropriate sized PPE ensemble pack or individual pieces, APR/ PAPR, battery, and appropriate cartridges (2–3 depending on APR/PAPR being used).
  7. Layout PPE pieces and confirm they are right size and in working order.
  8. Apply appropriate type of cartridges (most incidents will require HEPA/organic vapor cartridge set) and remove all pull-tabs. DO NOT OVERTIGHTEN the cartridges on the mask.
  9. Put on latex or plastic inner glove—consider placing light circular band around top of glove to lessen chance of premature removal during doffing.
  10. While sitting, remove shoes and place on foot covers (foot protection should not present tear risk to the suit nor be heelless).
  11. Pull on chemical/biological protective suit to waist.
  12. Place outer booties/boots on over the foot portion of the suit.
  13. Using duct tape, seal top of booties to protective coverall (use a flap of tape at the end and place facing front to ease removal).
  14. For chemical incident, place one set of nitrile gloves and one set of butyl rubber gloves on hands. For biological incident, use double plastic/latex gloves or plastic/latex and nitrile gloves.
  15. Seal seam of protective suit and gloves with duct tape (use a flap of tape at the end and on the front of the wrist area to ease removal of tape).
  16. Zip up protective suit to neck and close zipper securing and covering zipper seal.

17. If using PAPR, put on vest. Cinch up vest to snug fitting around with motor unit riding above the buttocks. Secure battery to the belt (side of the dominant hand is suggested) and plug in the PAPR. The air hose should come over the shoulder not under the arm.
18. Position APR/PAPR facepiece to ensure full visibility and comfortable fit. Tighten all bands in pairs by pulling them backwards and not up. Confirm tight seal by covering cartridge opening with hand and taking deep breaths—face shield should pull tight against face. If faulty seal is found, then retighten all bands and repeat seal test. If tight seal cannot be obtained, then seek second provider assistance or use hooded device.
19. Pull suit hood up and over the head maximizing the coverage of the head, neck, and ears and covering the APR/PAPR seal edge around the face—ensure the suit is pulled up and fully under the chin and zipper is closed and covered. There should be NO EXPOSED SKIN.
20. Turn PAPR on, also making sure that all cartridge tabs are removed to allow airflow.
21. Have someone place a 3 in. piece of Velcro or tape across shoulders with staff member's last name and function (e.g., Jones RN) written with magic marker.
22. Have second person perform safety check before proceeding to assigned work area.
23. Note time personnel left the dress out area.

#### **B. Ambulatory Patient Decontamination**

- Children should be kept with their parents if at all possible; if no parent or older sibling is available then a Decon Team member should provide needed assistance to a child.
- Patient should be given Personal Decon kit as soon as it is available and be given rapid instructions on its use.

The ambulatory patient may be directed by the decon nurse and tech to self-decon in the Emergency Department Decontamination Room thereby sparing additional staff from involvement (though the full decon team should remain dressed and ready in an adjacent room if intervention is needed). If the situation involves multiple patients requiring simultaneous decontamination, this process will occur using the Mass Decontamination set up.

1. If dry contaminant, remove first by using tape or dust off clothing or skin before wetting.
2. Have patient remove all valuables and place in the small plastic bag.
3. Clothing is removed and placed in the larger plastic bag. Place both bags into the red biohazard plastic bag. Place identifying tag with unique patient number on bag and seal off top. Place outside on ramp area for future disposition by Safety and Security.
4. Patient will do head-to-toe gross decontamination wash using mild soap and water. Have patient place ID band around wrist. This ID band will have the same identifying

number that has been placed on the red biohazard plastic bag holding the patient's personal effects.

5. Special attention should be paid in the washing process to hair and all body crevices. Wash time cycle should be 5 (five) minutes per person under a single stream of water.
6. Water temperature should be tepid.
7. Washing should be gentle to avoid abrading skin.
8. Open wounds should be washed first with sterile water and covered with occlusive dressing prior to remainder of body decontamination.
9. Upon completion of wash cycle, patient should step away from the immediate wash area, towel dry, and put on a supplied Tyvek® gown from the Patient Redress Kit.
10. All ED towels and wash cloths used by patients in the showering process should be placed in a marked contaminated container for later clean up and decontamination.
11. Patient may then enter the ED, where the receiving RN can obtain vital signs, complete secondary triage, complete decon paperwork, and transport to an assigned bed in ED.
12. Decon team personnel should be decontaminated prior to entering the ED as described in Personnel (Technical) Decon section.
13. Soap should be changed out every five patients or whenever needed.

### **C. Non-Ambulatory Patients**

In a mass exposure to chemical agents, non-ambulatory patients will most likely arrive after the initial arrival of ambulatory patients exposed in the same geographic location. Because of the trimodal distribution of injuries, non-ambulatory patients are likely to be more significantly exposed to the contaminating agent. Those who are most severely affected will be in the expectant category at the incident scene, and those who are least affected or only "potentially exposed" will arrive as ambulatory patients.

The non-ambulatory patient decontamination should be performed simultaneously with patient stabilization. Basic life support (ABC's) will be maintained, but definitive intervention should be delayed until the patient is decontaminated, to a degree that ensures staff safety and that invasive procedures will not increase the patient's risk of systemic toxic absorption. If large numbers of non-ambulatory patients are delivered for decontamination and treatment simultaneously, the ED Charge Physician will be required to make urgent triage decisions.

1. Patient should be received on a backboard and stretcher by EMS staff. If incident involves a single non-ambulatory patient, utilization of the Emergency Department Decon Room may be considered. If multiple patients are expected, set up of the non-ambulatory mass decontamination corridor should commence.
  - a. Placement of saw horses with available C-clamps in order to secure backboards to the sawhorses.
  - b. Availability of water source for adequate decontamination, including use of backpack sprayers.
2. The Decon team for non-ambulatory patients must include a minimum of four (4) providers, two of whom will be responsible for turning the patient on the backboard and one who will be responsible for maintaining cervical spine precautions.
3. If the patient has not had a primary gross decon in the field (defined as the removal of clothing and first wash), visible particulate matter should be removed by gently brushing

or dusting, and clothing should be cut and rolled away from the center of body, in order to contain the contaminants on the clothing.

4. Follow procedure for removal and bagging of personal valuables.
5. Follow procedure for head-to-toe decontamination wash cycle.
6. Irrigate open wounds with irrigation syringe and copious amounts of saline and cover with occlusive dressings. Any existing dressing must be removed and placed in bio-hazard trash container.
7. Eye irrigation may be done with Morgans lens and NS and/or IV tubing alone, if gross contaminants on the face are suspected. Otherwise, perform manual irrigation with copious fluids.
8. Gentle ear and nasal irrigation with frequent suctioning from portable suction may be done if such contamination is suspected.
9. C-collars as well as backboards must be washed or changed if they are still required for patient immobilization.
10. Patient should be transferred to a clean stretcher for entry into the ED.

**CPR or ACLS intervention should not be started unless there are no other patients awaiting decontamination.**

#### **D. Personnel (Technical) Decon**

Prior to leaving the decon room the decontamination team must undergo decontamination.

1. All equipment used by the decon staff must be placed in appropriate receptacles or in bins designated for equipment which can be cleaned and reused. Refer to clean-up and recovery protocol for direction on rehabilitation of used equipment.
2. Decon staff will undergo a technical decontamination wash from head-to-toe involving the outer garments, gloves, and boots.
3. After the wash is complete, personnel should remove protective clothing in the following sequence:
  - a. Remove outer gloves, turning them inside out as they are removed and place in bio-hazard trash container.
  - b. Remove tape from wrist and boot tops.
  - c. Remove boots.
  - d. Remove suit, turning inside out and avoid shaking.
  - e. Remove APR mask or PAPR hood. The last member removing his/her respiratory protective equipment may take responsibility for washing all masks in soapy water. Refer to clean-up and recovery protocol.
  - f. Remove inner glove and discard into bio-hazard trash container.
  - g. Isolate all potentially contaminated materials until level of contamination is established and arrangements for cleaning and handling of trash and equipment can be determined.
  - h. Post-exposure medical monitoring should be initiated and new data recorded on the primary form.
  - i. Personnel should then remove scrub wear and shower and dress in replacement scrubs.

### **E. Emergency Decon**

- Staff member distress is recognized.
- Staff member PPE immediately decontaminated with soap and water.
- PPE removed quickly in head to toe fashion in cold zone area. Medical care rendered as warranted.

## **KEY RESOURCES/POINTS OF CONTACT**

Notifications of appropriate authorities:

Law Enforcement-

Local Police Department

Federal Bureau of Investigation/DC [202-324-3000]

Fire/Rescue Department

Local Health Department

State Health Department

Emergency Epidemiology After Hours [1-866-820-9611]

National Capital Region Poison Control Center [202-625-3333]

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) [1-404-498-0120]

National Response Center [1-800-424-8802]

## **IN-HOSPITAL HAZMAT INCIDENT**

- A. Contain victims in area of incident until contamination is confirmed.
- B. Administrative Director to be notified by area supervisor of incident site and specifics.
- C. Hospital operator, notified by area supervisor, shall page ED charge nurse with HAZMAT location.
- D. Hospital operator shall page Safety and Security to restrict access to the site.
- E. ED charge nurse and ED charge physician assign HAZMAT team for response to site **ONLY** if patients are identified to be immediately in danger of exposure.
- F. If Emergency Department HAZMAT team required to respond within facility have the communication nurse call PSCC to request ED “reroute” status and request Fire Department HAZMAT response per facility protocol.
- G. The HAZMAT team should then dress in appropriate level of PPE for the given response. If unknown contaminant, dress in highest level of protection available.
- H. The HAZMAT team responds to site bringing portable decontamination equipment for decontamination at a safe area closest to the site of incident.
  1. Single patient – non-ambulatory bring 2 stretchers, one with containment cover and hose and container for runoff collection.
  2. Ambulatory – bring kiddie pools (2) and backpack sprayers or large irrigation bottles for decon wash.
- I. Decon shall be completed at site (as in previously described manner) until patient is clean enough for transport to ED for more definitive decon.
- J. Transport to ED shall be on the clean stretcher with a clean transport team.
- K. ED HAZMAT team to complete personal decon at the incident site prior to return to ED.

## MEDICAL MONITORING

The need to perform ongoing medical monitoring of those healthcare personnel participating in the decontamination procedure is **MANDATORY**. This entails a systematic evaluation of all participants, focusing particular attention to the risk of suffering adverse reactions from heat, stress or hazardous materials exposure. This is performed for the purpose of prevention or early recognition of such symptoms, and in compliance with federal regulations.

1. Medical monitoring is performed prior to donning PPE in order to:
  - a. Ascertain baseline vital signs.
  - b. Identify staff who will be disqualified from donning PPE and participating in the decontamination process due to pre-existing medical conditions.
  - c. Identify staff who may be at a higher risk for potential adverse effects while working in this environment.
2. Pre-entry physicals are required on all individuals in protective clothing and performing hazardous material operations. This is to be completed within one hour prior to entry, when possible.

## PRE-ENTRANCE EXAM COMPONENTS

1. Vital Signs
  - a. Blood pressure, pulse, respiration rate, temperature
  - b. Weight (estimated)
2. Skin evaluation for presence of:
  - a. Rashes
  - b. Lesions
  - c. Open wounds
3. Mental Status evaluation, including assessment of psychological stressors.
4. Medical History
  - a. Chronic illnesses
  - b. Recent illnesses
  - c. Medications, including OTC taken within past the 72 hours
  - d. Current symptoms of fever, nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, coughing, wheezing, or recent alcohol consumption.
5. Exclusion Criteria
  - a. Blood pressure: diastolic over 95
  - b. Pulse: greater than 70% maximum heart rate  $(220 - \text{age}) \times 0.7$   
irregular rhythm not previously documented
  - c. Respiratory rate: greater than 24 per minute
  - d. Temperature: less than 97 or greater than 99.5
  - e. Weight or Size: inability to fit in available suit without causing undue strain on seams
  - f. Skin evaluation: open sores, large areas of rash, or significant sunburn

- g. Mental status: any alteration
- h. Recent medical history: nausea, vomiting, diarrhea within the past 72 hours, recent heat related injury, new prescriptions started within the past 72 hours.
- i. Pregnancy

**ALL STAFF MUST BE CLEARED FOR PARTICIPATION BY THE ED CHARGE PHYSICIAN PRIOR TO PARTICIPATION.**

- 6. ENTRY Medical Monitoring
  - a. Performed before donning PPE.
  - b. Based on buddy evaluation by team member.
  - c. Observe for changes in gait, speech or behavior.
  - d. Any complaints of chest pain, dizziness, SOB, weakness, headache, nausea or vomiting should be reported.
  - e. Reporting of symptoms requires immediate personnel decon and removal from the decon site.
  - f. Personnel data should be recorded on HAZMAT Medical Monitor form (see attached).
- 7. POST-ENTRY Medical Monitoring
  - a. Vital signs repeated every 10 minutes until return to less than 85% of maximum pulse rate.
  - b. Oral rehydration started immediately upon completion of personal decon.
  - c. IV hydration and more aggressive medical evaluation shall be initiated for victims displaying medical illness and/or unstable vital signs.
- 8. The completed Hazmat Medical Monitoring form shall be forwarded to Employee Health for review and decision, if further evaluation is needed. The assessment form is to become part of the individual's occupational health file.

## **EQUIPMENT/SUPPLY ACQUISITION**

If needed equipment and supplies are not available in the ED, the ED charge physician should be notified immediately. This information should then be immediately forwarded to the **DISASTER SUPPORT CENTER**, which can help procure needed materials. If all on-site resources have been exhausted, the Inova Health System **DISASTER COMMAND CENTER** will be contacted by the hospital Disaster Support Center in order to identify location of needed supplies and additional logistical support.

## **CLEAN-UP AND RECOVERY**

Upon completion of the decontamination process, consideration must be given immediately to the following issues:

- Personal belongings and valuables of patients

These items will be in tagged, sealed red biohazard bags kept outside of the healthcare facility under the direct supervision of the hospital Safety and Security staff, or local law

enforcement personnel. These items may not be returned until they are deemed safe for handling and their evidentiary content has been evaluated.

- Bio-hazard trash can contents

These trash cans will contain soaps, sponges, scrub brushes, towels, and other items used by patients during the decontamination process. These bags must be sealed and segregated for later removal by contract waste haulers.

- Towel discard bins

These bins will hold the towels discarded by patients who have completed the decontamination process just prior to their entry into the healthcare facility. These bags must be segregated for possible laundering or later removal by contract waste haulers.

- Wastewater effluent

In the event that mass decontamination efforts are required, the importance of life safety concerns supercedes the potential environmental impact of contaminated effluent. Every attempt should be made to direct this effluent into the sanitary sewer, with immediate notification of the proper municipal agencies. In those cases in which only limited numbers of patients are involved, every attempt should be made to contain this effluent using “baby pools” or similar methods. Such collected water must then be properly disposed of under the direction and supervision of the appropriate municipal agencies and contract waste haulers.

Any area outside of the healthcare facility that was used in the mass decontamination process and was inside of the WARM or HOT ZONES must be cordoned off until such time as it is verified by hazardous materials experts that no risk of contamination exists.